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The ancient Maya site of Kichpanha has received attention from several archaeologists over the past 13 years. First, Norman Hammond, Richard Wilk, and others from the Corozal Project recorded and sampled the site. In 1979, the Colha Project began limited research at Kichpanha, first through surveys by Thomas C. Kelly and Fred Valdez, Jr., and later through test excavations conducted by Eric C. Gibson in 1981 and 1983, and lithic analyses carried out by Harry J. Shafer in 1981. In 1985, Eric Gibson, a Research Associate of the Center for Archaeological Research and a doctoral student at Harvard University, began what is hoped to be a series of investigations at Kichpanha, under the aegis of an Antiquities Permit from the Belize Department of Archaeology. The initial results of Gibson's work are published here. We look forward to his further research at this important site and the contributions that will be made to Maya archaeology in northern Belize.

Thomas R. Hester  
February 18, 1986
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INTRODUCTION

Archaeological research, conducted intermittently at Kichpanha, Belize, from 1973 to 1983 was primarily limited to surveying and mapping. During the 1985 season, test excavations initiated in the 1983 season were continued (Gibson 1985a). House mounds and plazuela groups yielded further evidence of extensive use of the site from the Xe and early facet Mamom phases (relatively dated to approximately 900-700 B.C.), until its near total abandonment in the Early Postclassic (ca. A.D. 900-1000). In this paper we present some preliminary results of the 1985 season at Kichpanha in the context of our research foci which included economic relationships with the lithic industrial site of Colha to the south and identifying the subsistence base of Kichpanha.

Discovered in a grave containing Late Preclassic and Protoclassic ceramics situated in a low house mound was one of the most significant artifacts recovered, an extraordinary bone, probably a carved bloodletting implement or knife, with eight inscribed hieroglyphic symbols. These glyphs are among the earliest evidence of Maya writing yet found. The art style that these glyphs were executed in is truly exquisite in its attention to fine details.

Six burials were together in this grave, along with jade and marine shell beads and pendants, several well-made ceramic vessels, and other exotic artifacts. Biological data obtained after the skeletons are analyzed, such as nutrition and disease status, will be of considerable interest.

We knew from previous work at Kichpanha that bone preservation was good, yet were surprised to find out just how good it was. In many of the deposits, small anatomical parts such as fish vertebrae, scales, and other bones, including small turtles were recovered along with those of larger mammals. Thus, during this past season, we have been able to partially address some of our major research questions (see below), which our future efforts will enhance.

SETTING

Kichpanha, with its situation in the transitional mosaic of lagoon, marsh, cohune, and pine ridge, is a good candidate for providing some illumination on our research focus: to obtain evidence of the cultural and ecological processes involved in the early stages of Maya civilization at one small site. The occupation sequence revealed from preliminary excavations shows continuous use of the site from about 900 B.C. to A.D. 1000. Evidence indicates that riverine and lacustrine resources (shellfish, tarpon, turtles, perch, etc.) were important to the inhabitants throughout this time span.

Kichpanha also has a pragmatic attraction; the site is small enough to be understood archaeologically. Later renovations were less likely to conceal earlier occupations. During our field work, our focus was on the shore of Kate's Lagoon where an early occupation was located.

An extensive flotation program was conducted during the 1985 season. The use of flotation as a recovery method for faunal remains greatly increased the
sample. Many of the midden and pit features contained small bones and bone fragments which could otherwise have been lost in screening. The inclusion of the small-sized faunal remains indicates that the residents of Kichpanha exploited a diverse resource base, including lacustrine, riverine, and terrestrial resources. This pattern of exploitation has also been noted at Colha in the Preclassic (Shaw 1985). The completed analysis of the faunal remains of Kichpanha will provide one of the few samples from both Middle and Late Preclassic contexts in the Maya lowlands.

Kichpanha is located near the northern limit of the chert bearing soil zone of northern Belize (Fig. 1). The site (Fig. 2) is situated on a small, arable, well-drained cohune ridge that is nearly encircled by water. The axis of the ridge is roughly oriented northeast-southwest. Kate's Lagoon is to the south and west. Along the north and east, Kichpanha borders a brackish, saline marsh that, through a much larger swamp system, eventually connects to the coastal mangrove swamp zone. At present, the site is less than 30 km from the sea. The higher relief pine ridge environment is ca. 1 km west of the site. Colha is ca. 12 km to the southeast and appears to be the nearest arable land in that direction. From its initial archaeological study, researchers emphasized that a wide range of microenvironments came together at the site and would have been available to the prehistoric occupants (Hammond 1973; Gibson 1982). The proximity of a freshwater lagoon, salt marsh, and pine ridge would have provided a wide variety of food and other resources (cf. Voorhies 1982). These characteristics, coupled with the excellent lithic raw material available locally, would have made Kichpanha an attractive location from Archaic times throughout the Maya sequence.

**PREVIOUS RESEARCH**

Past archaeological activities conducted at Kichpanha have been described by Gibson (1982, 1985a). The following will provide a brief summary of recent work at the site. The Late Preclassic occupation of the site was first documented by Norman Hammond's Corozal Project from a bulldozed mound (Fig. 2). Numerous Chicanel sherds and a rather enigmatic anthropomorphic effigy vessel were recovered (Hammond 1973). This vessel was assigned to a Cocos Chicanel affiliation (ibid.). From this same mound (Op. 3003), a bone artifact with carved and incised glyphs was recovered in 1985 (see below).

Initial surveying and testing at the site were conducted in 1981 through the Colha Project's regional survey of northern Belize (Gibson 1982). The following specific problems were addressed during this research (ibid.:155):

1. Was there any evidence that Kichpanha functioned as a lithic production site similar to Colha (Hammond 1973)?

2. How did the settlement pattern at the site compare to that of Colha?

3. Was it a "satellite settlement" of Colha during any period of its occupation (Hammond 1977)?
Figure 1. Location of Kichpanha, Northern Belize.
Figure 2. Map of Kichpanha, as Surveyed by the Colha Project in 1979 and 1981. Gibson's 1985 excavations have not been added to the map.
As reported in another paper (Gibson and Shafer 1982), these data from the 1981 season, coupled with the lithic use and recycling system documented by Shafer (1983) at Pulltrouser Swamp and other sites, attested to the importance of intraregional trade in Colha produced lithics from the Late Preclassic through the Late Classic, in northern Belize (see also Marcus 1983). Additionally, analysis by Adams and Valdez of the 1981 surface-collected ceramics indicated that the site had been occupied from the Early Middle Preclassic through the Early Postclassic (or from 700 B.C. to A.D. 1000). Based on the surface density of these ceramics, Gibson (1982) concluded that Kichpanha had probably been occupied continuously throughout the periods represented. Adams, in his ranked volumetrics method, designated Kichpanha a 2-courtyard site. By a regional comparison, Altun Ha is a 6-courtyard site (Adams and Jones 1981).

The Kichpanha site plan showed a generalized pattern: primarily the monumental structures are located in the northeastern and southwestern sectors. Between these monumental zones are numerous smaller structures. A similar pattern has been described at Nohmul, Aventura, and El Pozito (Hammond 1981:165). A rather unique settlement feature of Kichpanha was identified during the surface survey (Gibson 1982). The site area is relatively small (less than 4.5 km²), but it exhibits intensive settlement in formal plaza and plazuela groups. Almost half of the site's structures are arranged within formal patio groups. Accordingly, the ratio of single, isolated house mounds to those associated with patio groups at Kichpanha is quite different from the Late Classic settlement pattern at Colha (Eaton 1982a) or Altun Ha (Pendergast 1979). Evidence from other lowland Maya sites has shown that people who resided in formal patio groups were of higher social ranks than those who resided at isolated house mounds, and that labor investment in construction materials covaries with social class distinctions (Willey, Leventhal, and Fash 1978; Eaton 1982a; Gibson 1982, 1985b).

The 1982 report on investigations was concluded with the following observations and propositions concerning Kichpanha: The site was at least twice as large as previously described by Hammond. During the Early Middle Preclassic, Kichpanha developed into a small agricultural community, with a heavy reliance on lagoon, marsh, and pine ridge resources. During the Late Preclassic, as Colha emerged as the dominant lithic production center in the northern Belize zone, Kichpanha developed into a "Gateway Community," for the distribution of products manufactured at Colha, to the rest of northern Belize. Through time, local elite lineages, who were active in the exchange system, accumulated wealth and built larger plaza and plazuela groups (Gibson 1982).

During the 1985 season of test excavations at Kichpanha, the research design was aimed at securing chronological and architectural samples from structures whose surface characteristics indicated a high potential for the recovery of middens, burials, floors, and other material indicative of social class distinctions and economic behavior. The bulldozed mound (Op. 3003) came under the closest scrutiny because the damaged surface remains indicated a well-preserved Chicanel phase house floor might lie just beneath the bulldozer track.
Excavations in 1985 commenced on the south-central side of the bulldozed mound. A 1- x 1-m test unit was located away from the overburden of the previous bulldozer activity and near the juncture of the mound and a sacbe (this sacbe connects the bulldozed mound to the largest plaza group on the west side of the site). Upon encountering human skeletal material, the operation was expanded to cover 6 m². The expanded excavations revealed a house floor partially surrounded by structural fill with at least seven inhumations. All but one of these burials were placed in a stone-lined pit feature that had been cut through the plaster surface of the floor. This roughly oval-shaped pit was located in the northeast portion of the unit (ON/2E). The pit was reused in antiquity, as a primary burial with the carved hieroglyphic bone found near the bottom. Apparently the remains of earlier inhumations or secondary burials with their grave goods were placed over this burial.

As we excavated Op. 3003, from 10 cm below the surface on down, it appeared as a complex jumble of fragmentary and crushed human bones. These remains are still being analyzed. A detailed osteological report on these burials is currently being prepared by Elizabeth Gibson of Harvard University. A wide range of Late Preclassic pottery was found with these burials as well as one Protoclassic vessel, which was found in association with the Chicanel vessels (Kathy Reese, personal communication), and the hieroglyphic bone of Burial 6 (Fig. 4).

The burial found outside of the pit was located at the south-central end of the operation (ON/OE-1N/OE), and was disturbed and poorly preserved. All that remained of this burial were heavily fragmented cranial and long bones. The only indication of orientation was the apparently articulated radii and ulnae, resting paired, end to end, with the hands presumably together (Fig. 3). These bones were probably in secondary context. In association with these bones were two Chicanel vessels; a single coral bead; and numerous chert pebbles, cobbles, and lithic debitage. This group of material may have been dug out of the pit, placed to one side, and then not put back with other materials in the main interment. Alternatively, it may have been a separate, secondary burial.

One meter east of these bones was a stone-lined pit (Fig. 3) containing the poorly preserved remains of six individuals (crania labelled with the numbers 1 to 6 in Figs. 3 and 4). In the pit, the burial matrix consisted of hard-packed soil intermixed with marl, extending at its deepest point to 35 cm below the surface. The border of this pit was lined with flat limestone rocks and chert cobbles. A portion of the pit was cut into a previously deposited midden, which had a posthole (Feature 1) associated with it.

The west border of the pit was much shallower (ca. 17 cm below the surface at maximum depth). In this area were the articulated and flexed legs of a skeleton (Burial 1) resting on its left side, with head oriented to the north and face down (Fig. 3). This portion of the pit rested on a partial lens of hard-packed marl and stones approximately 9 cm thick. Adjacent to the north, a flat, well-constructed, marl and plaster floor (Floor 1) extended into the unexcavated portions of the operation.
Figure 3. Operation 3003, Kichpanha. Plan at 10 cm below the surface.
Figure 4. Plan of Burial 6, Kichpanha.

A - MINIATURE OLLA  C - TUBULAR JADE BEAD
B - MARINE BIVALVE  D - MARINE BIVALVE PENDANT
Beneath the midden and Floor 1, at approximately 26 to 32 cm below the surface, another marl floor was encountered (Floor 2). This floor overlay a 10-cm-thick deposit of construction fill which consisted of a large quantity of chert and chalcedony cores, but very few ceramics. A dark brown clay stratum, which resembled natural subsoil was encountered at 42 cm below the surface. The few ceramic fragments found in this clay were heavily eroded. At about 60 cm below the surface, this clay stratum graded into a sandy limestone layer. Limestone bedrock occurred at 1.02 cm below the surface.

Of the six crania recovered, three (Nos. 2, 3, and 4) had been partially sheared by the bulldozer. The remaining portions of these three crania appeared to be relatively undisturbed from their original context. Two crania (Nos. 2 and 3) were cut longitudinally. Cranium 3 displayed a profile facing east. Burial 4 was found resting inside a complete, red on orange Floral Park tetrapod bowl. The tetrapods were in the form of solid nubbins. Immediately adjacent to this vessel was a nearly complete Chicanel orange mammiform tetrapod bowl. Finding Burial 3 inside the ceramic bowl suggested that these and the adjacent remains were in a context which had not been disturbed by the bulldozer activities.

**Context of the Hieroglyphic Bone**

The hieroglyphic bone (Fig. 5) lay directly beneath the Floral Park tetrapod bowl at 8 cm below the surface. The bone was oriented 30° west of north. The carved surface was turned over, face down. Although the piece was cracked and fragmented toward the distal end (which projected upward towards the surface), the cracks were postdepositional and are dry bone breaks, probably occurring from reported wet and dry conditions. The hieroglyphic bone lay within a compact mass of human ribs and small bone fragments that were partially overlain by a pair of femora, and it appeared to be associated with the pelvic area of the individual. Directly associated with it were a buff-colored miniature olla vessel and a marine bivalve pendant. Three other marine shell pendants, four jade beads, and three obsidian blades were found in the immediate area of the hieroglyphic bone.

With more skulls than postcranial material with which to match them, and considering that all of the bones were poorly preserved, it was difficult to tell in the field which cranium belonged to the individual who had the hieroglyphic bone in his pelvic area. Subsequent laboratory analysis of the bones and their placement in the Op. 3003 plan suggests Cranium 3 is the skull of the primary burial (Figs. 3, 4). Crania 4, 5, and 6 and all of the ceramic vessels were part of the grave furniture that was placed over the arms and legs of Burial 3. These skulls (Nos. 4, 5, and 6) are aligned, and occurred within 5 vertical cm of each other. Their context suggests that they may have been the heads of sacrificial victims. They do not appear to have been placed randomly in the pit. Such an interpretation is supported by finding Cranium 4 within the tetrapod vessel.
Figure 5. Probable Bloodletting Implement from Operation 3003.
Description of the Hieroglyphic Bone

The hieroglyphic bone artifact contains the first known Maya hieroglyphs engraved on bone and is among the earliest evidence of Maya writing found to date. It has been extensively shaped and modified. Eight delicate glyphs depicting seven heads and one human figure are inscribed on its surface. Originally, it appears to have been a rib taken from a large mammal such as a manatee or tapir. From the proximal end, it is 2.4 cm wide and tapers to 2.0 cm at the distal end. Overall length is 18.6 cm, although fragments (Ap8 in Fig. 5) indicate that when it was complete, it exceeded 20 cm.

The first or uppermost glyph depicts a deity head, face up, with a mirror in its forehead, and may represent the personification (deification) of blood (Fig. 5). The second glyph is the Kinbalam glyph, that of the jaguar god (balam) with the Maya sun or kin sign in its mouth. The third glyph is a human head, possibly indicating the protagonist's name in the story the glyphs depict. His feathered headdress and earplug are elite paraphernalia. Xblanque, one of the Maya hero twins who rescued the earth from the underworld gods, was represented in later epigraphy with jaguar and sun symbols, braided hair, and a headdress. Perhaps the second and third glyphs are early forms of depicting his name. The fourth glyph shows a bird resembling a vulture with a bone in its beak, which may be indicative of a title held by the deceased individual. The fifth glyph, a human head with hair netting pulled back from his forehead with another sign infixed in his mouth. The sixth glyph is partially missing, but enough of it is present to indicate a portion of a human lower body torso bending in a posture possibly for ritual, sacrificial bloodletting, and is a very early symbol in Maya iconography. The seventh glyph is a fragment of a head, and may be a repetition of the third glyph. This probable repetition is the best evidence that the glyphs represent the name of a historical figure who was buried with the hieroglyphic bone. An unidentified fragment of a head (Ap8 in Fig. 5) constitutes the remaining glyph (Peter Mathews, personal communication 1985).

In summary, this hieroglyphic bone artifact was tapered, found in the pelvic or groin area of an inhumation, and quite possibly refers to ritual bloodletting. Probably this carved bone was an implement used for bloodletting, possibly in a sacrificial ritual, perhaps by the individual with whom it was interred.

Dating of Operation 3003

With the exception of the Floral Park tetrapod bowl, all of the recovered vessels and ceramic lots from Op. 3003 were of the Chicanel sphere (Kathy Reese, personal communication). Having a Protoclassic vessel in association with Late Preclassic vessels may indicate a relative date of ca. 100 B.C. to A.D. 150 at the time of the Late Preclassic to Protoclassic transition. As such, this artifact is at least 150 years earlier than the oldest Initial Series dated stela yet found in the Maya lowlands (Tikal Stela 29:8.12.14.8.15 G.M.T. or A.D. 292). Thus, this hieroglyphic bone compares in time depth to some of the early dated monuments elsewhere in the Maya lowlands and in the Pacific piedmont region.
RITUAL BLOODLETTING AND MAYA SYMBOLISM

The Kichpanha bloodletting artifact has an early depiction of what became the dominant subject of Classic Maya monumental art and epigraphy. Royal bloodletting is documented on numerous stelae and other media from a variety of Classic Maya sites (Stuart 1984). In these later representations, this form of autosacrifice is always the province of the rulers and is used to document their dynastic relationships to both mythical and historical ancestors (ibid.:7). The act of ritual bloodletting is often metaphorically referred to as "mothering" or "nurturing" in Maya art and writing. Blood probably represents a nurturing substance. Stuart (1984:15) has succinctly stated the elemental symbolism of the Classic Maya royal bloodletting ritual:

In its essence, this ritual was probably meant to express the ruler's basic relationship to the supernatural world, both through kinship and "motherly" function. Maya rulers, it would appear, considered themselves the intermediaries between the real world and the supernatural realm. In more specific terms, the rulers are shown actually sustaining those gods who probably were identified with certain natural phenomena, perhaps astronomical, or other cosmological aspects whose knowledge is now lost. Such references can be considered metaphysical statements, providing at the same time a definition of social rank and duty.

Thus, the Kichpanha bloodletting artifact comprises an aspect of shared elite material culture, and symbolizes responsibilities held by the nobility at an early stage of Maya sociopolitical development. As such, it along with other recent finds at Colha (a bloodletting artifact in a cache at Operation 2012 [Potter, personal communication]), is additional evidence of Late Preclassic interaction in northern Belize and documents the emerging ethos and shared world view of the provincial rulers (Freidel 1979).

NORTHERN BELIZE AND LATE PRECLASSIC CULTURAL DYNAMICS:
KICHPANHA IN CONTEXT

Kichpanha and its context within the regional systemic complex of northern Belize can be summarized briefly. Population growth, intensive agriculture, and craft specialization have now been documented in many forms in the Maya lowlands. Leaving aside the problem of the "earliest Maya" (Hammond 1977, 1984; Marcus 1983, 1984), the first period from which we have abundant evidence of Maya settlements stretching from Belize to Altar de Sacrificios, Tikal, and El Mirador, is the Middle Preclassic (ca. 900 to 300 B.C.) and its associated Mamom ceramic spheres.

As Hammond (1973, 1977) has shown through his regional survey of northern Belize, by Mamom times, nearly every well-drained cohune ridge with a suitable water source nearby was settled. By the Late Preclassic, a table showing Chicanel phase occupation would include nearly every site ever excavated in the Maya lowlands. Though Demarest (1981:383) has argued from a highland view that "no convincing argument can be made for population pressure in the lowlands before the Late Classic," one has to differ with an
otherwise cogent perspective. Clearly, population growth was extensive in the period stretching from 600 B.C. to A.D. 100.

Developing at the same time in the Late Preclassic, were intensive agricultural systems. Moving from north to south, evidence of intensive agriculture has been found in the following areas: the Rio Candelaria, the Rio Bec farmsteads, the Rio Hondo, Pulltrouser Swamp, Kokeal, Cerros, Rio Azul (Adams, personal communication 1984), Lamanai, the Peten and western Belize (Thompson 1931; Late Classic terraces), and the Caracol zone (Siemens 1982; Flannery 1982). By the Late Preclassic, in many areas milpa agriculture was being replaced as the sole technology for Maya subsistence. Many alternatives to rain-fed swidden agriculture were in practice by the Late Classic: house gardens, orchards, terracing, mounding, playa and levee cultivation, ditching, irrigation, and raised fields (Denevan 1982:183; Wiseman 1983). All of these were methods of intensive agriculture in the sense that Denevan (1982) has described it: "while falling may occur, fallow periods are usually of shorter duration than cropping periods."

Raised fields have not been located in association with Kichpanha, but an intensive aerial survey of the site has not yet been conducted. It is probable that some intensive agriculture (i.e., household gardens) was directly associated with Kichpanha but the minimal arable land within and near the site indicates that some food resources were imported to the site. The faunal remains suggest an intensive use of the immediate surroundings, but trade for marine and mountain resources is also indicated. The faunal assemblages are currently being analyzed and should begin to exhibit the pattern of food acquisition used at Kichpanha during the Preclassic (Shaw n.d.). We hope to clarify the nature of the participation of Kichpanha in the regional trade network, and preliminary data indicate that this will include the exchange of some local food resources to other sites in the area.

Throughout northern Belize and adjacent regions, the change to more intensive agricultural practices would have necessitated changes in lithic technology, particularly for a civilization lacking metallurgy. Tools for clearing and modifying land would have been a necessary precondition. Tools serving the function of axes, picks, and hoes would have been required. Such tools were more numerous and specialized in the Late Preclassic as compared to the Middle Preclassic (Gibson n.d.). The fact that in the same areas, or in regions adjacent to the intensive agricultural production zones, lithic workshops of the scale and magnitude to indicate cottage industries and craft specialization have been documented is probably not a coincidence (ibid.). These areas include the following localities: the Rio Bec (Eaton 1975, 1982b; Thompson n.d.), Colha (Shafer and Hester 1983; Shafer 1983; Hester and Shafer 1984), Rio Azul (Adams, ed. 1984), Caracol (workshops probable; Gibson, direct observation), Tikal-Yaxha, and the Belize Valley (Gibson and Ford, direct observations).

In order to conduct such intensive agricultural practices, certain human and natural resources were required. A local population large enough to construct and maintain the various agricultural systems would have been a crucial requirement. However, tribal societies in New Guinea have been documented to provide an adequate population pool for raised field construction (Heider 1970); this fact also suggests that a complex
sociopolitical structure is not necessarily an important precondition. Although, in the case of the lowland Maya, sociopolitical complexity had started developing in the Middle Preclassic, as public buildings have been documented at Altar de Sacrificios (Willey 1973), Cuello (Hammond et al. 1979), and Colha (Potter 1982). Differential access to exotic resources in the Middle Preclassic is evidenced in burials and domestic middens and is seen at Colha (Hester, Shafer, and Eaton, eds. 1982; Potter et al. 1984), Kichpanha (Gibson 1985a), and Cuello (Hammond et al. 1979). Increased sociopolitical complexity in the Late Preclassic is evidenced at sites too numerous to list here, but a few examples would include Kichpanha, Cerros (Freidel 1979), Altun Ha (Pendergast 1979), Uaxactun (Ricketson 1937; Smith 1950), Altar de Sacrificios (Willey 1973), and El Mirador, the most complex of all Late Preclassic Maya sites (Matheny ed. 1980; Demarest 1984).

RESULTS, INTERPRETATIONS, AND SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS

Though we are still in the process of analyzing our results and will continue to find insights into our research questions, some rather obvious and apparent results can be discussed in a preliminary way.

The fact that we found so much evidence of an aquatic subsistence focus for Kichpanha, throughout the site's occupation sequence, suggests that it may have been a specialized fishing village in the area and may have engaged in relatively little agricultural production. The implications are that more communities had specialized production roles in the prehistoric economic systems than has previously been thought by most researchers.

Also, though Kichpanha doubtlessly had gardens and agricultural crops from Xe times onward, a look at the map shows that it did not have access to large fields capable of agricultural development or intensive production (Gibson 1982, 1985a). The site covers only 4.5 km², and a good portion of that seems to have been taken up by residential structures and sacbes. Thus, from Xe times to the Terminal Classic, Kichpanha had to rely heavily on the lagoon, marsh, and pine ridge ecosystems to supplement its dietary requirements. These may also have been some of its major intraregional trade products. Whether it emerged as a "Gateway Community" in the Late Preclassic through its control of access to Colha, remains a viable possibility (Gibson 1982). Kichpanha may have been a central market for the exchange of Colha lithic craft products and for the produce of its own local aquatic and terrestrial environments. We found no evidence in our 1983 and 1985 excavations to contradict this proposition. On the contrary, the evidence suggests a very early and close relationship with Colha (Gibson 1985a).

The fact that we found the hieroglyphic bone in a low mound dating from the Late Preclassic (ca. 100 B.C.–A.D. 150) has many implications. Some of these implications are only in the developmental stage and, as is the nature of scientific investigations, these implications will raise more questions than they answer. To mention only a few, these implications are as follows:

1. The consensus among Mayanists is that most writing was involved with the monumental art and stelae cults of the central Guatemalan area that commemorated historic events in the life of the Maya governing elite.
However, our find at Kichpanha demonstrates that it is highly probable that writing was more widespread, executed in a variety of perishable media (bone is usually not well preserved), and was highly developed at a much earlier time than had previously been considered. Also, many of the earliest finds of Maya writing have come from Late Preclassic contexts in Belize, such as the Pomona Flare (Kidder and Ekholm 1951; Justeson, Norman, and Hammond 1983), the celt from Mountain Cow (Thompson 1931), and the Kendal celt and effigy shell (Thomas 1897; Mathews 1985). Paradoxically few inscribed stelae were erected at Protoclassic or Early Classic sites in Belize.

2. That such evidence of early writing was found at such a small and rather insignificant mound at a minor Maya site is somewhat surprising. This discovery may stimulate further research in small Maya sites that have hitherto been overlooked. However, it should be reemphasized that Operation 3003 is connected by a sacbe to a very large plaza group (Structure LIII, Fig. 2). Alternatively, it is possible that elite residents of Structure LIII used a house mound for a family burial mound/shrine. Op. 3003's location to the east of this group may be further evidence of this functional change in its usage. Only through further excavations can we gain a better understanding of this low mound.

3. The fact that the hieroglyphic bloodletting implement was found in the house mound or shrine of a local "elite" family suggests many possible interpretations concerning the development of Maya sociopolitical complexity. Why was the burial located in a house mound instead of the monumental zone at Kichpanha? Possibly before the nobles gained the full support of the peasantry, they had to first let blood and make other sacrifices to justify their claims of supremacy. These events may have preceded the actual codification of the social order that followed during the Classic period of Maya civilization. Thus, it may be less surprising than it initially appears, that such an unusual artifact would be found in such a small mound at a relatively small site, at a rather early time in the Maya prehistoric sequence.

Perhaps, owing to the favorable ecological setting of Kichpanha with its abundant aquatic and terrestrial resources and its strategic location in relationship to Colha, it was possible very early on, earlier than we had previously suspected, for certain families and lineages to amass wealth and status, and for a social hierarchy to develop. As this social stratification developed, it is plausible to surmise that wealth alone was not enough to gain status. Based on what we know about Classic Maya society, an observance and commitment to Maya religion and ritual practices could only cement these local elites into their positions of dominance. After the first generations established their placement in the upper echelons of Maya society, it was the later generations who commanded the unquestioned allegiance of the peasantry and commissioned the massive public works projects that resulted in the monumental pyramids and house plazas that are a hallmark of Classic Maya civilization. At Kichpanha, we may have actually gained a "window" into the earliest stages of Classic Maya civilization development. Operation 3003 may be a piece of evidence reflecting how the process began.
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