

Stephen F. Austin State University

**SFA ScholarWorks**

---

Faculty Publications

Forestry

---

3-2017

## Vegetative Comunitiy Development over 30 years within pine plantations on reclaimed mine land in east Texas.

Christy Christian

Brian P. Oswald  
*stephen f. austin*, [boswald@sfasu.edu](mailto:boswald@sfasu.edu)

Hans M. Williams

Kenneth W. Farrish

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/forestry>



Part of the [Forest Sciences Commons](#)

[Tell us](#) how this article helped you.

---

### Recommended Citation

Christian CL., Oswald, BP, Williams HM, and Farrish KW. 2017. Vegetative Community Development over 30 years within pine plantations on reclaimed mine land in east Texas. *Forest Research*.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Forestry at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu](mailto:cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu).

# Vegetative Community Development Over 30 Years within Pine Plantations on Reclaimed Mine Land in East Texas

Christian CL, Oswald BP\*, Williams HM and Farrish KW

Division of Environmental Science, Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F Austin State University, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA

## Abstract

Reclamation of surface mines to plantation forests is a management option that reestablishes both economic and ecological functions after mining. This study investigated vegetative community characteristics (composition, richness, importance) over time in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantations established over a thirty-year period on reclaimed lignite coal surface mine land in East Texas, United States. The open landscape of newly planted loblolly pine plantations on reclaimed mine land was amenable to shade-intolerant herbaceous and grass species but, when the canopy closed, favored woody species (trees, shrubs, vines) within two decades after stand establishment. Given that these plantations were established on sites generally described as dry to mesic uplands, species composition was generally congruent with East Texas ecology. Community composition, species richness and species importance were discussed, and loblolly pine growth data and vegetative community characteristics of unmined loblolly pine plantation sites in East Texas were compared to reclaimed sites. Surface mined lands reclaimed to plantation forests are ideal locations to conduct further research on how biodiversity and other ecosystem services can be improved while maintaining intended economic and ecological purposes.

**Keywords:** Loblolly pine; *Pinus taeda*; Reclamation; Surface mining; Ecosystem services; Biodiversity

## Introduction

Reclamation of surface mines to plantation forests reestablishes both economic and ecological functions after mining. Increasing consumer demand for wood products necessitates using a greater proportion of land for plantation forests [1]. In 2010 reports, planted forests accounted for 7% of forests globally, up from 3% in 1999 reports and 8.3% of U.S. forests, up from 6% in 1990 [1,2]. These planted forests were included in the 30% of all US forests with designated primary use for production of “wood, fiber, bioenergy and/or non-wood forest products” [2]. Plantation forests consisting of pine species with significant economic demand are a commonly utilized post-mining land use. Restoration of ecosystem services is a desirable outcome of mine reclamation. Although differences exist in comparison to natural forests, plantation forest ecosystems can provide long-term forest-based ecosystem services such as wood production, habitat for native flora and fauna, watershed protection and improved water quality and carbon storage [1,3,4]. Also, biodiversity in plantation forests is of increasing interest [1,5-8].

Many studies have investigated soils, vegetation, water quality and more on un-reclaimed and reclaimed mine lands both pre- and post-SMCRA (Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977) [3,4]. Over time, reclamation strategies have changed in order to effectively address environmental issues common on mined land (e.g. acid mine drainage, erosion, lack of natural revegetation) and achieve more successful post-mining land uses [3,4]. Long-term studies of vegetative community development on reclaimed mine land have been invaluable in adjusting reclamation practices, and several (11 to 55 years post-mining) have been conducted within the US [9-13]. Although no long-term studies for East Texas (Piney woods vegetation area) have been performed, a few were conducted in east-central Texas (Post Oak Savannah/Black land Prairie vegetation area) [14-16]. Several short-term vegetation-related studies on reclaimed mine land were conducted in East Texas; these included research on short-term survival and growth of various native pine species in mine soil as well as effects of fertilization rates, cover crops, ectomycorrhizal inoculation,

stock type and seed source on seedlings and young trees planted on reclaimed mine land [17-24]. However, none of these studies addressed plant communities as a whole or over time.

In Texas, current lignite coal mine permits cover nearly 132,000 ha of land, including 1,363 ha disturbed by mining activity in 2014 [25]. In East Texas, loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantations are commonly established on reclaimed mine land as a designated post-mining land use (“forestry”). Some literature was available for vegetative community ecology of loblolly pine plantations in East Texas [26-31], but information about long-term vegetative community development of pine plantations on reclaimed mine land is limited to this study [32]. The objectives of this study were to determine vegetative community characteristics (composition, richness, importance) over time in loblolly pine plantations established over a 30-year time frame (1980 to 2009) on reclaimed lignite coal surface mine land in East Texas, USA. This study provided baseline ecological information about these plant communities that may assist land managers and researchers in adjustment of vegetative reclamation techniques as desired to improve reclamation success, economic benefits and provision of ecosystem services on these reclaimed mine lands.

## Methods

### Study area

This study was conducted on Luminant Mining Company

\*Corresponding author: Oswald BP, Division of Environmental Science, Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F Austin State University, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA, Tel: 9364682275; E-mail: [boswald@sfasu.edu](mailto:boswald@sfasu.edu)

Received January 27, 2017; Accepted February 13, 2017; Published February 19, 2017

Citation: Christian CL, Oswald BP, Williams HM, Farrish KW (2017) Vegetative Community Development Over 30 Years within Pine Plantations on Reclaimed Mine Land in East Texas. Forest Res 6: 194. doi: [10.4172/2168-9776.1000194](https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9776.1000194)

Copyright: © 2017 Christian CL, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

property at Beckville and Tatum mines in Panola County, Texas, USA (approximately lat 32°10' N, long 94°20' W). Post-SMCRA reclamation activities occurred within the study area over the past 30 years, including post-mining establishment of loblolly pine plantations. The study area was characterized by irregular, gently rolling to hilly forestland; high precipitation, humidity and temperatures; acidic sand and sandy loam soils; mixed land use (includes timber, pasture, farm land); and major over story species consisting of pines, oaks, hickories and maples [33]. The “subtropical” climate was characterized by annual rainfall of 42 to 46 in ( $\approx 107$  to 117 cm), mean annual temperature of 66°F ( $\approx 19^\circ\text{C}$ ), and mean frost free period of 230 to 245 days [34].

Generally, pre-mine soils consisted of soil associations Sacul-Bowie, Fuquay-Troup and Nahatche-Mantachie-Urbo, described as follows: Sacul-Bowie, “gently sloping to moderately steep, slightly acid to medium acid, loamy soils on uplands”; Fuquay-Troup, “gently sloping to moderately steep, slightly acid, sandy soils on uplands”; Nahatche-Mantachie-Urbo, “nearly level, slightly acid to strongly acid, loamy to clayey soils on bottom lands” [35]. A mixed overburden reclamation technique was used at these mines, whereby soil overlying coal resources was removed and set aside, coal was extracted, and the removed soil was used to re-fill excavated areas without any effort to restore pre-mining soil profile (i.e. generally, weathered surface soils became mixed with deeper, un-eathered soils).

## Study sites

Fifty-six reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine plantation stands were sampled (30 Beckville, 26 Tatum). For each mine (Beckville and Tatum), study sites were randomly selected to include stands covering a 30-year period (1980-2009) and were grouped into six age categories (i.e. 1 to 5 year, 6 to 10 year, 11 to 15 year, 16 to 20 year, 21 to 25 year, 26 to 30 year). If available, five stands were randomly chosen within each age category (at least one per year as possible). No stands aged 26 to 30 years were available at Tatum. Eleven sites were thinned (11 Beckville, 4 Tatum), ranging in age from 14 to 30 year with only two sites younger than age 22. One Beckville stand (BP8301) contained thinned and unthinned portions.

Each mine’s data was analyzed separately for statistical analyses because available study sites were not evenly distributed by age among the two mines, and data skewing toward one mine or the other may have occurred with combined data. Study sites were not chosen based on stand management techniques, and, given the length of time covered by this study and frequent changes in post-SMCRA reclamation techniques, management methods may have varied among study sites (e.g. initial cover crop, seedling type, planting density, thinning). Other than items specifically discussed, management techniques were not accounted for. Study sites in which thinning activity had occurred were not excluded from this study as thinning is an inevitable management event in pine plantations. This study does not cover a true chrono sequence of 30 years, which would require repeated sampling of the same physical locations; a pseudo-chrono sequence was constructed using available reclaimed pine stands of various ages to represent a 30-year timeline. The phrase “over time” throughout this manuscript should be viewed based on the above explanation. The terms “younger”, “middle-aged” and “older” were applied to stands within the following age ranges, respectively: 1 to 10 year, 11 to 20 year and 21 to 30 year.


## Vegetation

One plot was established in each stand using a modified-Whittaker plot design (20 m  $\times$  50 m rectangular plot containing nested rectangular

subplots of three sizes) (Figure 1) [36,37]. The 50 m side of the plot was situated parallel to the slope of the site to ensure that vegetative samples captured as much heterogeneity as possible. Understory, mid-story and over story strata were sampled for vegetation parameters and defined by vegetation height: understory ( $\leq 1$  m), mid-story ( $>1$  m to  $\leq 6$  m) and over story ( $>6$  m) (Figure 1). Vascular vegetation was identified to species, as possible, for all strata, following the USDA PLANTS Database for scientific name and authority [38]. Visual estimates of species cover (%) were recorded for understory and mid-story strata, and over story species cover was represented by basal area, which was calculated from diameter at breast height (dbh) using the formula  $\pi(\text{dbh}/2)^2$ . Stem counts (density) were recorded for mid-story and over-story strata and converted to stems ha<sup>-1</sup>. Height of each over story individual was measured by range pole ( $\leq 7$  m) or clinometer ( $>7$  m). It should be noted that diameter, height and stem count data were collected for all loblolly pine individuals, regardless of strata; for individuals, shorter than breast height (1.37 m), root collar diameter was measured and used in place of dbh as needed.

Species composition (i.e. presence), species richness, and species importance values (IV) were obtained from field data. Species IV are unit less numbers that indicate the overall contribution of an individual species to a community relative to all other species in the community [39]. The original definition of IV is the sum of relative cover, relative density, and relative frequency [39], and was used for mid-story and over story. For understory, IV was calculated as the sum of relative cover and relative frequency. For each species, the total species IV was calculated as the sum of understory, mid-story and over story IV.

Data analysis included nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS), an ordination statistical technique performed using PC-ORD 6 statistical software [40]. Species codes displayed on ordination graphs are defined in Table A-1 (Supplementary Information, Appendix). City-block distance measures were used for two reasons: 1) the sparsity of the



matrices of the datasets and 2) zeros in the dataset did not necessarily mean the numerical zero. Both Sorensen and Jaccard city-block distance measures were used to ensure that solutions obtained were similar for the two measures. In order to determine the appropriate number of axes, Autopilot was run a minimum of three times using random seeds for each of the Sorensen and Jaccard distance measures. If solutions among the Sorensen and Jaccard distance measures were similar, then Sorensen was used in the manual and final analyses. Running several different analyses ensured that a qualitatively inconsistent solution was not chosen as the final solution. Randomization tests were included to assess the strength of the data pattern. Final stress values, randomization test p-values, scree plots, and plotted ordination solutions were examined for overall qualitative consistency among all solutions. Then, a minimum of three manual NMS analyses were run using the number of axes recommended by Autopilot using Sorensen distance measures. In situations where it appeared that a different number of axes from what Autopilot recommended might be more appropriate, three manual analyses were also run using this alternative axis number. For example, in many cases, two axes were recommended, but stress values and other information indicated that three axes might also be appropriate. Mantel tests were run to compare the two axis quantities in order to determine whether or not they conveyed similar information. If the two different axis quantities provided similar information, the least number of axes was used in the final solution in order to simplify interpretation of results.

## Results

Predictably, mean loblolly pine height, diameter and basal area showed increasing trends over time (Figures 2-4). However, a decrease in basal area values was observed in the oldest age category sampled at each mine (Figure 4). Density increased over time until a noticeable decrease after two decades of growth (Figure 5).

## Species composition


A strong chronological trend in species composition was observed along Axis 1 (represents site age), with older sites generally grouped on the left side of the graph and younger sites on the right (Figures 6 and 7). Over time, species composition became more similar between stands, as evidenced by loosely grouped younger stands and tightly grouped older stands. At Tatum, one 9-year old stand was grouped with the oldest stands and had unique species composition as compared to other younger sites.

Generally, woody vine species (e.g. saw greenbrier (*Smilax bona-nox* L.), Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia* (L.) Planch.), poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans* (L.) Kuntze)) were strongly associated with older sites (species lines point toward older sites), and herbaceous and grass species (e.g. coastal bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers.), Johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense* (L.) Pers.), camphorweed (*Heterotheca*


| Mine                        | Understory stratum | Midstory stratum | Overstory stratum | All strata combined |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Beckville                   | 97                 | 43               | 12                | 104*                |
| (30 stands, 1 to 30 yr old) |                    |                  |                   |                     |
| Tatum                       | 86                 | 21               | 5                 | 86**                |
| (26 stands, 1 to 24 yr old) |                    |                  |                   |                     |

\*49% of species present in <4 stands; \*\*50% of species present in <4 stands.


**Table 1:** Combined plant species richness data for reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands on two lignite coal surface mines in Panola County, Texas, USA.



**Figure 2:** Mean height (m) of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) individuals in reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine plantation stands aged 1 to 30 years at Beckville and Tatum lignite coal surface mines (Panola County, Texas, U.S.A.).




**Figure 3:** Mean diameter (cm) of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) individuals in reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine plantation stands aged 1 to 30 years at Beckville and Tatum lignite coal surface mines (Panola County, Texas, USA).



**Figure 4:** Mean loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) basal area (m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>) by age category in reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine plantation stands aged 1 to 30 years at Beckville and Tatum lignite coal surface mines (Panola County, Texas, USA).

*subaxillaris* (Lam.) Britton & Rusby)) were strongly associated with younger sites (species lines point toward younger sites) (Figures 6 and 7). Woody shrub and tree species were generally not associated with younger sites (e.g. American beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana* L.), yaupon (*Ilex vomitoria* Aiton), eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana*

L.), wax myrtle (*Morella cerifera* (L.) Small), water oak (*Quercus nigra* L.), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua* L.) (species lines point away from younger sites). The variability in species composition shown on Axis 2 was generally related to herbaceous species found in the understory (e.g. goatweed (*Croton capitatus* Michx.), Canada goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis* L.), Carolina horsenettle (*Solanum carolinense* L.)). Ordination graphs of species presence data were updated to note whether stands were thinned (Figures 8 and 9). Most thinned Beckville stands and three of four thinned Tatum stands were 1) grouped closely together and 2) plotted among unthinned older and middle-aged sites; these data indicated thinned stands were similar in species composition to each other and to unthinned sites of similar age.





### Species richness

Total species richness for all strata combined was 104 at Beckville and 86 at Tatum (Table 1). Generally, species richness was greatly increased by rarer species that only occurred in 20% or less of all sites at each mine (71 species at Beckville, 60 species at Tatum) (Supplementary Information, Appendix, Table A-2). Species richness increased over time at both mines, especially in the understory, as a greater variety of volunteer species became established (Figure 10 and 11). After 25 years, an increase in mid-story richness was noticeable at Beckville. Over story richness did not increase until approximately two decades after stand establishment due to loblolly pine dominance. Over story species observed with loblolly pine included tree species such as sugarberry (*Celtis laevigata* Willd.), American elm (*Ulmus americana* L.), sweetgum, southern red oak (*Quercus falcata* Michx.) and cherrybark oak (*Quercus pagoda* Raf.). However, though categorized as over story species, it should be noted that their numbers were few and their mean heights were generally at least 10 m less than loblolly pine. Smaller-stature tree species observed in over story included eastern redbud (*Cercis canadensis* L.), eastern redcedar and red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.).


### Species importance value

Similarities existed between the two mines in regard to important species with loblolly pine and eastern redcedar being the most important tree species and southern dewberry (*Rubus trivialis* Michx.), Johnsongrass, bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum* Flueggé) and Canada goldenrod being the most important understory species, especially in





**Figure 7:** Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS) ordination of reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands (outliers TP9001 and TP0602 omitted) at Tatum lignite coal surface mine (Panola County, Texas, USA) based on species presence (i.e., species composition) and including all vegetation strata (understory, midstory, overstory). Stands represented by triangles and stand names (TP=Tatum pine, first two numbers indicate year of stand establishment between 1986 and 2009, last two numbers indicate randomly assigned stand number). Stands with similar species composition plotted relatively close together and dissimilar stands plotted relatively far apart. Species represented by gray lines and species codes (first three letters each of generic name and specific epithet). Species lines represent strength (direction and magnitude) of a species' association with an axis. Site legend: 1=sites aged 1 to 10 years; 2=sites aged 11 to 20 years; 3=sites aged 21 to 30 years.




**Figure 8:** Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS) ordination of reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands (outlier BP0906 omitted) at Beckville lignite coal surface mine (Panola County, Texas, USA) based on thinning activity and species presence (i.e., species composition) and including all vegetation strata (understory, midstory, overstory). Stands represented by triangles and stand name (BP=Beckville pine, first two numbers indicate year of stand establishment between 1980 and 2009, last two numbers indicate randomly assigned stand number). Stands with similar species composition plotted relatively close together and dissimilar stands plotted relatively far apart. Species represented by gray lines and species codes (first three letters each of generic name and specific epithet). Species lines represent strength (direction and magnitude) of a species' association with an axis. Site legend: 0=unthinned; 1=thinned at some point in time after stand establishment.



| Common name          | Scientific name  | Number of stands where observed | Total species importance value |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Loblolly pine        | <i>Pinus taeda</i> L.                                  | 30                              | 13,409                         |
| Eastern redcedar     | <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> L.                         | 20                              | 4,299                          |
| Yaupon               | <i>Ilex vomitoria</i> Aiton                            | 20                              | 4,127                          |
| Eastern baccharis    | <i>Baccharis halimifolia</i> L.                        | 19                              | 4,114                          |
| Poison ivy           | <i>Toxicodendron radicans</i> (L.) Kuntze              | 18                              | 2,879                          |
| Southern dewberry    | <i>Rubus trivialis</i> Michx.                          | 26                              | 2,831                          |
| Wax myrtle           | <i>Morella cerifera</i> (L.) Small                     | 13                              | 2,512                          |
| Southern red oak     | <i>Quercus falcata</i> Michx.                          | 15                              | 2,505                          |
| Johnsongrass         | <i>Sorghum halepense</i> (L.) Pers.                    | 22                              | 2,474                          |
| Canada goldenrod     | <i>Solidago canadensis</i> L.                          | 23                              | 2,471                          |
| Sugarberry           | <i>Celtis laevigata</i> Willd.                         | 15                              | 2,134                          |
| Virginia creeper     | <i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch.        | 15                              | 1,921                          |
| Bahiagrass           | <i>Paspalum notatum</i> Flueggé                        | 17                              | 1,885                          |
| Woodsorrel           | <i>Oxalis</i> sp. L.                                   | 17                              | 1,858                          |
| Coastal bermudagrass | <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.                     | 16                              | 1,830                          |
| Rosette grass        | <i>Dichanthelium</i> sp. (Hitchc. & Chase) Gould       | 18                              | 1,829                          |
| Blackberry           | <i>Rubus</i> sp. L.                                    | 14                              | 1,767                          |
| Japanese honeysuckle | <i>Lonicera japonica</i> Thunb.                        | 12                              | 1,732                          |
| Saw greenbrier       | <i>Smilax bona-nox</i> L.                              | 17                              | 1,709                          |
| Water oak            | <i>Quercus nigra</i> L.                                | 14                              | 1,621                          |
| Common persimmon     | <i>Diospyros virginiana</i> L.                         | 13                              | 1,537                          |
| American beautyberry | <i>Callicarpa americana</i> L.                         | 13                              | 1,511                          |
| Little bluestem      | <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i> (Michx.) Nash           | 14                              | 1,439                          |
| Goatweed             | <i>Croton capitatus</i> Michx.                         | 13                              | 1,361                          |
| Privet               | <i>Ligustrum</i> sp. L.                                | 10                              | 1,336                          |
| Sweetgum             | <i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> L.                      | 7                               | 1,306                          |
| Muscadine            | <i>Vitis rotundifolia</i> Michx.                       | 11                              | 1,107                          |
| Peppervine           | <i>Ampelopsis arborea</i> (L.) Koehne                  | 9                               | 1,106                          |
| Sericea lespedeza    | <i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> (Dum. Cours.) G. Don          | 10                              | 1,061                          |
| Winged elm           | <i>Ulmus alata</i> Michx.                              | 8                               | 1,050                          |
| Camphorweed          | <i>Heterotheca subaxillaris</i> (Lam.) Britton & Rusby | 9                               | 935                            |
| Wild carrot          | <i>Daucus carota</i> L.                                | 8                               | 812                            |
| Thistle              | <i>Cirsium</i> sp. Mill.                               | 8                               | 804                            |

**Table 2:** Total species importance values (understory, midstory and overstory importance values combined) for plant species observed on more than 20% of reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands aged 1 to 30 years at Beckville lignite coal surface mine (Panola County, Texas, USA).




**Figure 10:** Species richness over time by stratum for reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands aged 1 to 30 years at Beckville lignite coal surface mine (Panola County, Texas, U.S.A).

eastern baccharis is a shrub species more indicative of open and/or disturbed sites while winged sumac tends to be present in more shaded environments. Although several understory species were common

to both plots, the thinned plot contained several herbaceous species indicative of open and/or disturbed sites (thistle (*Cirsium* sp. Mill.), goatweed, everlasting (*Gamochaeta* sp. Weddell), switchgrass (*Panicum*





**Figure 11:** Species richness over time by stratum for reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands aged 1 to 24 years at Tatum lignite coal surface mine (Panola County, Texas, USA).

| Common name          | Scientific name                                 | Number of stands where observed | Total species importance value |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Loblolly pine        | <i>Pinus taeda</i> L.                           | 26                              | 10,700                         |
| Bahiagrass           | <i>Paspalum notatum</i> Flueggé                 | 23                              | 2,962                          |
| Eastern redcedar     | <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> L.                  | 13                              | 2,461                          |
| Johnsongrass         | <i>Sorghum halepense</i> (L.) Pers.             | 19                              | 2,442                          |
| Southern dewberry    | <i>Rubus trivialis</i> Michx.                   | 17                              | 2,060                          |
| Canada goldenrod     | <i>Solidago canadensis</i> L.                   | 19                              | 1,989                          |
| American beautyberry | <i>Callicarpa americana</i> L.                  | 9                               | 1,760                          |
| Sugarberry           | <i>Celtis laevigata</i> Willd.                  | 15                              | 1,703                          |
| Wax myrtle           | <i>Morella cerifera</i> (L.) Small              | 8                               | 1,611                          |
| Yaupon               | <i>Ilex vomitoria</i> Aiton                     | 10                              | 1,584                          |
| Woodsorrel           | <i>Oxalis</i> sp. L.                            | 15                              | 1,551                          |
| Virginia creeper     | <i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch. | 14                              | 1,551                          |
| Poison ivy           | <i>Toxicodendron radicans</i> (L.) Kuntze       | 15                              | 1,547                          |
| Coastal bermudagrass | <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.              | 12                              | 1,462                          |
| Saw greenbrier       | <i>Smilax bona-nox</i> L.                       | 12                              | 1,320                          |
| Goatweed             | <i>Croton capitatus</i> Michx.                  | 9                               | 1,118                          |
| Eastern baccharis    | <i>Baccharis halimifolia</i> L.                 | 8                               | 1,101                          |
| Honeylocust          | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> L.                 | 8                               | 1,100                          |
| Sweetgum             | <i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> L.               | 8                               | 1,000                          |
| Little bluestem      | <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i> (Michx.) Nash    | 9                               | 999                            |
| Peppervine           | <i>Ampelopsis arborea</i> (L.) Koehne           | 6                               | 812                            |
| Southern red oak     | <i>Quercus falcata</i> Michx.                   | 6                               | 721                            |
| Blackjack oak        | <i>Quercus marilandica</i> Münchh.              | 7                               | 704                            |
| Blackberry           | <i>Rubus</i> sp. L.                             | 6                               | 701                            |
| Privet               | <i>Ligustrum</i> sp. L.                         | 6                               | 603                            |
| Muscadine            | <i>Vitis rotundifolia</i> Michx.                | 6                               | 601                            |

**Table 3:** Total species importance values (understory, midstory and overstory importance values combined) for plant species observed on more than 20% of reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands aged 1 to 24 years at Tatum lignite coal surface mine (Panola County, Texas, USA).

*virgatum* L.), bahiagrass, crowngrass (*Paspalum* sp. L.), little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium* (Michx.) Nash), Canada goldenrod) while the unthinned plot contained several tree and shrub species that could

be expected in the understory of a closed canopy forest (sugarberry, common persimmon (*Diospyros virginiana* L.), yaupon, eastern redcedar, water oak).

| Data source | Age range (yr) | Number of trees measured (n) | Diameter (cm) |     |      |      | Height (m) |     |      |      |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----|------|------|------------|-----|------|------|
|             |                |                              | Mean          | s   | Min. | Max. | Mean       | s   | Min. | Max. |
| Beckville   | 6 to 30        | 2290                         | 19.1          | 5.3 | 10.2 | 39.9 | 12.9       | 3.4 | 4.6  | 21.2 |
| Tatum       | 6 to 24        | 1685                         | 18.3          | 4.6 | 10.2 | 36.1 | 12.7       | 3.3 | 4    | 23.9 |
| Unmined*    | 4 to 30        | 236                          | 21.1          | 6.1 | 10.2 | 38.9 | 16.6       | 4   | 5.7  | 25.2 |

**Table 4:** Comparison of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) growth data for reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine plantations (Beckville and Tatum Mines in Panola County, Texas, USA) and unmined, semi-intensive plantation locations in the East Texas region, USA. Mined and unmined plantations were of similar age range and varied management. Diameter measured as dbh (diameter at breast height), and trees smaller than 10.2 cm dbh not included.

| Site name              |                | Species richness |
|------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Cherokee               | CR mean        | 10.7             |
|                        | CR-1*          | 6                |
| (1-year post-thinning) | CR-2*          | 12.6             |
|                        | CR-3*          | 6                |
|                        | CR-4*          | 13.4             |
|                        | CR-5*          | 15.4             |
|                        | SU mean        | 11.8             |
| SU                     | SU-1*          | 11               |
|                        | SU-2*          | 12.5             |
|                        | SU-3*          | 9.9              |
|                        | SU-4*          | 10.3             |
|                        | SU-5*          | 15.3             |
| Beckville              | Beckville mean | 27.4             |
|                        | BP-9301        | 30               |
|                        | BP-9403        | 20               |
|                        | BP-9507        | 25               |
|                        | BP-9511*       | 34               |
|                        | BP-9702        | 28               |
| Tatum                  | Tatum mean     | 21               |
|                        | TP-9301        | 17               |
|                        | TP-9402        | 21               |
|                        | TP-9501        | 21               |
|                        | TP-9502        | 28               |
|                        | TP-9601*       | 23               |
|                        | TP-9702        | 16               |

**Table 5:** Species richness for unmined, thinned, 13 to 17-year-old loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantation stands in Cherokee County, Texas, USA (Ott; Oswald et al.) and reclaimed mine sites planted as loblolly pine plantation stands (thinned and unthinned, 13 to 17 years old) on lignite coal surface mine land (Beckville/Tatum, Panola County, Texas, USA).

At Beckville, loblolly pine was often the only over story species present during the first 25 years after establishment. In most stands older than 25 years, loblolly stems had been thinned, and this allowed richness to increase in both mid-story and over story strata, especially as species like sugarberry, cherrybark oak and eastern redcedar reached over story height. Mid-story density also increased after thinning, given that loblolly pine dominance also suppressed the growth of mid-story species until about 25 years post-establishment, which was when mid-story richness increased. At both mines, decreased over story density in older stands was generally attributed to thinning activity that occurred in 60% of Tatum stands older than 20 years and 100% of Beckville stands 25 years and older. Three of four thinned Tatum stands had similar species composition to each other and were fairly dissimilar to other unthinned stands in their understory composition. These three stands contained unique combinations of herbaceous species not found

in unthinned stands (goatweed, everlasting and sedge (*Carex* sp.) in all three sites and annual ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.) and rosette grass (*Dichanthelium* sp.) in two sites); goatweed, everlasting and annual ragweed are commonly found in open and/or disturbed sites.

Loblolly pine growth data were compared to diameter and height of loblolly pine grown on various unmined semi-intensive plantations in East Texas (Table 4) [42]. Although mean diameter and mean height for mined Beckville/Tatum sites were less than unmined sites (approximately 2 to 3 cm less in diameter and 4 m less in height), growth data were encouragingly comparable overall, suggesting that these mined sites produced merchantable trees near par to unmined sites.

A study in Cherokee County, Texas, USA (Piney woods vegetation area) examined biodiversity in 13 to 17 year old loblolly pine plantations that had undergone mid-rotation thinning within the past year [30,31]. As feasible, data of Beckville/Tatum sites and Cherokee sites were compared to ascertain whether vegetative similarities existed between mined and unmined loblolly pine plantations. Out of 108 species/genera observed on Cherokee sites, 36 (33%) were also found in Beckville/Tatum sites. A likely reason for the other two-thirds of Cherokee species lacking in Beckville/Tatum stands was placement of Cherokee plots on tertiary stream slopes that included measurements from more moist soil conditions on mid-slope and slope bottom areas while Beckville/Tatum plots were on upland sites.

Half of the important herbaceous and shrub species/genera at Cherokee were also important at Beckville/Tatum (yaupon, wax myrtle, wood sorrel, Virginia creeper, blackberry, poison ivy, greenbrier (*Smilax* sp. L.)), which revealed some substantial similarity in composition between the mined and unmined plantations. Species richness in both thinned and unthinned Beckville/Tatum sites was higher at both 1-year and 3-years post-thinning than at Cherokee (Table 5). Some of this difference in richness was likely due to the short amount of time since disturbance by thinning activity in Cherokee sites as mean site richness improved by approximately 5 species between 1 and 3 years post-thinning; most 13 to 17-year-old Beckville/Tatum stands had never been thinned.

Data from Ott, Oswald et al., and Coble and Hilpp are only some of the data available on pine growth and community development aspects of loblolly pine plantation ecosystems in East Texas [30,31,42]. Further detailed vegetation community data for loblolly pine plantations on reclaimed Beckville/Tatum mine land in East Texas are available in Christian [32], and other data exist for East Texas pine plantations [26-29]. A meta-analysis or other synthesis of these data is suggested to attain further understanding of these plantation communities and further discern how mined and unmined plantations compare to one another.

Despite the popular application of terms such as “impoverished”, “tree farm” and “biological desert” to plantation forests, it is important to realize that the plantation forest’s state of affairs is hardly bleak. Many

studies have provided evidence of diverse ecological functions occurring within plantation forests, which is preferable to relatively meager ecological functions provided by deforested and other degraded lands [1,5-8]. The biodiversity of plantation forests spans many taxa and, even if not a defined ecosystem type, plantation forests are functioning communities of flora and fauna. Plantation forests can contribute positively to biodiversity by providing habitat where native organisms can more easily recolonize, adding additional large scale features to surrounding landscape and acting as buffer zones and corridors between natural forest and non-forest. Surface mined lands reclaimed to plantation forests are ideal locations to conduct further research on how biodiversity and other ecosystem services can be improved while maintaining intended economic and ecological purposes.

## Conclusions

Overall, reclaimed mine land in the study area was found to be amenable to establishment of productive loblolly pine plantations based on vegetative community characteristics and development over time. Major species were congruent with those common in forest communities of East Texas, and loblolly pine was observed to establish and maintain dominance as was intended in these plantations; neither of these points were observed to be grossly affected by past mining activity. Richness in all three vegetation strata increased over time despite the reclaimed mine sites being initially planted as a loblolly pine monoculture. Pine growth data was found to be fairly comparable to growth data in unmined plantations, supporting the validity of reclaimed mine lands as suitable locations for productive pine plantations. As compared to unmined loblolly pine plantations, reclaimed sites exhibited some similarity in overall vegetative composition, greater plant species richness, and congruence of important herbaceous and shrub species. Further study of the East Texas loblolly pine plantation ecosystem in both mined and unmined settings would be valuable in attaining continued improvement of economic benefits, ecosystem services and biodiversity of this increasingly utilized landscape type.

## Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by the Luminant Environmental Research Program. The authors gratefully acknowledge Luminant Generation Company LLC and the Division of Environmental Science, Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F. Austin State University for their support of this research and Melissa Bozarth and Brittany Compton for their assistance in the field.

## References

- Hartley MJ (2002) Rationale and methods for conserving biodiversity in plantation forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 155: 81-95.
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2011) *State of the World's Forests 2011*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Zipper CE, Burger JA, Skousen JG, Angel PN, Barton CD, et al. (2011) Restoring forests and associated ecosystem services on Appalachian coal surface mines. *Environmental Management* 47: 751-765.
- Skousen J, Zipper CE (2014) Post-mining policies and practices in the Eastern USA coal region. *Int J Coal Sci Technol* 1: 135-151.
- Hansen AJ, Spies TA, Swanson FJ, Ohmann JL (1991) Conserving biodiversity in managed forests, lessons from natural forests. *Bioscience* 41: 382-392.
- Carey AB, Curtis RO (1996) Conservation of biodiversity: a useful paradigm for forest ecosystem management. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 24: 610-620.
- Stephens SS, Wagner MR (2007) Forest plantations and biodiversity: a fresh perspective. *Journal of Forestry* 105: 307-313.
- Brockerhoff EG, Jactel H, Parrotta JA, Quine CP, Sayer J (2008) Plantation forests and biodiversity: oxymoron or opportunity?. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 17: 925-951.
- Brenner FJ, Werner M, Pike J. 1984. Ecosystem development and natural succession in surface coal mine reclamation. *Minerals and the Environment* 6: 10-22.
- Holl KD, Cairns J (1994) Vegetational community development on reclaimed coal surface mines in Virginia. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 121: 327-337.
- Burger JA, Torbert JL, Schoenholtz SS, Kreh RE (2000) Performance of three pine species after eleven years on pre- and post-law mined sites in Virginia. *Proc of the 17th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Mining and Reclamation*. pp: 226-230.
- Rodrigue JA, Burger JA (2001) Forest and site productivity on pre-SMCRA mined land. Paper presented at the 2001 National Meeting of the American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation, Albuquerque, New Mexico, June 3-7, 2001. Pub. by ASSMR, 3134 Montavesta Rd., Lexington, KY 40502.
- Holl KD (2002) Long-term vegetation recovery on reclaimed coal surface mines in the eastern USA. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 39: 960-970.
- Skousen JG, Call CA, Knight RW (1990) Natural revegetation of an unclaimed lignite surface mine in east-central Texas. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 35: 434-440.
- Gorsira B, Risenhoover KL (1994) An evaluation of woodland reclamation on strip-mined lands in east Texas. *Environmental Management* 18: 787-793.
- Westerman CA (1997) Vegetation trends in reclaimed areas at Gibbons Creek Lignite Mine, Grimes County, Texas. *Proceedings of 1997 National Meeting of the American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation, Austin, Texas, May 10-15, 1997: 77-81*.
- Bryson HL (1973) Early survival and total height, and foliar analyses of eleven tree species grown on strip-mine spoils in Freestone County, Texas. MS Thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Mask CL (1983) Row seeding of forest tree species on lignite spoils in east Texas. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Kee DD (1984) The effect of cover crop and fertilizer rate on the growth and survival of loblolly pine in east Texas mine spoil. MS thesis. Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.
- Wood GA (1985) Two-year survival and growth of loblolly pine seedlings from two Texas seed sources on lignite minesoils. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Shupe MA (1986) The effects of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer on a young loblolly pine plantation on lignite mine spoil in east Texas. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Toups BG (1986) Comparison of site quality for loblolly pine on selected mined and non-mined soils in Panola County, Texas. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Musgraves JR (1995) Survival of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) on mine reclamation sites using different regeneration methods. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- McGuire MA (1998) Effects of stock type, fall nursery fertilization and ectomycorrhizal inoculation on survival of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) seedlings planted on lignite minespoil. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Railroad Commission of Texas (2015) Annual coal production (webpage link to Excel file). Available online at last accessed Nov. 6, 2015.
- Nixon ES, Willett RL, Butts MC, Burandt CL (1981) Early seral development following partial clearcutting in east Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 33: 26-32.
- Burnett FE (1987) The role of non-planted vegetation within non-old-field loblolly and slash pine plantations in east Texas. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Nixon ES, Brooks AR (1991) Species diversity following clearcutting in eastern Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 43: 399-403.
- Taylor RM (1996) Successional trends and competition within unmanaged loblolly and slash pine plantations of east Texas. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Ott MG (2002) A comparison of vegetative biodiversity in the understory of managed pine plantations treated with fertilizer, fire and herbicides in East Texas. Ph. D. dissertation, Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas, United States. 73.

31. Oswald BP, Ott B, Williams HM, Farrish KW, Van Kley JE (2009) Understorey vegetative diversity of post-thinned pine plantations treated with fertilizer, fire and herbicide in East Texas. *International Journal of Forestry Research* Volume 2009, Article ID 572480, 6.
32. Christian CL (2013) Vegetative community development on reclaimed lignite coal mine land in east Texas. MS thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
33. Gould FW (1962) Texas plants, a checklist and ecological summary. The Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station.
34. Diggs GM, Lipscomb BL, Reed MD, O'Kennon RJ (2006) Illustrated Flora of East Texas, Vol. 1: Introduction, Pteridophytes, Gymnosperms, and Monocotyledons. Botanical Research Institute of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas.
35. Dolezel R (1975) Soil Survey of Panola County, Texas. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, in cooperation with Texas Agricultural Experiment Station.
36. Stohlgren TJ, Falkner MB, Schell LD (1995) A modified-Whittaker nested vegetation sampling method. *Vegetatio* 117: 113-121.
37. National Institute of Invasive Species Science (2010) Updated May 25, 2010. Modified Whittaker Plot. Accessed June 4, 2010.
38. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (2013) The PLANTS Database National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.
39. Barbour MG, Burk JH, Pitts WD, Gilliam FS, Schwartz MW (1999) Terrestrial plant ecology. 3rd edn, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park.
40. McCune B, Mefford MJ (2011) PC-ORD. Multivariate analysis of ecological data. Version 6.0. MjM Software, Gleneden Beach, Oregon.
41. Van Kley J (2006) The Pineywoods. Illustrated Flora of East Texas, Vol. 1: Introduction, Pteridophytes, Gymnosperms, and Monocotyledons. Botanical Research Institute of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas.
42. Coble DW, Hilpp K (2006) Compatible cubic-foot stem volume and upper-stem diameter equations for semi-intensive plantation grown loblolly pine trees in East Texas. *South. J. Appl. For.* 30: 132-141.

**Citation:** Christian CL, Oswald BP, Williams HM, Farrish KW (2017) Vegetative Community Development Over 30 Years within Pine Plantations on Reclaimed Mine Land in East Texas. *Forest Res* 6: 194. doi: [10.4172/2168-9776.1000194](https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9776.1000194)

### OMICS International: Open Access Publication Benefits & Features

#### Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

#### Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission>