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Current Research:
A Pilot Study in the Use of pXRF Analysis of Caddo Ceramics

Tom Middlebrook¹ and C. Colleen Hanratty²
¹Texas Archeological Stewardship Network, ²University of Texas at Tyler

Wilson “Dub” Crook III’s (2018) paper presented to the East Texas Archeological Conference concerning the use of X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) in sourcing turquoise artifacts sparked an interest in utilizing pXRF to resolve an old Caddo ceramic research question. While XRF has been used in archaeology for more than 60 years (Shackley 2011:1), and there have been applications in ceramic studies (Shackley 2012; Ownby 2012; Hunt and Speakman 2015; Forster et al. 2011; Speakman et al. 2011; Shugar and Mass 2012), a recent review of the 9th Edition of The Archaeology, Bioarchaeology, Ethnohistory, and History of the Caddo Indian Peoples of Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas (Perrtula 2019), a comprehensive bibliography, did not yield a single reference to a pXRF study in Caddo ceramics. This article will summarize: (1) the research question arising from work at the Morse Mound Site (41SY27), (2) an overview of how pXRF works, and (3) the data analysis from this pilot study.

In January and February 2000, archaeologists from the Texas Historical Commission and the Texas Archeological Stewardship Network excavated portions of two Caddo earthen mounds at the Morse Mound site near Center, Texas (Bruseth et al. 2000; Middlebrook 2014). The larger mound (Mound A) was a low conical mound standing 1.0 meter (m) high and 15 m in diameter. Beneath this mound was the posthole outline of a 5.6 m round house containing a central 3.6 m round shaft tomb of an important elite individual. Evidence suggested that the house had been burned and pushed into the pit after interment and partial filling of the pit. The building, perhaps the residence of the deceased elite, and the tomb, were commemorated with the construction of the mound. The acidic soil chemistry at the site led to the complete dissolution of all skeletal and dental remains. Interestingly, most of the perishable materials placed in the grave such as wooden boxes, baskets, matting, arrow shafts, and cordage were replaced by a distinct fine gray silty material. Inside the outline of the Caddo elite’s coffin was a 25.6 cm long Anderson knife, two carved stone earspools, and two sets of Bassett points (n=20, and n=15). Just outside the coffin and to the individual’s upper left was a small gray silty area containing another quiver of Bassett points (n=8), two carved stone earspools, and a clay pipe. This latter collection may have represented the interment of a second individual or the placement of a sacred bundle. Outside of the coffin and to the interred persons’ right side were 11 ceramic vessels: two Glassell Engraved globular bottles with long necks, four Tyson Engraved bowls, a Broaddus Brushed jar, a Belcher Ridged jar, an untyped brushed jar, an untyped pinched jar, and an elongated Hume Engraved bottle. This last vessel was in poor condition due to its very soft surface and could not be fully reconstructed (Figure 1). From the time of its initial assessment, this “Index Vessel” was considered to have been a possible trade item from a Frankston phase Caddo community in the Upper Neches region, some 75 miles to the west. This speculation was based on its distinctive vessel form, engraved decoration, and its soft, orangish exterior surface. All the other fine ware vessels from the Morse Mound site are from vessel types that are known from other Shelby County sites. In order to obtain additional comparative compositional data bearing on the question of the vessel’s trade status, all ceramic objects from the Morse Mound shaft tomb were subject to pXRF analysis done at the University of Texas at Tyler in February 2019.

X-ray fluorescence analysis determines the qualitative elemental composition of an object and may provide quantitative data in parts-per-million. Hand-held portable Energy-Dispersive XRF instrumentation has multiple advantages: (1) the potential for being non-destructive to the studied object, (2) minimal preparation to study an object, (3) fast data recovery, (4) ease of use, and (5) cost effectiveness (Shackley 2012:8f). Some
We collected data from each of the Morse Mound ceramic objects with a Burker Tracer III-SD system utilizing a rhodium target X-ray tube as an excitation source and a silicon drift detector with a resolution of approximately 145 eV at 100,000 cps. No filter was used. Data was processed with Bruker’s software suite with basic empirical calibration. Data was collected over an area of 10 mm² for 60 seconds per sample. Two to four (average three) samples were collected per object, typically from smooth spots on the interior surface, exterior surface, and at a patch of exposed paste. The samples from each ceramic object were averaged for comparison and use in development of scatterplots in Microsoft Excel. Peak counts for Kα (and Lα in the case of Th) were recorded for 24 elements including silicon, chlorine, argon, potassium, calcium, scandium, titanium, vanadium, chromium, manganese, iron, cobalt, zinc, gallium, arsenic, rubidium, strontium, yttrium, zirconium, niobium, ruthenium, rhodium, cesium, and thorium.

Initially, we selected three elements that had clear peaks on the pXRF spectrum to use for comparisons of the ceramic vessels and pipe: potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), and Iron (Fe). While minor or trace elements are most frequently used in sourcing studies of sherds and many other archaeological materials, we decided in this pilot study to use some of the most common elements that perhaps would distinguish various clays. When the three scatterplots were produced (Fe/K, Fe/Ca, K/Ca), the Index vessel (V-1) was an outlier on all the plots. Of all 11 ceramic vessels, V-1 had the lowest average K and Ca content, and the second highest Fe content. Interestingly, two other objects, namely the pipe and the pinched decorated Vessel 9, clustered with V-1 on all three plots. Both of these items also had the reddish-orange color and softer surface that characterized the Index vessel. Both objects may have been produced in the Upper Neches region as well based on style and decoration (Timothy K. Perttula, personal communication, February 2019). Because the production methodology of Caddo fine wares may differ from utility wares, a set of scatterplots for Fe/K, Fe/Ca, and K/Ca were made just looking at the seven fine ware objects. The Index vessel stood out as an outlier in all three cases (Figures 2-4).
Ferguson (2010) reanalyzed the Texas Caddo Neutron Activation Analysis database from nine designated drainage basins in East Texas. (Shelby County sites fall in the study’s Region 9, and the Upper Neches sites are within Region 8). His research employed bivariant scatterplots of Fe and 10 other trace elements (cesium, chromium, arsenic, hafnium, titanium, antimony, vanadium, lutetium, cerium, and thorium). Utilizing two elements, namely chromium and arsenic, helpful in analyzing sites within Region 8 in Ferguson’s study, we constructed a scatterplot of the seven Morse Mound fine ware vessels to see if trace elements could provide helpful information regarding compositional distinctions between our Index vessel and others thought to be locally made. Again, the data from V-1 was an outlier (Figure 5).

This small pilot study is one of the first to use pXRF in Caddo ceramic research. It proved to be a rapid, non-destructive, and inexpensive method for generating elemental composition data regarding Caddo pottery. The results generally supported the notion that Vessel 1 was not typical of most of the other ceramic items in the Morse Mound shaft tomb and may represent a trade item. The pipe and Vessel 9 appear compositionally similar to the Index vessel and may have derived from a similar production location. Additional pXRF data from known ceramics from the Upper Neches region will be needed to confirm a compositional similarity of Vessel 1 with Frankston phase ceramic assemblages.
The limitations of this study are numerous. In future studies, many more samples or counts from each object would be needed. We propose that six to eight readings be taken for each ceramic item, perhaps dropping the high and low count, and averaging the remaining ones. This seems prudent in complex, tempered, heterogenous objects like Caddo pottery. Beyond the basic counts used for comparison, full quantitative data in parts-per-million need to be recorded. Dub Crook (personal communication, February 24-26, 2019) kindly provided useful feedback shortly after this paper was originally presented. He suggested: (1) longer counts, perhaps 300 seconds; (2) use of freshly broken surface for taking readings in the core of the ceramic objects while also taking into consideration the effects of groundwater deposition on exterior surfaces; (3) focus on minor and trace elements for comparison; and (4) the development of a meaningful regional sherd and clay database for analytic comparison.
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