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The Middle Caddoan Period in the Big Cypress Creek Drainage Basin

Bo Nelson and Mike Turner

The Middle Caddoan period in the Big Cypress Creek drainage basin has been based upon a synthesis of Thurmond’s (1990) archaeological overview of the basin. Thurmond defines a transitional Caddoan period (dating ca. A.D. 1300-1400) from 14 sites that have ceramic assemblages combining Early Caddoan and Late Caddoan stylistic attributes. A review of these sites, along with additional information from recent archaeological investigations (e.g., Kotter et al. 1993), suggests that the Middle Caddoan period in the Big Cypress Creek basin has an evolving cultural diversity that extends over a longer period of time, fitting well with Story’s (1990) definition of the period as dating from ca. A.D. 1200-1400.

Although there is an absence of documented subsistence evidence and few radiocarbon dates (only seven from four sites), there are recognizable distinctions that may be made about Middle Caddoan period settlement patterns, mortuary practices, and the material culture record in the basin (Table 1). Based on these observations (discussed below), and the currently available archaeological record, 38 sites in the Big Cypress Creek drainage basin may now be classified as belonging to the Middle Caddoan period (Figure 1).

Most of these sites are located in the extreme western portions of the basin in the vicinity of mounds (Z.V. Davis-McPeek, 41UR4/99) and mound complexes (Keith, 41TT11, and Hale, 41TT12) that date to either the Early or Middle Caddoan period. The majority of the sites (n=23) represent small settlements (farmsteads and hamlets), but there are recognizable larger sites covering several acres (41CP15, 41CP230, 41TT110, and HS-Skiles [no trinomial]).

Twenty-two of the 38 sites (see Figure 1) are small cemeteries or are habitation sites with an associated family burial ground containing less than 10 individuals; these burials usually have small amounts of grave goods. The grave goods often appear to be age and/or gender specific (e.g., miniature vessels, pipes, and arrow points), with children or adolescents sometimes buried alongside the adults. There are also reports of deep shaft burials (some with multiple interments) along Greasy Creek, but as there are several Middle Caddoan cemeteries in this area (41CP3, 41UR1, 41UR17, and 41UR21), the exact locations are unknown; descriptions of some of the burials, along with photographs of some of the grave goods, do exist, however.

It is the ceramic sherds and vessels from these settlements and cemeteries that the greatest distinctions may be made between the known Middle Caddoan period sites in the Cypress Creek basin. The comparison of ceramic assemblages from Middle Caddoan sites within the basin document at least three spatial and/or temporal groupings. These groups are best characterized by differences in the proportions of such decorative techniques as red slipping, brushing, and engraving (see Table 1).

The first group of sites contain identifiable vessels of Sanders Plain, Sanders Engraved, and Canton Incised. These are associated in burials with plain jars and small bowls, and if accompanied by a water bottle, the bottle is usually more elaborately engraved. The engraved designs include solid or cross-hatched pendant triangles around lines; vertical or horizontal zones divided by ladders or cross-hatching, with the zones sometimes filled with the same or alternating circular or rectangular designs. Other vessels have decorative elements of diagonal incising, cross-hatched pendant triangles, rows of punctations (often parallel), and engraved ladders. Rims are straight to slightly thickened with some lip notching, and some rims contain from two to four tabs or lugs. Vertical scalloping of the
Table 1. Characteristics of the Middle Caddoan Period in the Cypress Creek Basin

**CERAMICS**

**GROUP 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifiable Types:</th>
<th>DECORATION TECHNIQUES:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanders Plain</td>
<td>pendant triangles (solid &amp; cross-hatched) diagonal lines (engraved &amp; incised)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders Engraved</td>
<td>vertical &amp; horizontal zones of ladders or cross-hatching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canton Incised</td>
<td>circular designs; rectangular designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effigy Vessels</td>
<td>punctations (often in parallel rows); applique (small amounts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecorated small Bowls &amp; Jars</td>
<td>scalloped rims (vertical &amp; horizontal); rim tabs (two to four) lugs (two to four)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rim punctations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>red slipping (large amounts)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>brushing (very small amounts if present)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GROUP 2**

| Sanders Engraved                     | engraved designs increase in varieties & amounts                                                                                                   |
| Canton Incised                       | horizontal & vertical scrolls; ladders                                                                                                              |
| Haley Engraved                       | pendant triangles (small solid & large cross-hatched)                                                                                               |
| Maxey Noded Redware                  | punctating; applique; neck-banding; decorative straps (all increase)                                                                               |
| Pease Brushed-Incised                | red-slipping still in large amounts some with scroll engravings                                                                                     |
| Rattlesnake Motif                    |                                                                                                                                                      |
| La Rue Neck Banded                   |                                                                                                                                                      |
| Undecorated small Bowls & Jars       |                                                                                                                                                      |

**GROUP 3**

| Canton Incised                       | Vessels begin to resemble the shapes, manufacture, and contain designs similar and with some elements like those better known in Late Caddoan |
| Haley Engraved                       | brushing becomes dominant decorating technique                                                                                                    |
| Pease Brushed-Incised                | red slipping (very small amounts)                                                                                                                    |
| Rattlesnake Motif                    |                                                                                                                                                      |
| La Rue Neck Banded                   |                                                                                                                                                      |

Calibrated C14 DATES in the Cypress Basin

41TT11 (AD 1309-1356); (AD 1383-1445)
41TT372 (AD 1216-1283); (AD 1306-1365); (AD 1337-1394)
41UR133 (AD 1295-1455)
41UR142 (AD 1160-1292)
Figure 1. Middle Caddoan Sites in the Cypress Creek Drainage Basin.
KEY: Middle Caddoan Sites in the Cypress Creek Drainage Basin.

1. 41FK4       C
2. 41FK7       C SS
3. 41FK32      C SS
4. 41CP230     LS
5. 41CP16      SS
6. 41CP39      SS
7. 41TT110     C LS R
8. 41TT310     SS
9. 41TT115     SS
10. 41CP47     SS
11. 41TT121    SS
12. 41CP15     LS
13. 41TT52     SS
14. 41TT14     SS
15. 41TT36     C SS
16. 41TT650    C SS
17. 41TT372    SS
18. 41TT135    C SS
19. 41TT16     SS
20. 41FK70     C SS
21. 41FK66     SS
22. 41FK65     SS
23. 41CP10     C SS R
24. 41TT226    C SS
25. TT-Swanano  C
26. 41CP3      C SS
27. 41UR17     C
28. 41UR1      C
29. 41UR21     C R
30. 41UR142    SS
31. 41UR133    SS
32. 41UR8      C
33. 41MR6      C R
34. MR-Big Oaks C
35. 41MR63     C R
36. MR-Anjo    C
37. CS-Hay     C R
38. HS-Skiles  C LS

C Cemetery
SS Small Settlement
LS Large Settlement
R Rattlesnake Motif

MOUNDS
A. 41TT12     L.A. Hale Early Caddoan
B. 41TT11     George L. Keith Middle Caddoan
C. 41UR4 / 99 Z.V. Davis / McPeek Early Caddoan
rim is also noted, along with scalloping extending horizontally out from the mouth of the vessel (Figures 2-4). While ceramic sherd counts will have large amounts (up to 30-40%) of undecorated red-slipped wares, the most distinguishing characteristic of the first group is the absence of brushing as a decorative treatment. Sites included in the first group include: 41CP16, 41CP39, 41CP47, 41FK4, 41FK7, 41FK32, 41FK65, 41FK70, 41TT135, 41TT372, and 41TT650 (see Figure 1).

The second group of Middle Caddoan sites have Sanders Engraved, Canton Incised, Maxey Noded Redware, Haley Engraved, Pease Brushed-Incised, and vessels with the engraved rattlesnake motif (see Turner, this volume). Many bowls and jars remain undecorated, but engraved vessels are more common. Decorative elements include ladders, horizontal and vertical scrolls, circular lines and branches, large cross-hatched pendant triangles, and repeating paneled triangular and circular motifs, along with the red-slipping of some engraved vessels (see Figures 2-4). While vessels with punctations, applique, neck-banding, and decorative straps occur in noticeable amounts, red slipping remains common (up to 20-30%). Brushing becomes one of the dominant decorative techniques, occurring in frequencies between 25-40%. The following sites in the Big Cypress Creek basin are included in the second group: 41CP10, 41CP15, 41CP230, 41TT11, 41TT14, 41TT16, 41TT36, 41TT52, 41TT110, 41TT115, 41TT121, 41TT226, TT-Swanano, 41UR133, and 41UR142 (see Figure 1).

The ceramics associated with the third group show, more than do the other two groups, closer stylistic relationships with the subsequent Late Caddoan period in the Big Cypress Creek basin. There is increased brushing (30-50%) in the ceramic assemblages, while red slipped pottery becomes a minor component (less than 5%). Vessels begin to have the shapes, the manufacturing techniques, and the designs very similar to those found in the better known Late Caddoan types (Figures 5-7). The engraved rattlesnake motif is still present (see Figure 7d), however, along with Pease Brushed-Incised and Haley Engraved, and engraved ceramics increase in amount and diversity in this third group of Middle Caddoan period sites. Sites included in the third group include the following: 41CP3, CS-Hay, HS-Skiles, 41MR6, 41MR63, MR-Big Oaks, MR-Anjou, 41UR1, 41UR8, 41UR17, and 41UR21 (see Figure 1; see also Turner, this volume).

References Cited

Kotter, S. M., R. Rogers, R. Taylor, K. Reese-Taylor, and W. P. Glander

Story, D. A.

Thurmond, J. P.
1990 Archeology of the Cypress Creek Drainage Basin, Northeastern Texas and Northwestern Louisiana. Studies in Archeology 5. Texas Archeological Research Laboratory, The University of Texas at Austin.
Figure 2. Group 1 and 2 Vessels: a-b, plain bowls; c-e, engraved bowls; f, effigy bowl.
Figure 3. Group 1 and 2 Engraved Bottles.
Figure 4. Group 1 and 2 Incised, Punctated, and Plain Bowls and Jars.
Figure 5. Group 3 Vessels: a-c, jars; d, Haley Engraved; e, slipped bottle.
Figure 6. Group 3 Engraved Bowls.
Figure 7. Group 3 Engraved Bowls and Bottle with Engraved Rattlesnake Motif.