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EVIDENCE FOR A LONG-DISTANCE TRADE IN BOIS D’ARC BOWS IN 16TH CENTURY TEXAS (MACLURA POMIFERA, MORACEAE)

Leslie L. Bush

ABSTRACT

A piece of wood charcoal identified as bois d’arc (Maclura pomifera) was recovered from the Janee site (41MN33) in Menard County, Texas. The specimen has been directly dated to 400 ± 30 B.P., a period when no naturally-occurring bois d’arc stands are believed to have been present within 400 miles of the site. Bois d’arc ecology, economic uses of bois d’arc wood, and historical accounts of bois d’arc trade indicate the specimen is best interpreted as part of a trade item related to Caddo bow-making traditions in Northeast Texas and adjacent areas of other states.

INTRODUCTION

A single fragment of bois d’arc wood charcoal was recovered from Feature 2-B at the Janee site (41MN33) on the Edwards Plateau of Central Texas (Figure 1). A direct date on the specimen produced a measured radiocarbon age of 400 ± 30 B.P. with a 13C/12C ratio of -25.1‰, resulting in a conventional radiocarbon age of 400 ± 30 B.P. and 2 sigma calibrated age ranges of A.D. 1440 to 1520 and A.D. 1590 to 1620 (Beta-305763). The Janee site lies on terrace 3-4 m above the San Saba River near Menard, Texas, far outside what is typically cited as the range of bois d’arc trees during that period. The feature in which the bois d’arc was found belongs to a thick (25 cm) buried Toyah phase component with well-defined activity areas (Arnn 2007:393). The feature represents the remnant of a large burned rock feature, most of which was destroyed in the backhoe trench (BHT 5) that revealed the cultural deposits (Arnn 2007:396). The site is interpreted as a “broad spectrum hunter-gatherer residential base” (Arnn 2007:403). This article discusses the probable origin and use of the bois d’arc specimen in light of the evolutionary ecology of bois d’arc and historic accounts of its use and trade in the North American midcontinent.

BIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY OF BOIS D’ARC

The tree known botanically as Maclura pomifera has many common names, including bois d’arc, bodark, bowwood, Osage-orange, yellowwood, hedge-apple, horse-apple, monkey balls, and Indiana brains. It is a small deciduous tree with wind-pollinated male and female flowers produced on separate individuals, which can be completely separate trees or separate stems within a single stand of...
trees (Bonner 2008) (Figure 2). Bois d’arc fruits are large (8-15 cm diameter) syncarps, aggregate fruits composed of single-seeded drupelets (Figure 3). Each fruit can thus have several male and female seeds, making it possible for a single fruit to generate a fertile stand of trees (Burton 1990; Carey 1994; Coder 1999; Smith and Perino 1981; Starr et al. 2003). Sterile fruits are also possible, however, when a female tree is not fertilized. The coarse fruits contain latex, which renders them unpleasantly sticky to most people. Bois d’arc fruits require contact with bare soil for germination and sunlight. The growing plants require direct sunlight, and sources agree that even mature bois d’arc is shade intolerant (Carey 1994; Smith and Perino 1981). Hence, it is not a tree of climax forests (Burton 1990; Smith and Perino 1981:30) Bois d’arc prefers deep bottomland soils such as those associated with forest edges or stream channels, but its taproot helps it tolerate a wide variety of situations as long as the requirements for bare soil and light are met (Elias 1980:257). Bois d’arc branches typically have thorns, although thornless cultivars exist today (Coder 1999). The branches are often curved or crooked (Coder 1999; Smith and Perino 1981:28), but the tree sprouts after cutting (coppice growth), typically providing straight wood under those circumstances (Carey 1994; Coder 1999; Starr et al. 2003). Bois d’arc wood is dense, with a specific gravity of 0.81 at 12 percent moisture (Hoadley 1990:Table 5.1). The wood is deep orange in color and highly resistant to decay thanks to the antifungal agents in the wood (Hoadley 1990; Smith and Perino 1981:34). Bois d’arc wood has extremely high strength and toughness under bending pressure. At 261 kilojoules per cubic meter (kJ/m$^3$), the work-to-maximum load value for bois d’arc is the highest of any wood for which the USDA Forest Service provides data (Table 1), making it particularly suitable for use in bow making (Hamilton 1982).

**BOIS D’ARC AS AN ECONOMIC PLANT**

Since its discovery by Euro-Americans in the early 19th century, bois d’arc trees have been commonly used as hedge plants. Their tough, durable wood has been in demand for fence posts, railway ties, and wooden wheels (Smith and Perino 1981; Westbrook 1973). Bois d’arc wood and root bark make yellow dye (Smith and Perino 1981). The coarse, sticky fruits are not considered edible by
humans, but they are not toxic to horses and cattle (Smith and Perino 1981:33-34). Proteolytic enzymes are found in the fruits, which makes them valuable in processes such as cheese-making and tenderizing meat that involve breaking down proteins into peptides or amino acids (Smith and Perino 1981:33). The fruits are widely considered to repel cockroaches (Ball 2000; Peattie 2007; Smith and Perino 1981; Turner 2009).

Bois d’arc’s principal use among Native Americans gives the plant its common name. “Wood of the bow” was so named by the French explorers, soldiers, and trappers who were the first European inhabitants of the region where bois d’arc grew. Nineteenth century observers indicate that bois d’arc bows were highly prized on the American plains (Moerman 1998), and many authorities consider bois d’arc one of the two best bow woods in the world (Schambach 1995:11). In 1810, John Bradbury
reported that the cost of a bow made from bois d'arc for Arikara Indians was a horse and a blanket. He described war clubs made of the same wood (Bradbury 1817:159-160). Prince Maximilian of Wied-Neuwied reported that Blackfeet Indians of Montana bartered for bois d’arc bows in the 1830s (Peattie 2007:387). On September 8, 1853, Lieutenant A. W. Whipple encountered a group of Kiowas on the Llano Estacado with outstanding bois d’arc bows (Whipple 1856:32). Archeological evidence indicates a long tradition of such use of the wood; an Early Caddo period (ca. A.D. 1000-1200) bow reportedly made from bois d’arc was excavated at the Mounds Plantation site (16CD12) in Caddo Parish, Louisiana (Webb and McKinney 1963, 1975). A few uses of bois d’arc for purposes other than bows are known among Native people. Kiowas and Pimas used the roots and wood for dye, and Comanches used a decoction of the root as an eyewash (Moerman 1998).

BOIS D’ARC RANGE

Pre-Holocene

Just as bois d'arc biology is unusual, so is its history, or at least what is known of its history. Ann Early (2000) pointed out more than a decade ago that much less is known about the evolutionary and geographical history of bois d’arc than is generally realized. Although recent progress has been made
Table 1. Work to Maximum Load (WML) statistics for reputed bow woods and common woods of Central Texas (from Alden 1995).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Botanical name</th>
<th>WML (kJ/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bois d’arc*</td>
<td><em>Maclura pomifera</em></td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shagbark hickory*</td>
<td><em>Carya ovata</em></td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific yew*</td>
<td><em>Taxus brevifolia</em></td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar elm</td>
<td><em>Ulmus crassifolia</em></td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black locust*</td>
<td><em>Robinia pseudoacacia</em></td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecan*</td>
<td><em>Carya illinoinsensis</em></td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black walnut</td>
<td><em>Juglans nigra</em></td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackberry</td>
<td>* Celtis spp.*</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar maple</td>
<td><em>Acer saccharum</em></td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persimmon</td>
<td><em>Diospyros spp.</em></td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live oak</td>
<td><em>Quercus virginiana</em></td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green ash</td>
<td><em>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</em></td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrone</td>
<td><em>Arbutus spp.</em></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver maple</td>
<td><em>Acer saccharinum</em></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post oak</td>
<td><em>Quercus stellata</em></td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black willow</td>
<td><em>Salix nigra</em></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly/Yaupon</td>
<td><em>Ilex spp.</em></td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumac</td>
<td><em>Rhus spp.</em></td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigleaf maple</td>
<td><em>Acer macrophyllum</em></td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern red oak</td>
<td><em>Quercus falcata</em></td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sycamore</td>
<td><em>Platanus occidentalis</em></td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonwood</td>
<td><em>Populus deltoides</em></td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite</td>
<td><em>Prosopis spp.</em></td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*good bow wood, probably not present in Central Texas at the advent of bow technology.

In distinguishing pollen from different genera of the botanical family to which bois d’arc belongs (Moraceae) (Burn and Mayle 2008), pollen studies frequently include both *Morus* (mulberry) and *Maclura* in a single category (sometimes with the Urticaceae [nettle family] as well), rendering them less than useful for understanding the prehistoric range of *Maclura*. Researchers thus rely on the record of fossil woods, leaves, and fruits.

Widely-cited secondary sources indicate an extensive Pleistocene range for the genus *Maclura* in North America (e.g., Barlow 2000; Peattie 2007). Examination of the primary sources, however, reveals the wide range to be an extrapolation from a very few data points. Hugo Martínez-Cabrera and colleagues report fossil woods from early Miocene sediments in Baja California that may be ancestral to *Maclura tinctoria*, the species of *Maclura* found today in the Caribbean, Mexico, and Central and South America (Martínez-Cabrera et al. 2006). Theodore Delevoryas (1964:584)
identifies “a multiple fruit, most closely allied to the Moraceae” from Upper Cretaceous deposits in South Dakota. Perhaps the most commonly cited finding for determining the Pleistocene range of bois d’arc is the presence of Maclura pomifera leaves and/or wood in the Don Beds in Ontario (Coleman 1933:9-10; Terasmae 1960; Woodcock 1989). The deposits date to the last interglacial period, 125,000-75,000 years ago (Woodcock 1989). The Don Beds wood in general is characterized as decaying and much flattened by glaciers (Coleman 1933:9), but the leaves are not described, and the criteria for identification of individual wood and leaf taxa are not discussed. It appears that D. P. Penhallow, the botanist at McGill University who made the initial identifications, passed away prior to completion of the project (Coleman 1933:9). Two successor botanists are mentioned, Arthur Hollick and J. H. White, and they may have confirmed Penhallow’s identifications. Fossil Maclura is known outside North America as well (Cheng et al. 2011).

**Pleistocene-Holocene Transition**

These spotty and imprecise records undergird the current theory that the genus Maclura had a wide distribution during the Pleistocene, with M. pomifera extending into what is now southern Canada and M. tinctoria (or its ancestor) present in Mexico. Daniel Janzen and Paul Martin (1982) have proposed that plants like bois d’arc were dispersed by Pleistocene megafauna. Although they mention bois d’arc only in passing, their theory has such explanatory power that it has been widely adopted for that species (Barlow 2000; Bonner 2008; Peattie 2007; Turner 2009). As North American populations of the dispersal organisms to which Maclura is adapted became reduced and eventually extinct (e.g., Pleistocene horses and camels), so Maclura’s distribution shrank over the Holocene. As discussed below, historic records that explicitly refer to bois d’arc date to the early years of the 19th century, by which time its range seems to have been restricted to a small area in Northeast Texas and adjacent parts of Arkansas and Oklahoma.

The Janzen-Martin hypothesis has the virtue of explaining several oddities about bois d’arc. The large fruits are poorly dispersed by the small animals that are attracted to them today (Smith and Perino 1981). The larger animals (i.e., bison) available to disperse large fruits during most of the Holocene apparently cannot consume bois d’arc fruits because they lack upper incisors (Barlow 2000). Although the tree can grow over a very wide swath of the North American continent under Holocene conditions, it apparently did not do so until it was planted extensively in the second half of the 19th century. Even in conditions where bois d’arc has naturalized after an initial planting, reports of its continued reproductive success are mixed. Starr et al. (2003) argue that bois d’arc should be considered invasive in Hawai‘i. They note bois d’arc is already prohibited as an invasive plant in Elburn, Illinois, and it has become a pest in Italy (Starr et al. 2003). Clearly, bois d’arc is a good pioneer species, but whether it is capable of persisting in a more mature landscape is unclear (Burton 1990; Coder 1999; Smith and Perino 1981). Bois d’arc stands were being shaded out by oaks in Hueston Woods State Park in Ohio as of 1981 (Smith and Perino 1981).
On the Possibility of Pleistocene Remnant Populations

Several logical possibilities exist for the origin of the bois d’arc specimen at the Janee site. One is that it derives from Pleistocene refuge stands of bois d’arc that could, theoretically, have been present in West Texas during Toyah phase times. Anomalous stands of bois d’arc are known in Trans-Pecos Texas, for example in the Chisos Mountains and various locations around Marathon, Texas (Powell 1998:100-101; Roberts 2011; Simpson 1999:195; Turner et al. 2003; Wilson 1966:228). Some Chisos Mountain trees, notably Texas pinyon (*Pinus remota*), are believed to represent species that have managed to survive in small patches of microclimates to which they are well-suited (refugia) since Pleistocene times (Lanner and Van Devender 2000:173-174). The bois d’arc populations in West Texas do not have indicators of great antiquity in these locations, however. Botanists collecting the specimens have not indicated morphological differences between the West Texas and East Texas specimens that could be expected to have accumulated over a separation of 12 millennia. The Chisos Mountains specimens were collected in the 1930s and the identification re-checked by Dr. B. L. Turner in 2006 (TEX-LL 2007). To my knowledge, no archeological or geological specimens of Early or Middle Holocene-age bois d’arc have been recovered in Texas. Linguistic evidence also argues against great antiquity for these stands. The only Spanish name for bois d’arc I am aware of indicates an exotic origin for the plant: Naranjo Chino. Although its taproot makes bois d’arc relatively drought tolerant once established, even springs in West Texas may not provide enough moisture for long-term survival: of the 12 West Texas stands of bois d’arc known in the 1930s, only seven were alive in 2009 (Roberts 2011). Finally, bois d’arc populations are less numerous and contain fewer individuals than the more certain refugia populations of pinyon in West Texas (Thomas Alex, personal communication, September 6, 2011).

On the Possibility of Holocene Native American Bois d’Arc Arboriculture

Since the success of modern plantings indicate bois d’arc is capable of growing over a much larger range than it did in the early 19th century, and Native Americans quickly adopted tree crops such as peaches (Gremillion 1993), the question of why Native Americans (apparently) did not plant this economically valuable tree deserves serious consideration. Frank Schambach, one of the few researchers who has approached the question, proposes a radically restricted range for bois d’arc trees—confined to Bois d’Arc Creek in Fannin County, Texas—that came under the control of a group that monopolized the resource for economic and political advantage during the Late Prehistoric (Schambach 1995). Although she comes to a slightly different conclusion about the Late Prehistoric range of bois d’arc trees, Ann Early also considers the possibility of Native American planting. She reviews historic accounts and General Land Office Records and concludes that bois d’arc range in the early historic period extended at least into the Little Missouri River valley if not the Arkansas River valley in Arkansas (Early 2000:108). She suggests that Caddo agricultural plots provided optimal growing conditions for casually-introduced bois d’arc fruits (Early 2000:110). Deliberate establishment of bois d’arc stands would require a great deal of effort, and the deposition of a fertile fruit or viable cutting at exactly the right spot for optimum growth would likely provide only a fairly slim chance of a reward in bow wood 10-15 years in the future (Early 2000).
The possibility of deliberately-introduced bois d’arc stands becomes correspondingly less probable in the less hospitable climate of West Texas. As noted above, small stands of bois d’arc currently exist in West Texas. Bois d’arc trees fruit for only about 75 years (Burton 1990; Coder 1999) and the oldest known trees are less than 200 years old (Smith and Perino 1981:28). Transplanted bois d’arc populations in West Texas must therefore have reproduced over several generations if they represent Late Prehistoric plantings. Many historically-known stands of bois d’arc died in the drought of the 1950s, suggesting the trees would not have survived through severe Late Prehistoric droughts such as those of the 12th and 16th centuries A.D. (Stahle et al. 2000; Woodhouse et al. 2010). (It should be noted, however, that the population at Bois D’Arc Springs in the Chisos Mountains, documented in 1937, survived the drought of the 1950s, and was still alive as of July 2011 [Thomas Alex, personal communication, September 6, 2011]). Perhaps more significantly, the wood from these bois d’arc stands, located far from their optimal habitat, is small and brittle, and thus ill-suited to bow-making (Thomas Alex, personal communication, September 6, 2011).

17th Century

The earliest historic reference to bois d’arc is believed to be that of Henri Joutel, who notes a bow-making tradition in the Texas-Oklahoma-Arkansas-Louisiana area in 1687. He indicates that the wood is of excellent quality for bow-making (“du bois très proper à en faire [les arcs]”), that people travel great distances (50 or 60 leagues) to obtain the bows, and that the tree grows in quantity in the area (Margry 1879:412). Neither the wood nor the tree from which it came are named or described, however, either in the most recent English translation (Foster 1998:248) or in the French printed editions (DeMichel 1713:282; Margry 1879:412, 424). Joutel’s omission is odd since he was reportedly the son of a gardener (Harisse 1872:165), and he took the trouble to describe a sweetgum tree that La Salle had marked near the Trinity River (Foster 1998:202, fn22) and palm trees near Garcitas Creek (Foster 1998:124). It seems likely that Joutel did not actually encounter a bois d’arc tree himself but only the bows or talk of bows. Ann Early (2000:102) points out it is possible that the entire bow-trading episode was inserted into Joutel’s published account by the editors from Henry de Tonti’s account of the expedition or a forged account falsely attributed to Tonti that circulated in Paris prior to 1713 (Delanglez 1985). To determine whether the episode was inserted into Joutel’s account by an editor, a copy of the Joutel manuscript in the United States Library of Congress was examined but found to be inconclusive: the notebook covering the period of the Joutel’s first mention of the bow trade (Cahier 14) was missing from the French National Marine archives at the time of transcription (Joutel 1684-1687) (Figure 4). A second reference to the bow trade is present, however, in the manuscript and in the Margry edition (Margry 1879:424). Although the notebook is missing, the first episode seems plausible given the second manuscript reference, the early 19th century distribution of bois d’arc, the suitability of bois d’arc for bow making, and a probable history of bois d’arc bows in the area as evidenced by an Early Caddo bow reportedly made from bois d’arc recovered at Mounds Plantation in Caddo Parish, Louisiana (Webb and McKinney 1963, 1975).
Figure 4. Manuscript page from Joutel 1684-1687 indicating missing Notebook 10.
18th Century

Although there are few to no historic records of bois d’arc for the 18th century, it is likely that bois d’arc expanded its range into West Texas during this time. Horses became common among Native American communities in Texas at the turn of the 18th century, re-introducing a possible large mammal dispersal agent for bois d’arc populations. In his 1846 journal, Ferdinand Roemer indicates travelers progressed through Texas on horseback at about 40 miles per day (Roemer 2011:61). Horses have a gut rate of about 24 hours (Town and Country Veterinary Hospital, personal communication, 2011). Bois d’arc stands reproduce after about 10 years (Smith and Perino 1981). Calculations from these data point to a maximum rate of advance for bois d’arc populations of about 40 miles per decade or four miles per year. In this scenario, the earliest bois d’arc could possibly become horse-dispersed in Menard is about 1804. Thus, the West Texas stands of bois d’arc could have become established prior to most European settlement but after the collapse of Toyah/Caddo trade networks.

19th Century (Early)

After 1803, historic references to bois d’arc become numerous. Meriwether Lewis famously sent bois d’arc samplings, which had been transplanted at an Osage village, to President Thomas Jefferson in 1804, with a note that their original source was 300 miles away, presumably on the Red River (Jurney 1995; Turner 2009). William Dunbar and George Hunter reported bois d’arc in the Ouachita River valley in 1804 (Early 2000:103; Jurney 1995). Peter Custis saw a very large specimen near Natchitoches in 1806 that may have been transplanted (Flores 1984:260). Anthony Glass described the first large wild stands in 1807 on the Red River, including the population on Bois D’Arc Creek that Schambach believes was the post-Pleistocene remnant population (Early 2000:106; Jurney 1995).

Smith and Perino (1981:Figure 2) noted that the extent of the “natural” range of bois d’arc was not known and provided four possible native distributions, all of which included Northeast Texas/Southwest Arkansas and two of which centered on that region. Later authors’ examinations of documentary evidence have clarified the probable distribution of bois d’arc, at least as of 1860 in Texas.

Archeologist David Jurney (1995) and historian Del Weniger (1996) attempted to reconstruct the early historic and late prehistoric range of bois d’arc trees. Their efforts have focused on Texas, using historical accounts and General Land Office records prior to 1860. Weniger restricts the range of bois d’arc to 12 counties in Northeast Texas (Figure 5). Jurney (1995) comes to very nearly the same conclusion but couches it in more satisfying ecological terms by naming the streams along which he believes the major populations of bois d’arc were dispersed: the East Fork of the Trinity River and one tributary, Rowlett Creek; the North Fork Sulphur River and some tributaries; and Bois D’Arc Creek, a tributary of the Red River in Fannin and Lamar counties, Texas. In the absence of Pleistocene megafauna, most authors believe water became the main dispersal agent of bois d’arc fruits (Barlow 2000; Jurney 1995). Weniger’s and Jurney’s findings may probably be extrapolated to areas immediately across the Red River in Oklahoma and Arkansas.
19th Century (Late)

The *Prairie Farmer* magazine was instrumental in greatly expanding the range of bois d’arc in the last half of the 19th century, when it began to promote the tree as a hedge plant (Turner 2009). The first issue of *Prairie Farmer* in 1841 featured an editorial inquiry seeking information about such a use of bois d’arc. Over the next 14 years, bois d’arc discussions become increasingly commonplace in the journal, such that by 1855 another editorial attempted to settle the question of who should receive primary credit for introducing bois d’arc as a hedge plant. Bois d’arc place names can be found today throughout much of the United States, reflecting the success of the planting program. In fact, modern visitors to the Janee site usually travel Bois D’Arc Road to reach it.

**THE JANE Fly BOIS D’ARC SPECIMEN**

Identification of bois d’arc from fossil specimens, including archeological specimens, typically faces several difficulties, the most important of which is that identification is not usually made from the entire plant but from one or more parts of it. *Maclura pomifera* wood is ring-porous and packed with tyloses (Hoadley 1990; Fanshin and deZeeuw 1980). It can be separated from mulberry (*Morus* spp.) and locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* and *R. neomexicana*), which share these characteristics, by the presence of vestured intervessel pits (in *Robinia*) or crystals (in *Maclura*), or on the basis of ray seriation (the number of cells across the widest point in a ray). Mulberry wood has the widest rays, typically 7-8 seriate, while black locust rays are usually 3-5 seriate and bois d’arc’s are 2-4 seriate (Hoadley 1990). The *Maclura* specimen at Janee was identified on the criterion of ray seriation: it has rays that are usually 2-3 seriate, with the largest rays being 4 seriate. It was not examined for the presence of vestured intervessel pits or crystals, but since the range of *Robinia pseudoacacia*, another good bow
wood, is even more distant from the Janee site than bois d’arc, and *R. neomexicana* is also distant (250 miles, in the Guadalupe Mountains) and at 12-15 feet in height too small to provide good bow wood, examination of ray seriation is believed to be sufficient in this instance. Wood tissue of *Maclura tinctoria*, the Central American species, is diffuse-porous and easily distinguishable from *Morus*, *Robinia*, and *Maclura pomifera* in transverse section at low magnification.

Bois d’arc wood charcoal has been identified at post-A.D. 900 Caddo sites in East Texas, including Stallings Ranch (41LR297), Henry M. (41NA60), and Murphy Branch (41MX5) (Brewington et al. 1992; Bush 2008; Perttula et al. 2010). As discussed above, available evidence indicates the range of bois d’arc did not include Central Texas during the early 16th century, when the Janee specimen was growing. The question of how and why bois d’arc wood arrived at the Janee site is thus more interesting than is usual for wood charcoal specimens on archeological sites.

Other woods identified at Janee are interpreted as fuel wood, both from their archeological contexts (hearth) and their taxa identifications. The six wood charcoal samples identified consisted of pecan, oak (red group, white group, and live group), mesquite, acacia, elm, and hoptree. These are all types of trees that would have grown along the San Saba River or in the uplands nearby, and which continue to grow in the area today. Both the transportation costs and properties of bois d’arc wood argue strongly against its use as a fuel wood at the Janee site. The transportation costs of using non-local wood for fuel are generally prohibitive (Asch and Asch 1986; Shackleton and Prins 1992), making the exotic bois d’arc an unlikely fuelwood. Further, although its wood is very dense, producing high heat and good coals, bois d’arc also produces so many sparks that it makes a distinctly unpleasant firewood (Graves 1919; Smith and Perino 1981:33). Given the probable range of bois d’arc in the early 16th century and the wood’s historically-documented uses among Native Americans, the Janee bois d’arc specimen was probably part of a bow or a stave for bow making.

Early historic trade routes between East Texas and La Junta (the confluence of the Rio Conchos and the Rio Grande) are documented through the Menard area, making it possible to identify the traders and the routes they traveled into Northeast Texas where the bows or their raw material would have been available. Arnn (2007:218, 420 and Figure 10.2) emphasizes the frequency and routine nature of travels by Jumanos between La Junta and Caddo villages in Northeast Texas. Exotic items other than bois d’arc were recovered from Janee in the form of arrow points (Arnn 2007:361), and exotic items are known from other Toyah phase sites in the area as well (Arnn 2007:359). Arnn proposes a continuity between the Late Prehistoric Toyah in this area and historic Jumano traders. Mariah Wade (2003:221, 248, note 44) notes that one sub-group of the Jumanos were known as the Good Bows (Arcos Buenos; also Arcos Fuertes or Arcos Tuertos or Los que Hacen Arcos). The “twisted” bows of this group are also referred to as “Turkish” bows; the term apparently describes a re-curved or double-curved bow. Double-curving does not necessarily make for a good bow (Hamilton 1982:6) but use of bois d’arc wood often does. Given the known location of large bois d’arc populations in Northeast Texas and the early historic evidence that Jumano traders with good bows traveled through the Janee site area, it seems likely that the bois d’arc fragment at Janee is best interpreted as part of a trade item from Northeast Texas, a bow or stave intended for bow-making.
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