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Section 3. Twelve formulas –

3.1 Introduction (continued)


In Section 3 we develop a "decision tree" diagram for selecting among 12 compound interest formulas. The diagram is actually a composite of three diagrams, however, based on what you're calculating …

Present Value or Future Value
Payments
To calculate …
Interest Rate or Number of Periods

Present Value and Future Value Formulas
Six Present and Future Value formulas are developed and applied in Section 3.2

12 Formulas in 3 Groups
Payment Formulas
Four payment formulas are developed and applied in Section 3.2

Formulas for "i" and "n"
Two formulas developed and applied in Section 2 are included in the 12-formula decision tree diagram in Section 3.4

In Section 3 we present examples for each formula discussed. We also provide problems, however, and we strongly encourage readers to "put their pencil to paper." Solutions to all problems are in Section 10.

In Section 3.2 we develop and apply six formulas for Present and Future Value, and in Section 3.3 we develop and apply four formulas for payments. Each of these formula "groups" is developed and presented using a decision tree diagram for formula selection. In Section 3.4 we present a complete diagram for compound interest formulas that includes these two groups of formulas, plus a third group comprised of two formulas developed and applied in Section 2.
Preface

Purpose

This book was originally intended to supplement lectures in forestry economics at the undergraduate level. It’s currently used for that purpose in ‘Forest Resource Economics’ courses at several universities. The book is also intended, however, to serve as a basic reference for foresters with experience in valuation and investment analysis concepts and methods. It has proven to be a valuable resource in forest valuation and investment analysis workshops for practicing foresters, landowners, and others interested in forestry investments.

Characteristics

The workbook’s contents, organization, and other characteristics reflect its purpose as a classroom/workshop supplement and a basic reference.

The book’s emphasis is very applied, and examples and problems are used to reinforce the concepts presented. As Oliver Wendell Holmes said in The Autocrat of the Breakfast Table (1858), “Knowledge and timber shouldn’t be used much till they are seasoned.” To help in “seasoning” their knowledge, readers are encouraged to put their pencils to paper in working the book’s examples and problems; solutions to all of the book’s numbered problems are included in Section 10. Extra problem sets may be used by instructors, of course, to build on the basics with applications that are most relevant to their students.

Several topics have been omitted due to orientation. Albert Einstein once remarked that “Everything should be as simple as possible, but not simpler.” This phrase describes our approach in preparing this workbook. We’ve omitted from this Edition formulas for gradient series and other complex cash flows, and formulas for terminating periodic series are discussed only in Section 9. Review for the Registered Forester exam. In most applications, their actual usefulness is limited if the single-sum formulas are well-understood, or if computer programs are used.
Finally, this book doesn’t include tables of compounding and discounting factors. Calculators with the $y^x$ key are readily available, and interest rates today are often stated in non-integer form. A calculator with a $y^x$ key is needed to work the book’s examples and problems; a financial calculator may be used, of course, but isn’t required.

In a publication of this length and type, many decisions are necessary about the subjects and examples to include, and about the appropriate depth of discussion and illustration. To help ensure that future editions of this book are as useful and relevant as possible, therefore, the authors sincerely invite students, instructors, and other readers to comment on aspects they find helpful as well as areas that should be added, deleted, or revised.
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Section 10 Solutions to problems

Beginning on page 10.1, solutions are presented for all of the numbered problems in the workbook.

Index

Figure 3.1

The last page in the workbook is a copy of Figure 3.1; it’s placed there for convenience in working problems.
“An understanding of the economics of capital in forestry is, of all things that you may get from this book, possibly the most essential and useful to you as a forester.”

– W.A. Duerr, Forestry Economics (1960)

1.1 Why bother?

Why is an understanding of the “economics of capital in forestry essential and useful to you as a forester?” Why should foresters bother learning the basics of compound interest or the application of financial criteria like “Net Present Value” and “Rate of Return?”

To begin understanding why foresters should be able to apply these concepts, consider the number and variety of applications in forestry – all of the examples on the next page can be addressed with compound interest techniques. In each example, volumes, dollar values, and population numbers are involved that occur at different points in time. *Their time value must be considered.*
1.1 Why bother? (continued)

Some examples of important forest resource questions that can be addressed using compound interest techniques...

Silvicultural practices
Is the extra expense of genetically improved planting stock financially justified? What returns are expected from fertilization, using herbicides, pruning, or from other silvicultural practices?

Land value
What’s the monetary value of a specific tract of timberland?

Timber value
How do you value precommercial timber, or merchantable timber that hasn’t yet reached its greatest potential value?

Wildlife population dynamics
An area’s whitetailed deer population is five times larger today than 20 years ago. What was the average annual rate of growth? How long can that rate be sustained before the area’s carrying capacity is reached?

Hunting and other leases
If a tract is leased for hunting or to a forest products company for growing timber, what’s the value today of the payments to be received in the future?

“Financial maturity” concepts
Should you cut a specific tree or stand today or wait for the dollar value to increase? That is, will the expected increase be an attractive return on your investment?

Timber volumes or values
A timber stand is growing in volume or value at an average rate of five percent per year. If the growth rate continues, what volumes or dollar values are projected for the future?
1.1 Why bother? (continued)

It’s important to “bother” learning to apply compound interest in forest valuation and investment analysis because there are so many important applications. Two characteristics of forests give rise to the number of applications; they make it extremely important for forestry decision makers to accurately account for the “time value” of money:

- **The time period involved…**
  Decisions that affect forests and other natural resources almost always involve values that occur at different points in time, and these time periods can be very long compared to many investments.

- **The amount of capital involved…**
  Decisions concerning forestry investments often involve significant amounts of capital. Merchantable timber alone, for example, may represent a capital investment worth thousands of dollars per acre.

There are many applications of compound interest techniques in forest valuation and investment analysis, but why should foresters bother learning to apply these techniques? Why not rely on published reports that specify the rate of return earned on different types of forestry investments, for example, rather than learning to estimate such returns on a case-by-case basis? The answer is because forest lands and forest landowners are inherently diverse; because of this diversity, forest valuation and investment analysis questions must often be addressed on a tract-by-tract and landowner-by-landowner basis. Timber prices, costs, and other factors may also vary greatly over time and for different geographic areas.

**Applications are most relevant when they are for specific tracts, specific owners, and a given time and place.** Generalizations about forestry investments are often of limited use because forest properties vary, because forest landowners differ in very important ways, and because prices, costs, and other values are often valid only for a specific time period and geographic area.

**Properties differ…**
- Stand characteristics such as timber species, volume, and quality vary widely from tract to tract.
- Forest properties vary in site quality, as well as in accessibility, location, and other factors that affect timber and other monetary values.

**Landowners differ…**
- Management objectives vary with ownership.
- The rate of return considered acceptable for timberland investments is landowner-specific.

**Prices, costs, and other values vary over time and by region…**
- Many factors cause timber prices, land values, and other variables to change over time, and to vary significantly by geographic area.
1.1 Why bother? (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other reasons to “bother” with compound interest ...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What are some other applications of compound interest?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are other important reasons why we should understand compound interest and its use in today’s society, reasons that have nothing to do with forest resources or potential timber investments. As consumers and producers in modern society, we regularly encounter compound interest applications:

- Bank accounts
- Home mortgage payments
- Personal investment decisions
- Car and truck financing
- Credit card accounts

Banks and other financial institutions use the same formulas and methods described in this workbook, and therefore many of the compound interest techniques and concepts we discuss apply to decisions about new cars and financial planning, as well as to decisions about forest-based assets. Monthly payments, for example, are calculated using the same formula, whether the money is borrowed for a car, a house, a feller buncher, or a tree planter.
1.2 The mechanics of compound interest

Money has a “time value” – a dollar today is not the same as a dollar tomorrow.

Would you prefer to receive $100 today, or would you rather wait and receive the $100 five years from now? Most of us would choose to have the money as soon as possible, even if there is absolutely no risk or uncertainty involved. A dollar we receive or pay today is generally “worth” more to us than a dollar we’re to receive or pay in the future. The dollar has “value” with respect to a specific point in time – the nearer to the present, the higher the dollar’s “value.”

Compound interest allows us to mathematically account for the value of dollars that occur at different points in time. Using an appropriate interest rate, we can calculate dollars that are “equivalent” in value based on a reference year, and we can thus compare choices and make decisions about the use of funds that occur over time.

Have you encountered “real world” situations where the time value of money was ignored?

The “total of payments” for a loan is meaningless …

One example of ignoring the time value of money is car and truck advertisements that show the “total of payments.” This “total” is obtained by adding all of the projected payments, even though they occur at different points in time. The total of payments is a meaningless number because the payments’ time value is ignored.

A forestry example …

An example where the time value of money is sometimes ignored in forestry is when timber costs and revenues are added together and the net amount is divided by the stand’s rotation length. This value is sometimes presented as the landowner’s earnings on a “per acre per year” basis. If the time value of money is ignored, for example, the “net income” from the stand illustrated in the diagram below would be $500 + $2,900 – $150 = $3,250 per acre.

Dividing by the rotation length yields $3,250/30 years = $108.33 per acre per year.

Since numbers like this ignore the time value of money they can be very misleading; they should not be used to evaluate forestry investments.

As discussed in Section 4. Financial criteria, we can calculate an “equivalent annual income” for forestry investments, a measure that accounts for the time value of the money invested. The word “equivalent” indicates that the time value of the money involved has been accounted for using compound interest.
When money is placed in an interest-bearing account, the account value “grows” over time. After one interest-bearing period, for example, an account should have the original deposit (the principal), plus the interest that’s earned on the principal (Figure 1.1).

The account value after one interest-bearing period is therefore:

\[
\text{Account Value After 1 Period} = \text{(Principal)} + \text{(Interest Earned)}
\]

Notice, however, that the interest earned after one period of time is:

\[
\text{(Principal)} \times \text{(Interest Rate)}
\]

So the account value after one interest-bearing period is:

\[
\text{Account Value After 1 Period} = \text{(Principal)} + \text{(Principal)} \times \text{(Interest Rate)}
\]

\[
= \text{(Principal)} \times (1 + \text{Interest Rate})
\]

\[
= \text{(Principal)} \times (1 + i)
\]

A very simple formula will therefore calculate the total amount of money in an interest-bearing account after one period of time:

\[
\text{Account Value After 1 Period} = \text{(Principal)} \times (1 + i)
\]

where “i” is the interest rate in decimal percent.

If you place $100 into an account for one year at 8% interest, for example, the amount of money in the account after one year is the $100 principal plus $100(.08) = $8 in interest. The total value of the account is:

\[
$100.00 + $100.00(.08) = $108.00
\]

Using the formula above, the total is calculated directly:

\[
$100(1+.08) = $108.
\]
1.2 The mechanics of compound interest  (continued)

If the money in an interest-bearing account (principal plus interest) is not withdrawn after the first period, with compound interest, the interest rate is earned on the total amount left in the account for the next time period. This total is essentially “borrowed” again by the interest payer, i.e., for another interest bearing period of time. You therefore earn interest on interest (the interest earned during the first period), as well as on the original deposit.

For example, suppose you placed $100 into a savings account for 5 years at 8% compound interest. How much money will be in the account after 5 years? “Compounding” the account on a year-to-year basis yields:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Principal + Interest Calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Year 1:</td>
<td>$100.00 + $100.00(.08) = $100.00(1 + .08) = $108.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Year 2:</td>
<td>$108.00 + $108.00(.08) = $108.00(1 + .08) = $116.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Year 3:</td>
<td>$116.64 + $116.64(.08) = $116.64(1 + .08) = $125.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Year 4:</td>
<td>$125.97 + $125.97(.08) = $125.97(1 + .08) = $136.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Year 5:</td>
<td>$136.05 + $136.05(.08) = $136.05(1 + .08) = $146.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculations above show the compound interest pattern: (Principal)(1+i) applied each year. Notice that the value at the end of any year can be obtained by multiplying the beginning value by (1+.08) or (1.08). That is, on a year-to-year basis:

For more than one time period, the pattern: Principal (1 + i) is repeated ...

This is an example of "equivalence." At 8 percent interest, $100.00 today is equivalent to $146.93 in five years.

After Year 1: $100.00(1.08) = $108.00
After Year 2: $108.00(1.08) = $116.64
After Year 3: $116.64(1.08) = $125.97
After Year 4: $125.97(1.08) = $136.05
After Year 5: $136.05(1.08) = $146.93

The year five value ($146.93) could therefore be derived by multiplying the initial $100 by a series of five 1.08s:

After Year 5: $100.00(1.08)(1.08)(1.08)(1.08)(1.08) = $146.93

To simplify the math:

After Year 5: $100.00(1.08)^5 = $146.93
1.2 The mechanics of compound interest (continued)

Figure 1.2 illustrates the year-to-year pattern of “compounding” in the 8% compound interest account over five years. At 8% interest, $100.00 today is “equivalent” to $108.00 in one year, $116.64 in two years, and $146.93 in five years.

Because of the time value of money, in most cases we would prefer to have $100.00 today rather than $100.00 five years from now. We would be indifferent, however, between $100.00 today and $116.64 in two years, or $146.93 in five years (using 8% interest). These values are “equivalent” using 8% as a measure of the time value of money.

With 8% compound interest, the $100 principal “grows” by a factor of 1.08 each year.

At 8% interest, the account “compounds” by a factor of 1.08 each year. After five years the account will have $146.93:

\[
($100.00 \text{ Principal}) \times (1.08) \times (1.08) \times (1.08) \times (1.08) \times (1.08) = ($100.00) \times (1.08) = $146.93
\]
1.2 The mechanics of compound interest (continued)

We’ve now demonstrated that after one interest-bearing period of time, the amount of money in an account paying “i” percent interest will be:

\[ \text{Account Value After 1 Period} = (\text{Principal}) (1+i). \]

We’ve also shown that if compound interest will be earned for multiple periods of time, the above pattern is repeated for each of the interest bearing periods. After five periods, for example, the amount in an account paying “i” percent compound interest will be:

\[ \text{Account Value After 5 Periods} = (\text{Principal}) (1+i)^5. \]

The example of $100 invested for five interest-bearing periods leads to a very important, general formula for compound interest. After “n” interest-bearing periods, the amount of money in an account – the “Future Value” – is:

\[ \text{Future Value} = (\text{Present Value}) (1 + i)^n \]

where “n” is the number of periods, and “i” is the interest rate in decimal form.

As shown in Figure 1.3, “Future Value” can be the amount of money accumulated in an interest-bearing account, or it can represent the amount of money needed to repay a loan after “n” periods. “Present Value” is the initial amount of money placed in the account, or in the case of a loan, it’s the amount of money borrowed.

In Section 2. Four basic formulas, we present the general formula for compound interest again; we modify the formula and use it to address important, “real world” forestry investment questions. First, however, we close Section 1 with a brief discussion of the power of compound interest; by “power” we mean the dramatic increase in future value that can occur using the compound interest formula.
1.2 The mechanics of compound interest (continued)

Note that the compound interest formula is an “exponential” formula. The exponent is “n,” the number of compounding periods. Compound interest therefore increases the amount of money in an account, or the amount due on a loan, exponentially as the number of compounding periods increases.

Exponential increase means that even if you’re using a relatively low interest rate, there will be a dramatic increase in the future value if the number of compounding periods is great. High rates of interest, meanwhile, result in dramatic increases in the future value, even over short time periods. [See the two examples in the text box below.]

The exponential “power” of compound interest works for us when we invest (we earn the compound rate), and it works against us when we borrow. The potential for exploiting the power of compound interest has existed for thousands of years, as noted in the text box on page 1.11 (adapted from Homer, 1977).

The “power” of compound interest is especially evident in forest valuation and investment analysis. The long time periods associated with many forestry investments make it very important to consider the time value of money, and in the process to use an appropriate interest rate. Examples that demonstrate the power of compound interest in forestry are included in Section 2. Four basic formulas. Choosing an appropriate interest rate is discussed in Section 5.6 Choosing a discount rate.

Two examples of compound interest’s exponential “power.”

In Poor Richard’s Almanac, Benjamin Franklin wrote “For six pounds a year you may have use of 100 pounds, if you are a man of known prudence and honesty.” To see the power of compound interest over a long time period, consider £100 placed into an interest-bearing account at 6% since the time of Benjamin Franklin. Using the general formula for compound interest on page 1.9, and using 250 years as the time period, the £100 becomes:

\[
\text{Future Value} = 100(1.06)^{250} = 212,060,000
\]

Another quote from Poor Richard’s Almanac is “A penny saved is two pence clear ... Save and have.” To see the power of compound interest using a high rate of interest, consider the following choice involving a “penny saved:” Would you prefer to receive one penny that doubles in value every day for 31 days, or $1 million today? “Doubling” each day is the same as a 100% rate of increase, so the compound interest formula can be applied to the penny with 100% interest for 31 periods. Using the general compound interest formula, a penny that doubles in value each day for 31 days would become:

\[
\text{Future Value} = 0.01(1 + 1.00)^{31} = 21,474,836
\]
1.2 The mechanics of compound interest (continued)

Interest is the price we pay to borrow money, or the price we charge others to borrow and use our funds. Today, interest is universally accepted as the price of capital, an asset necessary for production and consumption in modern society. It is interesting to note, however, that this has not always been the case in the past. As recently as 1950, for example, Pope Pius XII felt compelled to declare that “bankers earn their living honestly.” This quote is in A History of Interest Rates by Sidney Homer (1977, Rutgers University Press). The book describes the Biblical condemnation of usury, centuries of controversy and debate, and the eventual acceptance by religious leaders of interest as a just compensation to lenders. Interest was originally accepted as a compensation for loss, however, rather than profit from the use of money – the word is derived from the Latin word *interesse* – “to be lost.” The relatively recent acceptance of the charging of interest is indicated by the fact that not until 1836 did the Holy Office of the Catholic Church declare that “all interest allowed by law may be taken by everyone.”

Interest rates are quoted in “percent,” from the Latin words *per* (for each) and *cent* (one hundred). Ten percent, for example, literally means “10 for each hundred.”
1.3 Review of Section 1

Section 1. Basics of compound interest

1.1 Why bother?
Foresters should “bother” learning how to use compound interest techniques and how to apply financial criteria like “present net worth” and “rate of return” because:

- There are many important applications in forestry – many of them arise because forests represent relatively long-term investments of significant amounts of capital.
- Forest lands and forest landowners are diverse, and applications are therefore most useful when done on a case-by-case basis. Timber prices, land values, operational costs, and many other factors can change greatly over time, and they can vary significantly at different locations. Generalizations like “cutover land should sell for $1,000/acre” are of limited use in forestry.
- The financial techniques and concepts used in forest valuation and investment analysis also have many non-forestry applications.

1.2 The mechanics of compound interest
Money has a time value, and compound interest techniques allow us to account for differences in value over time. “Equivalent” dollars can be calculated using a specific interest rate and a specific time period.

The general formula for compound interest is:

\[ \text{Future Value} = (\text{Present Value}) (1 + i)^n \]

where “n” is the number of periods, and “i” is the interest rate in decimal form.

The general formula is exponential, and compound interest is therefore very “powerful.” Because of the relatively long time periods often involved in forestry, the time value of money should not be ignored. The “power” of compound interest can have a dramatic impact on financial decisions.
Section 2. Four basic formulas – page 2.1

“Money doesn’t talk, it swears.”
– Bob Dylan, It’s Alright, Ma, I’m Only Bleeding (1965)

2.1 Four basic formulas

The compound interest formula has four variables. We can therefore rearrange it into four basic formulas ...

In Section 1. Basics of compound interest, the general formula for compound interest was developed and presented as:

\[ \text{Future Value} = (\text{Present Value}) \times (1 + i)^n \]

Note that this simple, “future value” formula has four variables:

- Future Value,
- Present Value,
- \( i \) – the interest rate, and
- \( n \) – the number of time periods.

We can rearrange the compound interest formula to calculate any one of the four variables in terms of the other three. Simply rearranging this formula yields four basic formulas that are extremely useful in forest valuation and investment analysis.
Section 2. Four basic formulas – page 2.2

2.1 Four basic formulas (continued)

**Formula 2.1 – Future Value**

The *Future Value* formula “compounds” a *Present Value* “*n*” periods into the future.

\[
\text{Future Value} = (\text{Present Value}) \times (1 + i)^n
\]

The general formula for compound interest, or the “*Future Value*” formula, is used to “compound” values. We can project the future value of a stand of timber using this formula, for example, or we can estimate future timber prices given the projected annual rate of increase over the next “*n*” years.

**Formula 2.2 – Present Value**

The *Present Value* formula “discounts” a *Future Value*, one that occurs in year “*n*,” to the present.

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\text{Future Value}}{(1 + i)^n}
\]

The “*Present Value*” formula is simply the “*Future Value*” formula (2.1) rewritten or solved for *Present Value*. It “discounts” values from the future to the present. If we have an estimate of what a timber stand will be worth when it’s mature, for example, we can use this formula to estimate an equivalent value today.

**Formula 2.3 – “*i*”**

The rate of return formula calculates the interest rate earned on an investment over an “*n*” year period.

\[
i = \left(\frac{\text{Future Value}}{\text{Present Value}}\right)^{1/n} - 1
\]

The interest rate or “rate of return” formula is Formula 2.1 rewritten in terms of “*i*,” the compound rate of interest. It’s used to calculate the rate of return on an investment. “*Present Value*” may be the amount of money paid for a timber stand, for example, while “*Future Value*” is the value of the timber “*n*” years later.

**Formula 2.4 – “*n*”**

“*n*” is the number of periods necessary for a certain *Present Value* to compound to a specific *Future Value*.

\[
n = \frac{\ln (\text{Future Value} / \text{Present Value})}{\ln (1 + i)}
\]

The formula for “*n*” is obtained by taking the natural logarithm of both sides of Formula 2.1, and solving for “*n*.” We can use this formula to tell us how long it will take for a tree or a timber stand that has a certain “*Present Value*” to grow to a specific “*Future Value*,” assuming a compound rate of increase in value of “*i*” percent per period.
The four formulas on page 2.2 are simple and easy-to-use, yet they can help address relatively complex, “real world” problems. The 11 examples that follow demonstrate how useful these basic formulas can be in both forestry and non-forestry applications.

Our purpose in presenting these basic formulas and examples is not so much to demonstrate how useful the formulas are, however. Our actual purpose is to introduce basic terms and concepts like “compounding and discounting,” and hopefully to build readers’ confidence in addressing “real world” questions using compound interest techniques. To help build that confidence, we strongly encourage readers to work each of the following example applications using a hand-held calculator.

There are other formulas that are useful in forest valuation and investment analysis, of course. In Section 3 we present twelve forest valuation and investment analysis formulas – these four plus eight additional formulas – with examples and problems demonstrating their use.

**Example 2.1**

If $900 is placed in a savings account earning 7 percent annually, how much money will be in the account in 10 years?

Here we want to know the **Future Value** of the savings account, given the other three variables:

- **Present Value** = $900
- **Interest rate** = 7%
- **Number of periods** = 10

We can calculate the **Future Value** of the account using Formula 2.1:

\[
\text{Future Value} = (\text{Present Value}) \times (1 + \text{Interest Rate})^{\text{Number of periods}}
\]

\[
= (900) \times (1.07)^{10}
\]

\[
= 1,770.44
\]

Formulas 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 involve exponentiation, done with the y^x key on most hand-held calculators. To enter the values in Example 2.1:

- First calculate \((1.07)^{10}\) using the y^x key

\[
1.07 \, \text{y}^\text{x} \, 10 \, = \, 1.9671514
\]

- Then multiply the result (1.9671514) by $900 …

\[
1.9671514 \, \times \, 900 \, = \, 1770.44
\]

The first key sequence simply does the exponentiation in Formula 2.1 *first*, since exponentiation precedes multiplication, division, addition, and subtraction in the algebraic order of operations.
Example 2.1 demonstrates compounding, Example 2.2 demonstrates discounting ...

Example 2.1 on the previous page is an example of compounding. The $900 placed in the savings account is compounded for 10 years using 7% compound interest. In this example, $900 today and $1,770.44 to be received in 10 years are equivalent using a 7% interest rate.

The opposite of compounding is discounting. When we use Formula 2.2 on page 2.2 to calculate a Present Value, given a specific Future Value, we’re discounting, as demonstrated in the next example.

Example 2.1 demonstrates compounding, Example 2.2 demonstrates discounting ...

In Section 1 the photograph at left was used to illustrate timber as principal that’s “banked on the stump.” Let’s assume a landowner is offered $3,000 per acre for the timber shown here. You estimate the timber will bring as much as $4,000 per acre 9 years from now when the landowner plans to retire. Should the landowner accept the $3,000/acre offer if she can earn 8% elsewhere? [For now we’re ignoring land opportunity costs, taxes, risk, and some other “real world” factors.]

We can approach this question in more than one way, but let’s use Formula 2.2 on page 2.2 to discount the estimated Future Value to the present. We’ll calculate the Present Value of the $4,000 at 8%, and compare it to the $3,000 offer.

The Present Value (PV) formula discounts a specific Future Value (FV):

\[
PV = \frac{FV}{(1 + i)^n} \tag{Formula 2.2 on page 2.2}
\]

For this timber “account” we know the interest rate (8%), the length of time involved (9 years), and we have an estimate of the Future Value ($4,000), so “plugging in” to the Present Value formula yields:

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\$4,000/acre}{(1 + .08)^9} = \$2,001.00
\]

Since $2,001 is less than the $3,000 offer, the landowner would prefer the $3,000/acre now (rather than waiting 9 years for $4,000/acre).

[We indicated above that some important factors are ignored in this example. What other factors would be involved in “real world” forestry analyses of this type?]

In Example 2.2, it says there is “more than one way” to approach the application using compound interest techniques. There are at least two approaches we could take other than the Present Value approach shown in the Example. One method would be to calculate the Future Value of $3,000 at 8% for nine years (using Formula 2.1), and compare that Future Value to the $4,000/acre estimate: Future Value = ($3,000)(1.08)^9 = $5,997.01/acre
2.2 Example applications (continued)

Since $5,997.01 nine years from now is greater than the $4,000/acre projected stand value, the Future Value approach also shows that receiving $3,000/acre now is preferable to postponing the harvest.

Another way to approach the question in Example 2.2 is to calculate the interest rate you project the stand will earn over the next nine years, and compare that rate to the 8% the landowner can earn elsewhere, as demonstrated in Example 2.3.

**Example 2.3**

The question posed in Example 2.2 can be re-stated:

Should a landowner keep an investment of $3,000/acre “banked on the stump” if it will grow to $4,000/acre in nine years? She can earn 8% on other investments of comparable duration and risk.

An approach to questions of this type that’s popular with foresters and forest landowners is to compare the rate of interest you project the stand will earn to the interest rate that can be earned elsewhere. To be comparable, these rates should be consistent in terms of taxes, inflation, investment duration, and risk.

In this example, the landowner’s present stand value is $3,000/acre, and her projected value in 9 years is $4,000/acre. We can use Formula 2.3 to calculate a projected “rate of return” for her $3,000 per acre capital investment.

\[
i = \left( \frac{FV}{PV} \right)^{1/n} - 1 = 0.032 \text{ (or 3.2%)}
\]

Using the landowner’s values yields:

\[
i = \left( \frac{\$4,000}{\$3,000} \right)^{1/9} - 1 = 0.032 \text{ (or 3.2%)}
\]

The $3,000/acre timber “account” is projected to earn a compound interest rate of 3.2% per year over the next 9 years, compared to 8% that can be earned elsewhere.

Examples 2.2 and 2.3 involve a very popular question in forestry: Should you harvest a specific stand of timber now or should you wait? This question is often posed as whether a stand of timber is “financially mature.” This is an important topic in forest management; it’s very briefly described in Section 9. Review for the Registered Forester exam (page 9.6).
Examples 2.2 and 2.3 show how useful the basic compound interest formulas can be in addressing important forestry questions. An important concept is also demonstrated by these example applications – very often there is more than one correct way to use compound interest techniques to address a specific forestry investment question. In such cases, the “best” approach is often the one that’s most readily understood by the decision maker.

Deciding when to harvest a particular stand is a very important forestry investment question. Another important type of investment question involves different silvicultural practices and treatments … Are they financially worthwhile? The next three example applications of the basic compound interest formulas involve intensive cultural practices in pine plantation management:

- **Example 2.4** … Pruning
- **Example 2.5** … Herbicides for release
- **Example 2.6** … Fertilization

Each of these applications is an example of marginal analysis, since we compare each practice’s marginal costs and marginal benefits.

**Example 2.4**

You are considering an investment of $50/acre in pruning a pine plantation that will be harvested in 10 years. Using a 6% interest rate, how much additional harvest revenue must be generated to justify the investment?

We can calculate the additional revenue needed 10 years from now by compounding the $50/acre expense at 6% for 10 years. We’re calculating a **Future Value**:

\[
\text{Future Value} = \left( \$50.00 \right) \left( 1 + 0.06 \right)^{10} \quad \text{(Using Formula 2.1 on page 2.2)}
\]

\[
= \$89.54/acre
\]

Pruning is financially justified if we expect to receive an extra $89.54 per acre due to improved tree quality 10 years from now. [Is that a reasonable expectation?]

Many foresters use computer programs in forest valuation and investment analysis, and we therefore have a discussion of computer programs in Section 8. Many, however, work problems like these examples using hand-held calculators. All of the examples and problems in this workbook can be solved using a calculator with a y^x key. Another option for forest valuation and investment analysis problems is to use a hand-held calculator with built-in keys for Present Value, Future Value, “i,” and “n.” Figure 2.1 shows an example type of relatively inexpensive financial calculator that can be used to work problems that involve Formulas 2.1 – 2.4.
2.2 Example applications (continued)

Forest valuation and investment analysis is done with:

- Hand-held calculators using the exponentiation \((y^x)\) key;
- Hand-held calculators using built-in financial functions (or programmable keys); and
- Customized spreadsheets and specialized computer programs.

Many different brands of financial calculators are available that are very useful in quickly solving the types of problems represented by Examples 2.1 – 2.11. Financial calculators do not have some of the functions that are essential in forest valuation and investment analysis, however. For many problems, therefore, foresters must be able to use the compound interest formulas with the \(y^x\) key on a calculator for exponentiation, or they need access to specialized computer programs, as described in Section 8.

![Figure 2.1. An example financial calculator for solving problems that involve the four basic formulas applied in Section 2.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To work a Future Value problem like Example 2.4:</th>
<th>To work a “Rate of Return” problem like Example 2.3:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Put the calculator in financial mode by pressing two keys:</td>
<td>Put the calculator in financial mode by pressing two keys:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd (n)</td>
<td>2nd (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enter the known values from Example 2.4 on the financial keys (in no particular order):</td>
<td>Enter the known values from Example 2.3 on the financial keys (in no particular order):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (PV) (Present Value)</td>
<td>4000 (PV) (Present Value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (%) (interest rate)</td>
<td>3000 (PV) (interest rate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (n) (#time periods)</td>
<td>9 (n) (#time periods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell the calculator to “compute” Future Value:</td>
<td>Tell the calculator to “compute” Future Value:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CPT) (FV)</td>
<td>(CPT) (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The calculator displays the Future Value: 89.542 ...</td>
<td>The calculator displays the interest rate: 3.248 (or 3.248%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Example applications (continued)

**Example 2.5**

*Is pine release with herbicides financially attractive?*

You are considering a herbicide application for release purposes. Your pine plantation is 17 years old, and a chemical company representative says that for your site and stand conditions, with release using herbicides you can expect average yields to be 8 cords/acre higher at final harvest age 25. If you expect the price of pulpwood stumpage to be $26/cord 8 years from now, is the herbicide application financially attractive? The application will cost $60/acre and your cost of capital is 7.5%.

As with most of these example applications, we can approach this question using more than one correct method. One approach is to calculate the **Present Value** of the expected increase in yield 8 years from now, and to compare this to the treatment cost of $60/acre.

At final harvest, we expect to have 8 additional cords per acre, and at $26/cord, this additional value is $208.00/acre.

This $208/acre is to be received in 8 years, and we can calculate its **Present Value** using Formula 2.2 on page 2.2 with 7.5% interest:

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\$208.00/acre}{(1 + .075)^8} = \$116.63/acre
\]

In this example, herbicide application for release is financially attractive. The treatment costs $60/acre but at 7.5% has an expected benefit of $116.63 in present value terms. [What other approaches could be used to address the herbicide release question? What “real world” factors would need to be included in a complete analysis of this question?]

**Example 2.6**

*Is fertilization of pine plantations a good investment?*

Final harvest is 11 years away for your recently-thinned plantation, and you’d like to see if fertilization makes sense financially. If fertilization costs $75/acre, and if a cord of pulpwood is expected to be worth $33 in 11 years, how much extra yield would be necessary to justify the investment?

One way to approach this question is to determine the **Future Value** of the $75/acre we would have to spend today, and then see how many extra cords we’d have to harvest to equal this sum.

We can calculate the **Future Value** of the $75/acre investment using Formula 2.1 on page 2.2. Using 9% interest, for example:

\[
\text{Future Value} = (\$75.00) (1 + .09)^{11} = \$193.53/acre
\]

Given our expected price of $33/cord, the fertilization would have to generate an additional $195.53. $33 = 5.86 cords of pulpwood per acre 11 years from now to be financially justified.


2.2 Example applications (continued)

Once again, note that some important factors aren’t discussed in these example applications. We haven’t said, for example, if inflation was included in the prices and the interest rates used in Examples 2.4–2.6, and we aren’t including taxes, risk, and some other factors that may be very important in a complete analysis of whether specific silvicultural practices are financially justified.

Also, in the previous three Examples what impact would it have on our analysis results and investment decisions if we increased the interest rate? In other cases, what if you aren’t very sure of the yield increases for various practices? What if you’re projecting far into the future with highly uncertain stumpage prices? “What if” questions often arise in forest valuation and investment analysis because there are variables and factors we can’t predict with certainty. Assumptions are necessary, and foresters often evaluate how sensitive their analysis results and investment recommendations are to changes in assumptions like future prices and yields. This process, called “sensitivity analysis,” is discussed in Section 5.4 Risk and uncertainty.

Example 2.7

Projecting stumpage prices …

If stumpage prices in a given geographic area are expected to increase by 3.5% per year over the next 6 years, what are prices projected to be in 6 years if they are $400 per thousand board feet (MBF) today?

We can calculate the Future Value of $400/MBF using Formula 2.1 on page 2.2:

\[
\text{Future Value} = (\$400/\text{MBF}) (1 + .035)^6 = \$491.70/\text{MBF}
\]

Example 2.8

Number of years necessary to triple in value …

How many years will it take for your hardwood timber to triple in value if it averages a compound rate of value increase of 9.5% per year?

Formula 2.4 on page 2.2 calculates the number of periods necessary for a specific Present Value to compound to a specific Future Value:

\[
n = \frac{\ln (\text{Future Value} / \text{Present Value})}{\ln (1 + .095)}
\]

Where “ln” indicates natural logarithm.

If the hardwood timber triples in value, Future Value divided by Present Value = 3, and “plugging in” to Formula 2.4 yields:

\[
n = \frac{\ln (3)}{\ln (1.095)} = 12.1 \text{ years}
\]

[How would you “check” the answer of 12.1 years using Formula 2.1 for Future Value?]
Section 2. Four basic formulas – page 2.10

2.2 Example applications (continued)

Example 2.9

Delay in harvest …

You have cruised a private tract of timber and have estimated that the timber’s value today is $380,000. Due to restrictions the landowner has placed on harvesting, however, you estimate that it will be two years before harvest can take place. If the timber’s value remains constant and your cost of capital is 10%, what could you pay for the timber today?

We can calculate the Present Value of $380,000 using Formula 2.2 on page 2.2:

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\$380,000}{(1 + .10)^2} = \$314,049.59
\]

Example 2.10

Potential returns on a Paulownia investment …

The March 1996 issue of the Forest Products Journal has an article relating to Paulownia tomentosa as an investment. The article states that appropriate planting and management can result in 10 – 14 thousand board feet per acre at age 20, and at $2 per board foot, this is $20,000 – $28,000 per acre at harvest. The article further states that this is “equivalent to a gross return of $7,538 to $10,553 per acre at a 5% discount rate.” How were these “equivalent” returns calculated?

“Equivalent” values were determined by calculating the Present Value (PV) of projected revenues:

\[
\text{PV} = \frac{\$20,000 \text{ in yr. 20}}{(1 + .05)^{20}} = \$7,537.79/acre \quad \text{(Formula 2.2 on page 2.2)}
\]

\[
\text{PV} = \frac{\$28,000 \text{ in yr. 20}}{(1 + .05)^{20}} = \$10,552.91/acre
\]

If you spent $1,000/acre in year 0 to obtain $28,000 in year 20, what compound annual rate of interest would you earn?

To calculate “i” given a Present Value (PV) and a Future Value (FV):

\[
i = \left[ \frac{FV}{PV} \right]^{1/n} - 1 \quad \text{(Formula 2.3 on page 2.2)}
\]

In this case Future Value is $28,000/acre and Present Value is $1,000/acre, so using Formula 2.3 yields:

\[
i = \left[ \frac{\$28,000}{\$1,000} \right]^{1/20} - 1 = 0.18 \text{ (or 18%)}
\]
2.2 Example applications (continued)

Example 2.11

Silvicultural treatment …

*In two years, you expect to invest $40 per acre in a silvicultural treatment that will increase plantation yield by $110 at age 25. The plantation is 15 years old today. At 4% interest, what is the Present Value of the investment today?*

We work this Example in two ways to demonstrate moving single sums around on a basic time-line. The time-line below simply shows the timing of the cost and the revenue projected.

*In this Example, the projected revenue is placed above the time-line, and the projected cost is placed below the line.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Stand Age 15</th>
<th>Projected Increase in Yield at Age 25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected Cost in Two Years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way to work this Example is to calculate and compare the Present Value of each of the single sums. We can use Formula 2.2 on page 2.2 to calculate the Present Value of the $40/acre cost projected for 2 years in the future, as well as the $110/acre yield increase projected for 10 years in the future:

**Present Value of the projected cost …**

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\$40/acre}{(1 + .04)^2} = \$36.98/acre
\]

**Present Value of the projected yield increase …**

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\$110/acre}{(1 + .04)^{10}} = \$74.31/acre
\]

If you subtract the Present Value of the cost from the Present Value of the yield increase, the net amount in Present Value terms is:

\[
\$74.31/acre - \$36.98/acre = \$37.33/acre
\]

In Section 4, the $37.33/acre calculated above will be referred to as “Net Present Value” or “NPV.” A positive NPV means the investment is acceptable.

Example 2.2 is continued on the following page, where a second way to work the Example is shown.
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2.2 Example applications (continued)

The word “discounting” is used to represent calculations that move sums of money toward the present; Present Value calculations are an example. The interest rate is often referred to as a “discount rate,” as discussed on the following page.

Example 2.11 (continued)

A second way to work Example 2.11 is shown below.

First, we discount the $110/acre for 8 years to age 17. This simply means that we use the Present Value formula (Formula 2.2 on page 2.2), where \( n = 8 \):

\[
\frac{\$110 \text{/acre discounted for 8 Years, from age 25 to age 17 on the time-line}}{(1 + .04)^8} = \frac{\$110/acre}{(1 + .04)^8} = \$80.38/acre
\]

$110/acre at stand age 25 is equivalent to $80.38/acre at age 17, using 4% as the interest rate.

Second, we subtract the $40/acre projected cost at age 17 from the $80.38/acre projected yield increase (which is also at age 17 on the time-line):

\[
\$80.38/acre - \$40.00/acre = \$40.38/acre
\]

Third, we have a single, net amount of $40.38/acre at stand age 17, which can be converted to a Present Value at the current stand age of 15 by discounting for 2 years. Using the Present Value formula (Formula 2.2 on page 2.2) where \( n = 2 \):

\[
\frac{\$80.38 \text{ discounted for 2 years, from age 17 to age 15 on the time-line}}{(1 + .04)^2} = \frac{\$80.38/acre}{(1 + .04)^2} = \$37.33/acre
\]

Notice that this result ($37.33/acre) is identical to the final Present Value calculated for Example 2.11 on the previous page. Example 2.11 simply demonstrates how sums of money can be moved from year to year on a time-line, and it also demonstrates a principle mentioned on page 2.6 … In many cases there is more than one correct way to use compound interest techniques to address specific forestry investment questions.
### 2.3 Basic terms and concepts

Formulas 2.1–2.4 were referred to as “Four Basic Formulas” in Section 2.1. They are very useful for some types of forestry investment questions. There are other formulas, however, that are also used in calculating “net present value,” “benefit/cost ratios,” and other financial criteria. These formulas are the subject of Section 3, where they are developed and applied to example forestry problems.

Before proceeding, however, several terms and concepts that are basic to using the formulas should be summarized:

**Compounding and discounting**

When the general formula for compound interest is used to calculate a **Future Value**, a **Present Value** is multiplied by \((1+i)^n\) – an example of compounding. To “compound” a number is therefore to calculate a **Future Value** with compound interest using the basic formula (2.1 on page 2.2), or using other formulas for **Future Value**. “Discounting,” meanwhile, is the reciprocal operation. To divide a number by \((1+i)^n\) is an example, and to calculate a **Present Value** is therefore often referred to as “discounting.” If the interest rate is positive, of course, numbers get larger and larger when they are compounded for longer periods of time, and they get successively smaller when discounted for longer periods.

**Equivalence**

As discussed in Section 1, money has a time value, and a dollar received today is not equivalent to a dollar to be received in the future. Compound interest formulas are used, however, to calculate sums of money that can be termed “equivalent” in different time periods. In Example 2.10, $20,000/acre in year 20 and $7,537.79 today were shown to be “equivalent” at 5% interest. In general, equivalent present and future values are determined by compounding and discounting for a specific time with a specific rate of compound interest.

**The interest rate**

In some of the example applications in Section 2.2, we referred to the rate of compound interest using terms like “cost of capital.” The compound interest rate is also sometimes referred to as the “guiding rate,” the “hurdle rate,” and the “alternative rate of return,” and since the interest rate is used in discounting it’s sometimes referred to simply as the “discount rate.” These terms will be used in some of the examples and problems in later Sections of the workbook. Terms and concepts associated with the compound rate of interest are explained in detail in Section 5.6 Choosing a discount rate.
2.3. Basic terms and concepts (continued)

- **Cash-flow diagrams**
  Once the costs and revenues for a specific forestry project are known or projected, it can be very helpful to place the numbers on a time-line. A “cash-flow diagram” is simply a time-line representing the time period of an investment, with each cost and each revenue placed on the line at the appropriate point (time). If the analysis involves both costs and revenues, costs are typically placed below the line and revenues above the line (Figure 2.2). If the analysis involves costs only, of course, it may be most convenient to place them above the time-line.

**Figure 2.2. A cash-flow diagram for an example forestry investment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost/Revenue</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stand Establishment Cost (yr. 0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$120/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Thinning Revenue (yr. 17)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$600/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Final Harvest Revenue (yr. 30)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$2,800/acre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compounding moves values to the right on a cash-flow diagram, while discounting moves values to the left – all the way to year zero if you’re calculating a Present Value.

- **End-of-year assumption**
  When compound interest is applied, the interest-bearing period must be specified exactly, and exact beginning and ending points must therefore be stated or understood. For example, to state that a specific revenue occurs “in year three” is ambiguous unless it’s understood that this means at a specific time in the third year. Unless stated otherwise, all the examples and problems in this workbook assume that “in year n” means at the end of the nth year.

On a cash-flow diagram, today (the present) is represented as year 0, and costs or revenues that are shown for future years are assumed to occur at the end of the specified year. To calculate the Present Value of the year-17 thinning revenue shown in Figure 2.2, for example, you would discount the $600 for 17 years.

With the end-of-year assumption, if a sum is specified for the beginning of year “n,” the sum should be considered as occurring at the end of period n–1 (and n–1 is therefore the appropriate number of periods for discounting).
Section 2. Four basic formulas

2.1 Four basic formulas
There are four variables in the general formula for compound interest developed in Section 1, and we can therefore write four basic formulas simply by solving the general formula for each of the four variables. The general formula for compound interest calculates Future Value (FV) as a function of Present Value (PV), the interest rate (i), and the number of periods (n). Solving for each variable yields three additional formulas:

Future Value … \[ FV = (PV)(1 + i)^n \]

Present Value … \[ PV = \frac{FV}{(1 + i)^n} \]

Interest Rate … \[ i = \left(\frac{FV}{PV}\right)^{1/n} - 1 \]

Number of Periods … \[ n = \frac{\ln(FV/PV)}{\ln(1 + i)} \]

2.2 Example applications
The 11 examples in Section 2.2 involved the four basic formulas. They were presented for several purposes:

- The examples demonstrate how useful even the most basic compound interest formulas can be in forestry. Examples using these formulas introduced very important, “real world” concepts like “financial maturity” of timber and methods to economically evaluate silvicultural treatment alternatives.

- The 11 examples were intended to help build readers’ confidence in using hand-held calculators to analyze forestry investments.

- The examples introduced some important basic terms and concepts – like compounding and discounting, and the need for “sensitivity analysis” to judge the potential impact of important assumptions. Future prices, yields, and other estimates may be highly uncertain, for example, and what we assume may have a great impact on analysis results.
2.4. Review of Section 2 (continued)

- Finally, the examples showed that there can be more than one correct way to address forest valuation and investment analysis questions. To evaluate a silvicultural treatment alternative, for example, we may estimate the Present Value of a projected income or the Future Value of a specific cost, but in either case we use compound interest formulas to account for the time value of the money involved. We then compare Future Values to Future Values, Present Values to Present Values, or the interest rate earned to rates that can be earned in other investments of comparable duration, risk, and liquidity.

2.3 Basic terms and concepts

Several terms and concepts were summarized before developing and using the full set of compound interest formulas in Section 3:

- When using compound interest formulas to calculate a specific Future Value we’re “compounding” a number. We’re “discounting” when we calculate a Present Value.

- By using compound interest formulas appropriately, “equivalent” Present and Future Values are determined for a specific time period and a specific interest rate.

- The interest rate may be called the “cost of capital,” the “guiding rate,” “hurdle rate,” the “alternative rate of return,” or simply the “discount rate.” These terms are used in the examples and problems in Sections 3 and 4, and are explained with other interest rate concepts in Section 5.6 Choosing a discount rate.

- Cash-flow diagrams are extremely useful in forest valuation and investment analysis. The first step in most investment analysis situations should be to draw a cash-flow diagram by placing all costs and revenues on a time-line.

- In this workbook, “in year n” means at the end of the nth year. This end-of-year assumption provides consistency for developing and using compound interest formulas and techniques.
Section 3. Twelve formulas

3.1 Introduction

Section 2 developed and applied four basic formulas for compound interest. Section 3 builds on this base; here we present and use 12 formulas to account for the time value of the different types of cash-flow streams encountered in forest valuation and investment analysis. In Section 4 we’ll use the formulas to calculate financial criteria that are commonly used in forestry decision making. We follow this outline of content because most forest valuation and investment analysis questions and problems can be addressed by following three steps:

1. Draw a cash-flow diagram (as described in Section 2.3);
2. Use compound interest formulas to account for the time value of all costs and revenues on the diagram (the topic of Section 3 is selecting and using correct formulas); and
3. Calculate and interpret appropriate financial criteria like “Net Present Value” (which will be discussed in Section 4).

“All I have to say is, if you can’t ride two horses you have no place in the circus.”

– James Maxton (1931)
3.1 Introduction (continued)


In Section 3 we develop a “decision tree” diagram for selecting among 12 compound interest formulas. The diagram is actually a composite of three diagrams, however, based on what you’re calculating …

In Section 3.2 we develop and apply six formulas for Present and Future Value, and in Section 3.3 we develop and apply four formulas for payments. Each of these formula “groups” is developed and presented using a decision tree diagram for formula selection. In Section 3.4 we present a complete diagram for compound interest formulas that includes these two groups of formulas, plus a third group comprised of two formulas that were developed and applied in Section 2.
In Section 1 we developed the basic, general formula for compound interest. We called this the “Future Value” formula since it calculates a Future Value that’s equivalent to a specific Present Value for a given interest rate and time period:

\[ \text{Future Value} = (\text{Present Value}) \times (1 + i)^n \]

Since Present Value occurs in year 0 and Future Value in year \( n \), standard notation is \( V_n \) for Future Value and \( V_0 \) for Present Value. Since \( V_n \) and \( V_0 \) each represent a single sum of money, the formula is referred to as the Future Value of a Single Sum:

**Formula 3.1 – Future Value of a Single Sum**

\[ V_n = V_0 \times (1 + i)^n \]

On a time-line, we represent \( V_n \) and \( V_0 \) as two single sums – one occurs at the end of year \( n \) and one occurs in year 0 (Figure 3.1).

**Figure 3.1. Cash-flow diagram for the Future Value of a Single Sum.**

The Future Value of a Single Sum formula compounds a single Present Value for \( n \) years.

In Section 2 we developed three more formulas by solving the formula above for each of the other three variables. In addition to Future Value, we presented and applied formulas for Present Value (\( V_0 \)), the interest rate (\( i \)), and the number of time periods (\( n \)). The Present Value formula developed and used in Section 2 is simply the reciprocal of the Future Value formula above. It’s more appropriately termed the Present Value of a Single Sum formula:

**Formula 3.2 – Present Value of a Single Sum**

\[ V_0 = \frac{V_n}{(1 + i)^n} \]
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

Formulas 3.1 and 3.2 are single sum formulas because they compound or discount one cost or revenue at a time.

Formula 3.2 is used to discount a single future sum to the present, as shown in Figure 3.2.

**Figure 3.2. Cash-flow diagram for the Present Value of a Single Sum.**

\[ V_0 = \frac{V_n}{(1+i)^n} \]

The Present Value of a Single Sum formula discounts a single Future Value for \( n \) years.

Formula 3.1 is used to compound and formula 3.2 is used to discount single-sum revenues like thinning or final harvest income, and single-sum costs like fertilization or other silvicultural practices. This was demonstrated in the examples that applied the Present and Future Value formulas in Section 2.

Many forestry investments include series of costs and revenues that are uniform. With four formulas for cash flow series, foresters can account for their time-value.

What if your forestry investment includes an income or an expense that occurs every year or every \( n \) years? Our cash-flow diagram may include a hunting lease income that occurs each year, for example, or it may include annual property taxes as a cost of timberland ownership. These are series of uniform costs and revenues. Examples of uniform annual and periodic costs and revenues are numerous in forestry, but only four formulas are needed to calculate present and future value for the different types of series.

**Formula selection can be represented by a decision tree diagram:**

In selecting a Present Value formula or a Future Value formula, we must first choose between formulas that apply to single-sum costs and revenues, and formulas for costs and revenues that are part of a uniform series.

Formulas 3.1 and 3.2 (above) apply to single sums like one-time timber sale revenues or fertilization costs.

To complete the decision tree diagram, next we develop four formulas (3.3–3.6) that calculate Present Value or Future Value for uniform series of annual or periodic costs and revenues.
Assume you’re considering buying a tract of timberland as an investment, and you expect that the tract can be leased for hunting each year for $5/acre. To evaluate the investment, you’ll need to account for the time value of the projected costs and revenues, and you start the analysis by placing them on a time-line. Most of the costs and revenues are “single sums,” but your cash-flow diagram would also have to include a uniform series of annual revenues to represent the hunting lease.

For now let’s assume you’re assessing the investment over a finite time period – a pulpwood rotation of 20 years. Your cash-flow diagram should include a uniform annual series of $5/acre for 20 years:

```
0 1 2 3 … 17 18 19 20
$5 $5 $5 $5 $5 $5 $5
```

We can calculate the total **Future Value** of these numbers by compounding each of them (individually) to year 20 using the single-sum formula for **Future Value** (Formula 3.1), and then adding to get the total. Using 8% interest, for example, this process yields a total **Future Value** of $228.81/acre:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Amount</th>
<th>Value in Year 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 1</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{19} = $21.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 2</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{18} = $19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 3</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{17} = $18.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 4</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{16} = $17.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 5</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{15} = $15.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 6</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{14} = $14.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 7</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{13} = $13.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 8</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{12} = $12.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 9</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{11} = $11.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 10</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^{10} = $10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 11</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^9 = $10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 12</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^8 = $9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 13</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^7 = $8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 14</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^6 = $7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 15</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^5 = $7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 16</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^4 = $6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 17</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^3 = $6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 18</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^2 = $5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 19</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^1 = $5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5/ac. in year 20</td>
<td>$5/ac. (1.08)^0 = $5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Future Value = $228.81/acre
Section 3. Twelve formulas – page 3.6

3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

In Figure 3.3 we develop a formula for calculating the Future Value of series like the $5/acre hunting lease. The uniform series is annual and it terminates, so Formula 3.3 is referred to as the Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series.

Figure 3.3. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series.”

The cash-flow diagram is simply a generalized form of the $5/acre hunting lease example where:

- $V_n = $ total value of the series in year $n$
- $a = $ the amount to be received or paid annually or each of “n” years

To develop a formula for calculating $V_n$ for this series, we begin by applying the Future Value of a Single Sum formula to each one of the “$a$” in the n-year series:

$$V_n = a + a(1 + i)^1 + a(1 + i)^2 + \ldots + a(1 + i)^{n-1} \quad \text{(A)}$$

Multiplying both sides of (A) by $(1 + i)$:

$$V_n (1 + i) = a(1 + i)^1 + a(1 + i)^2 + \ldots + a(1 + i)^n \quad \text{(B)}$$

Subtracting (A) from (B) and simplifying terms yields:

$$V_n(i) = a[(1 + i)^n – 1]$$

Solving for $V_n$ yields:

$$V_n = a \left[ \frac{(1 + i)^n – 1}{i} \right]$$

The Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series formula is derived from the basic formula for compound interest developed in Section 1 and applied in Section 2.

Formula 3.3 – Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series

With 8% as a measure of the time value of money, receiving $5/acre/year for 20 years is equivalent to receiving $228.81/acre in year 20.

The Future Value of the 20-year series of $5/acre hunting lease revenues shown on page 3.5 can be obtained using Formula 3.3. Using an interest rate of 8%:

$$V_{20} = ($5/acre) \left[ \frac{(1 + .08)^{20} – 1}{.08} \right] = $228.81/acre$$

Notice that $228.81 is exactly the value we obtained on the previous page for total Future Value. There, however, we applied the single-sum formula for Future Value 20 times, and then added the 20 Future Values to get the total. In this example and many others in forestry (where annual series are sometimes very long), Formula 3.3 saves a lot of time that would otherwise be spent applying the single-sum formula repetitively.

Example 3.1 on the next page applies Formula 3.3 to a timberland lease, another common type of terminating annual series in forestry.
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

Example 3.1

Future Value of a timberland lease:
Note that this is an annual series of uniform revenues that terminates, so Formula 3.3 can be used to calculate the series’ Future Value.

A landowner is considering leasing 200 acres of timberland to a forest products corporation for $45/acre/year. The lease would be paid for a period of 35 years, beginning one year from today. If the landowner leases the tract under these terms and places all of the annual payments into a bank account earning 5% compound interest each year, how much money will be in the account at the end of the lease period?

Total income each year is ($45/acre)(200 acres) = $9,000, and this is received for a total of 35 years, beginning one year from the present. As a first step, we place the information on a time-line:

The cash-flow diagram above matches the diagram in Figure 3.3 perfectly, and we can therefore obtain the accumulated value of this series in year 35 using Formula 3.3.

How would you work the above example on a hand-held calculator using the “built-in” financial keys?

On inexpensive models like the one illustrated below, terminating annual series problems are a matter of entering the known values (including the annual amount as a payment) and having the calculator “compute” the Future Value.

To work a Future Value problem like Example 3.1:

- Put the calculator in financial mode by pressing two keys:
  - 2nd
  - n

- Enter the known values from Example 3.1 on the financial keys (in no particular order):
  - 9000 PMT (Payment)
  - 5 %i (interest rate)
  - 35 n (#time periods)

- Tell the calculator to “compute” Future Value:
  - CPT FV

- The calculator displays the Future Value: 812882.76
The formula for the **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series** discounts uniform annual series of costs and/or revenues to the present. The cash flow diagram for the **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series** is very similar the one in Figure 3.3 for the **Future Value** of such series. In fact the cash-flow pattern is identical – a uniform series of “Sa” per year that terminates in year n (Figure 3.4). The only difference is that we’re now calculating **Present Value** instead of **Future Value**.

**Figure 3.4. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series.”**

![Cash-flow diagram](image)

We can develop a formula for this series by using Formula 3.3 for **Future Value** of the series. That is, we can calculate **Present Value** for any single-sum **Future Value** using Formula 3.2 **Present Value of a Single Sum**. [Once we apply Formula 3.3 to the series, it has been converted into an equivalent single sum in year n.]

The formula for **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series** is therefore Formula 3.3, the Future Value formula, divided by (1+i):

\[
V_0 = \frac{a}{i} \left( \frac{1}{(1+i)^n} - 1 \right)
\]

**Formula 3.4 – Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series**

Using 8% as a measure of the time value of money, receiving $5/acre/year for 20 years is equivalent to $49.09/acre today.

The **Present Value** of the $5/acre hunting lease series on page 3.5 can be obtained using Formula 3.4:

\[
V_0 = \frac{($5/acre)}{.08} \left[ \frac{(1 + .08)^{20} - 1}{.08(1 + .08)^{20}} \right] = $49.09/acre
\]

If you discounted all 20 of the $5/acre revenues to year 0 at 8% separately, their total **Present Value** would be $49.09.

**To calculate the Present Value of the hunting lease on a hand-held calculator using the “built-in” financial keys ...**

- Enter the known values from Example 3.1 on the financial keys (in no particular order): 5 $5/acre (Enter the $5 as a Payment) 8 (interest rate) 20 (#time periods)
- Tell the calculator to “compute” Present Value: **CPT PV**
- The calculator displays the Present Value: 49.09
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

We now have two formulas to add to the “decision tree” for Present and Future Value formulas:

- **3.3** Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series, and
- **3.4** Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series.

A decision tree diagram for selecting Present and Future Value formulas:

In selecting a Present Value formula or a Future Value formula, we must first choose between formulas that apply to single-sum costs and revenues, and formulas for costs and revenues that are part of a uniform series.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present and Future Value Formulas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Value of a Single Sum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ V_n = V_0 (1 + i)^n ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present Value of a Single Sum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ V_0 = \frac{V_n}{(1 + i)^n} ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ V_n = a \left(\frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i}\right) ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ V_0 = a \left(\frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i (1 + i)^n}\right) ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Two more formulas are needed to complete the decision tree diagram for Present and Future Value formulas.

---

If you’re selecting a formula for a uniform series of costs and revenues, the decision tree has two choices:

- “Terminating Annual” Series …
- or “Perpetual” Series …

Notice in the diagram above that there are two choices for Terminating Annual Series formulas.

To complete the decision tree diagram above, two formulas are needed for cash-flow series that are “Perpetual” – one for “Perpetual Annual” series and one for “Perpetual Periodic” series. These are developed after the following examples and problems.

---

Examples 3.2 and 3.3 apply the four formulas on the decision tree diagram above. After these examples, we develop Formulas 3.5 and 3.6 – the “Perpetual Series” formulas – to complete the decision tree diagram for Present and Future Value formulas.
A landowner has received Conservation Reserve Program payments of $48/acre on his pine plantation for each of the last 10 years. He’s willing to sell the future timber rights to the property in return for a continued payment of $48/acre/year. The stand is 10 years old, and based on current site and stocking conditions you project timber sale revenues of $600/acre from a thinning at stand age 15, $1,200/acre from a thinning at age 22, and $2,400/acre from the final harvest at age 30. If your cost of capital is 6%, can you afford to pay the landowner $48/acre/year for the next 20 years in return for the future timber income?

First we place the costs and revenue information on a time-line:

The next step is to account for the time-value of the costs and revenues using compound interest formulas. To see if the investment is worthwhile, we can compare the total **Present Value** of the revenues to the total **Present Value** of the costs using a 6% cost of capital.

**Present Value of the Revenues**

There are three revenues on the cash-flow diagram, and each one is a “single sum.” We can get their total **Present Value** by applying Formula 3.2, **Present Value of a Single Sum** to each of the revenues and adding:

\[
V_0 = \frac{600}{(1.06)^5} + \frac{1,200}{(1.06)^{12}} + \frac{2,400}{(1.06)^{20}} = \$1,793.05/acre
\]

**Present Value of the Costs**

The costs are a uniform series that’s annual, and the series terminates after 20 years. The cost series matches the cash-flow pattern in Figure 3.4 exactly, and we can therefore obtain **Present Value** using Formula 3.4, **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series**:

\[
V_0 = (48\text{$/acre}) \left[ \frac{(1 + .06)^{20} - 1}{.06(1 + .06)^{20}} \right] = \$550.56/acre
\]

Our final step is to compare the revenues and costs in **Present Value** terms. The **Present Value** of the projected revenues is greater than the **Present Value** of the costs, so if our cost of capital is 6% we can afford to pay the $48/acre/year for the expected timber revenues.

In Section 4 we’ll follow this process to calculate a financial criterion called “Net Present Value,” obtained by subtracting the present value of a project’s costs from the present value of the project’s revenues.
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

Example 3.3

Hunting licenses – pay now or pay later?

The $25/year license fee begins in year 0 because we need to pay for a license now to hunt in the coming year.

We need to compare the cost of the two options in Present Value terms. On a time-line, the annual fee option is a terminating annual series that spans a 40-year period:

Is the Present Value of this cost series greater than the $500 one-time cost option? Because the $25/year cash-flow pattern is a terminating annual series, to calculate Present Value, the decision tree on page 3.9 leads us to select Formula 3.4 Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series.

Closely compare the cash-flow pattern above, however, with the pattern of “a” annual amounts in Figure 3.4 (where Formula 3.4 was derived). Notice that the series of $25 costs above begins in year 0, not at the end of year 1 like the cash-flow diagram underlying Formula 3.4. Our cash-flow diagram (above) has 41 costs over a 40-year time period.

We can obtain the total Present Value of the 41 numbers on the time-line by adding the $25 initial cost to the Present Value we get from applying Formula 3.4 for a 40-year period. Using a discount rate of 9% yields:

$$V_0 = \$25 + \$25 \left( \frac{(1.09)^{40} - 1}{0.09(1.09)^{40}} \right) = \$293.93$$

We have to account for the initial cost separately since it occurs in year 0 (Formulas 3.3 and 3.4 for terminating annual series assume the first amount occurs at the end of year 1). We can simply add the initial $25 without applying any compound interest to account for its time value because the initial fee is already in year 0 on the time-line. It’s already in Present Value terms.

Since $293.93 is less than $500, the annual fee option is a better choice than the one-time fee if our discount rate is 9%.

Example 3.3 demonstrates how important it is to understand the cash-flow pattern that was assumed in developing each compound interest formula. Without this understanding, it’s very easy to overlook values on a problem’s cash-flow diagram that aren’t accounted for by simply “plugging in” to the formulas.
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

In working the following problems, and others throughout the workbook, it’s helpful to:
✓ Start by drawing a simple cash-flow diagram;
✓ Use a decision tree diagram like the one on page 3.9 to select the correct formula(s) for Present and/or Future Value; and
✓ Check your results with the solutions in Section 10. Solutions to problems.

Problem 3.1

You want to set aside enough money today to pay your property taxes each year for the next 12 years. The taxes are expected to be $1,600 per year. How much money will you need to deposit today if the account pays 6% interest compounded annually? (Answer = $13,414.15)

Problem 3.2

An investor is considering purchasing a tract of hardwood timberland for $1,000/acre. The land can be leased for hunting for about $10/acre/year. Property taxes are expected to be about $3/acre/year, so the property's net income is approximately $7/acre/year. What will the value of this property have to be nine years from now if the investor would like to earn a 10% compound rate of interest on her investment? Assume there are no intermediate harvests or other revenues or costs. (Answer = $2,262.89/acre)
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

The last two formulas on the decision tree for selecting Present and Future Value formulas are also uniform series formulas. Some forest valuation and investment analysis problems involve series of costs and/or revenues that don’t terminate; they continue annually or periodically forever and are therefore referred to as “infinite” or “perpetual” series.

An example of where perpetual series occur in forestry is in determining the value of land. If timber production is the “highest and best use” for a tract of land, it can be valued by discounting all of the timber-related revenues and costs to the present. Formulas are therefore needed to calculate the Present Value of all future revenues and costs; by all future revenues and costs, we mean that the cash-flow diagram doesn’t terminate after a finite number of years.

The infinite time-line for timber production may include annual costs/revenues like property taxes and lease income, and it may have periodic costs/revenues like the revenue from periodic harvests with uneven-aged management or the harvests associated with each rotation using even-aged management. We therefore need two Present Value formulas for perpetual series of costs and revenues:

**Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series**, and  
**Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series**.

Why don’t we have formulas for Future Value of perpetual series?

The cash-flow diagram for perpetual annual series is illustrated in Figure 3.5. The formula derivation in Figure 3.5 is simply a matter of allowing “n” in the **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series** formula to approach infinity.

**Figure 3.5. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series.”**

![Cash-flow diagram](image)

We can develop a formula for this series by using Formula 3.4 for **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series**. If we allow “n” in the terminating annual series formula to have an extremely high value, the term inside the brackets is simplified: \((1 + i)^n - 1\) approaches \((1 + i)^n\) as “n” approaches infinity. The terms therefore cancel out of the formula. Mathematically, we derive the **Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series** formula by taking the limit of Formula 3.4 as “n” approaches infinity:

\[
V_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i(1 + i)^n} = \frac{a}{i}
\]

**Formula 3.5 – Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series**

\[
V_0 = \frac{a}{i}
\]
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

As mentioned on the previous page, examples of perpetual periodic series in forestry include the timber revenues obtained in each cutting cycle with uneven-aged management, or with even-aged management, the costs and revenues associated with each timber rotation. In both cases, revenues and costs are expected to occur periodically. If we’re estimating the value of land in timber production, our cash-flow diagram is an infinite series of these periodic revenues and costs.

The cash-flow diagram and formula derivation for the **Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series** are presented in Figure 3.6.

### Figure 3.6. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series.”

**The Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series** formula is derived using the formula for a terminating periodic series. Although we haven’t derived or used this formula, one can see that it’s simply a generalized form of the terminating annual series formula, i.e., generalized to allow “n” periods, each of which is “t” years in length. Notice, for example, that if t = 1, the formula below is identical to Formula 3.4 **Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series**.

We derive the **Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series** by taking the mathematical limit of the formula above as “n” approaches infinity.

After removing the terms that cancel out as “n” approaches infinity, we can write a very simplified formula. For consistency in notation, we allow “n” to represent the number of years per period in the final form of the **Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series** formula:

**Formula 3.6 – Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series**

\[
V_0 = \frac{a}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

After adding Formulas 3.5 and 3.6 for perpetual annual and perpetual periodic series, the complete decision-tree diagram for Present and Future Value has a total of six formulas.

A decision tree diagram for selecting Present and Future Value formulas:

Sub-section 3.2 Present and Future Value formulas concludes with some examples and problems that apply the six formulas above. When used correctly, these six formulas are sufficient to account for the time value of nearly every type of cost and revenue pattern encountered in forest valuation and investment analysis.

After the examples and problems, formulas for calculating payments are developed in sub-section 3.3 Payment formulas.
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

**Example 3.4**

*A perpetual annual series ...*

A landowner plans to sell all of his forest-based assets and place the funds in a trust account. If the landowner would like the account to pay $45,000 per year (beginning one year from the deposit), how much money will the assets have to sell for if the account pays 6.5% compound interest?

If the landowner wants the account to provide $45,000 per year in perpetuity, the cash-flow diagram is:

We’re calculating the Present Value of a series that’s perpetual and annual, and the decision tree on page 3.15 leads us to the Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series formula:

\[ V_0 = \frac{a}{i} = \frac{45,000}{.065} = 692,307.69 \]

**Example 3.5**

*A perpetual periodic series ...*

A hardwood forest is managed using group selection silvicultural methods. The tract is expected to produce harvests worth $125,000 every 10 years. What’s the Present Value of this series using a discount rate of 5.75%?

The cash-flow stream is $125,000 every 10 years in perpetuity:

We’re calculating the Present Value of a series that’s perpetual and periodic, and the decision tree on page 3.15 leads us to select the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series formula:

\[ V_0 = \frac{a}{(1 + i)^n - 1} = \frac{125,000}{(1 + .0575)^{10} - 1} = 166,876.67 \]

“Real world” cash-flow diagrams sometimes don’t match the patterns assumed in developing the compound interest formulas.

In Example 3.5, notice in the cash-flow diagram that we’re assuming the first harvest occurs 10 years from now. That means we can apply Formula 3.6 without any further steps, because our cash-flow diagram perfectly matches the pattern assumed in developing Formula 3.6 (illustrated in Figure 3.6 on page 3.14).

In many “real world” cases, we may need to use the perpetual periodic series formula, but we may be in the middle of a cutting period, or we may be at age 11, for example, in the first of a series of 30-year rotations.
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

How would you obtain the Present Value in Example 3.5 if the first of the 10-year revenues is only four years away? The modified cash-flow diagram would be:

We still have a perpetual periodic series, and we want to calculate Present Value. We can apply Formula 3.6, Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series (as we did in Example 3.5), but we need one more step to obtain the Present Value of the series in our modified cash-flow diagram. The formula assumes we’re at year 0, but we’re actually six years into the first cycle on the cash-flow diagram, so we need to compound the value we get with the formula ($166,876.67) for six years:

Applying Formula 3.6 yields $166,867.67, but this assumes we’re at the beginning of a 10-year cycle.

This assumption is six years “off” in the modified example, but we can obtain the Present Value by compounding $166,876.67 for six years. This moves the single sum to the right on the cash-flow diagram. We’re compounding a single-sum, so we use Formula 3.1 Future Value of a Single Sum:

$166,876.67 (1.0575)^6 = $233,387.66

Since many “real world” cases don’t match the cash-flow patterns assumed in developing compound interest formulas, it’s very important to draw a cash-flow diagram for each analysis, and to recognize whether the cash-flow patterns fit the Present or Future Value formula(s) exactly. In cases where the match isn’t exact, you can almost always use the single sum formulas to correctly adjust the analysis.
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

As we stated earlier, in working problems like the ones that follow, it’s helpful to:

- Start by drawing a simple cash-flow diagram;
- Use a decision tree diagram like the one on page 3.15 to select the correct formula(s) for Present and/or Future Value; and
- Check your results with the solutions in Section 10. Solutions to problems.

Problem 3.3

The cash-flow diagram for Problem 3.3 should show a series that’s perpetual and periodic.

If net returns from timber of $2,750/acre are expected every 35 years, what’s the Present Value of the expected income stream at 6%?

(Answer = $411.30/acre)

Problem 3.4

In Problem 3.4, calculate the total Present Value of the entire cash-flow stream.

What would the Present Value in Problem 3.3 be if the following changes are made to the expected cash-flow stream?

- additional income of $2/acre/year from a hunting lease (to start at the end of year 1), and
- the first $2,750 income from the forest occurs now.

(Answer = $3,194.63/acre)
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

**Problem 3.5**

Your cash-flow diagram should show a series that's terminating annual ...

Using 10% interest, what's the Present Value of an 8-year series of property taxes of $3,000 each? Assume the first property tax payment occurs one year from today. (Answer = $16,004.78)

**Problem 3.6**

The series formulas assume that the first annual or periodic amount occurs at the end of the first period. In calculating Present Value in this problem, we must recognize that the series formulas don't account for the value that occurs today, year 0.

You can lease your land beginning today for the next 25 years for $12/acre per year. How much money is that equivalent to today if your discount rate is 9.5%? What's the equivalent value today if you can lease the land (beginning today) for $12/acre per year forever?

(Answer = $125.25/acre; continuing forever = $138.32/acre)
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

**Problem 3.7**

Calculate the **Present Value** of all values on the cash-flow diagram ... How much money would you need to place in an interest bearing account today if you would like to withdraw $4,000 each year for nine years, and $10,000 after the 10th year? The account earns 7% interest compounded annually.  
(Answer = $31,144.42)

**Problem 3.8**

Determine what the logging firm can afford to pay by calculating the total **Present Value** of the equipment’s labor savings and salvage value ... Investing in new equipment is expected to save a logging firm $50,000 per year in labor for each of the next six years. If the firm’s cost of capital is 8%, what can they afford to pay for the new equipment? Assume the equipment is expected to have a salvage value of $60,000 six years from now.  
(Answer = $268,954.16)
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

Problem 3.9

Calculate the Future Value of a single-sum ...

You recently obtained a high bid of $140,000 for your timber, and you estimate that you can sell the land after harvest for an additional $35,000. If you sell the land and timber and place the $175,000 in a tax-deferred account that earns 5% interest, how much money will be in the account in 25 years? (Answer = $592,612.11)

Problem 3.10

Determine the total Future Value ...

In Problem 3.9, how much money will be in the account in 25 years if you leave the original $175,000 in the account, and you add $2,000 to the account each year (beginning one year from the original deposit)? (Answer = $688,066.31)
3.2 Present and Future Value Formulas (continued)

**Problem 3.11**

As a forestry consultant, you have several clients who buy forest properties as investments. Forest lands in different locations vary widely in property taxes levied each year. Using a 9% discount rate, develop a “rule of thumb” for your clients about how differences in property tax levels should impact their bid prices for timberland. That is, how much should a buyer’s offering price be lowered for each dollar of annual property tax on a per acre basis? (Answer = $11.11 per dollar of annual tax liability)

**Problem 3.12**

In working Problem 3.12, you’ll need to find the stumpage price projected 10 years from now by compounding today’s price at 2%.

How much money can you pay now for forest fertilization that will increase your harvest yields by three thousand board feet per acre in 10 years? Stumpage prices in your area today average $420 per thousand board feet, but they are projected to increase by a compound rate of 2% per year. Your cost of capital is 7.5%. (Answer = $745.23/acre)
In this part of Section 3 we develop a decision tree diagram with four formulas for payments ...

Payments are a frequently-encountered example of a uniform series of annual or non-annual expenditures. We include payment formulas in this part of Section 3 because they are encountered so often in forestry and non-forestry applications, and also because they’re used in Section 4 to develop a financial criterion that’s very useful in forestry investment analysis.

There are two types of payments:

- **Payments to accumulate a specific sum of money over a specific time period.** Such payments are essentially made to yourself, i.e., to your own interest-bearing account. They are sometimes called “sinking fund” payments, with the interest-bearing account referred to as a “sinking fund” or “sinking fund” account.

- **Payments to repay money that’s borrowed for a specific time period.** Examples of such payments include those made on car and truck loans, and home mortgages. Uniform payments to repay an amount borrowed are often called “installment” or “capital recovery” payments.

Payments are sometimes made *annually* and sometimes they are *non-annual*. Most examples of non-annual payments are *monthly*, so in this sub-section we present annual and monthly payment formulas to accumulate a future amount, and to repay an amount borrowed. We therefore present four formulas in a decision tree format:

*A decision tree diagram can be used to select among four formulas for payments* ...

Since we’ve already presented six formulas for **Present and Future Value**, we number the four payment formulas 3.7–3.10.
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Formula 3.7 – **Annual Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum** – is developed in Figure 3.7. Notice that the Figure’s cash-flow diagram assumes the first payment occurs at the end of the first year, and the last payment occurs at the end of the last year.

![Figure 3.7. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Annual Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum.”](image)

To develop a formula for calculating “a,” we can use the formula we already have for calculating \( V_n \) for a **Terminating Annual Series**. That is, Formula 3.3 calculates the **Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series** like the one above. We can rearrange Formula 3.3 to calculate the “a” necessary to accumulate \( V_n \) dollars with “n” payments to an account earning “i%” compound interest.

\[
V_n = a \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i}
\]

Solving this formula for “a” yields the “sinking fund” formula for **Annual Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum**:

\[
a = V_n \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

To designate “annual payment” we use the variable \( P_{ann} \):

\[
P_{ann} = V_n \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

**Formula 3.7 – Annual Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum**

\[
P_{ann} = V_n \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

Where \( V_n \) is the future sum to be accumulated.

Formula 3.7 is sometimes called the “sinking fund” formula. It’s often used to calculate how much money you’d need to set aside each year to be able to replace equipment that has a predictable useful life. Logging firms, for example, may expect a specific useful life for skidders and other high cost equipment. Formula 3.7 can be used to determine annual payments a firm can make to its own interest-bearing account, or “sinking fund,” that will enable them to replace specific equipment when needed.

By making payments to your own account, the power of compound interest works for you over time; your payments are therefore lower than if you borrow money and repay it in equal installments over time (other things equal). When you borrow money and make payments, of course, you have use of the equipment, the property, or other assets bought with the borrowed funds during the time you’re repaying the loan.
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Example 3.6

An annual “sinking fund” ...

How much money would you need to set aside each year if you’d like to accumulate enough money to replace a $40,000 truck in seven years? Your “sinking fund” account will earn 5.25% interest compounded annually.

As shown in the diagram below, we want to know the annual payment that’s equivalent to a future sum of $40,000 after seven years.

We calculate the Annual Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum using Formula 3.7:

\[ P_{\text{ann.}} = V_n \left( \frac{i}{(1+i)^n - 1} \right) = \frac{40000}{(1.0525)^7 - 1} \]

How would you work the above example on a hand-held calculator using the “built-in” financial keys?

On calculators like the one illustrated below, “sinking fund” problems are a matter of entering the known values (including the Future Value you want to accumulate) and having the calculator “compute” the equivalent payment.

To work a Future Value problem like Example 3.6:

1. Put the calculator in financial mode by pressing two keys:
   - [2nd] FIN
   - [n]

2. Enter the known values from Example 3.6 on the financial keys (in no particular order):
   - 40000 (Payment)
   - 5.25% (interest rate)
   - 7 (n)

3. Tell the calculator to “compute” the payment:
   - [CPT] PMT

4. The calculator displays the payment amount: 4875.55
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Problem 3.13

Unless stated otherwise, assume the first payment into a “sinking fund” occurs at the end of the first period, and the last payment is at the end of the last period.

The owner of a forest property that adjoins your land has said she plans to sell the property eight years from now when she retires. You estimate that the property will be worth $150,000 in eight years. How much money will you need to place into a “sinking fund” account each year to accumulate $150,000 in eight years? The account pays 6% compounded annually. (Answer = $15,155.39)

Problem 3.14

The cash-flow diagram for Problem 3.14 should include a series of payments for eight years, and a single sum of $50,000 in year 0.

How much money would you need to place in an account each year if you’d like to accumulate $150,000 over an eight-year period, and you have $50,000 to place in the account today? The account pays 6% compounded annually. (Answer = $7,103.59)
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

What if you’re making payments on a non-annual basis? Formula 3.7 can be modified to calculate monthly payments, quarterly payments, etc., simply by using the monthly or quarterly interest rate for “i” and the number of months or quarters for “n.” If “i” represents the “annual percentage rate,” then the monthly interest rate is “i/12,” the quarterly interest rate is “i/4,” etc.

In Figure 3.8, a cash-flow diagram is presented for monthly payments to accumulate a future sum. The formula to calculate monthly payments to a “sinking fund” is presented in Figure 3.8 as a modification of the formula for annual payments to accumulate a future sum.

Figure 3.8. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Monthly Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum.”

![Cash-flow diagram]

To calculate monthly payments, we modify the annual payment formula – we use a monthly interest rate for “i” and the total number of monthly payments for “n.”

### Annual Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum
(Formula 3.7)

\[ P_{\text{ann.}} = V_n \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \]

### Monthly Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum
(Formula 3.8)

\[ P_{\text{mo.}} = V_n \frac{i/12}{(1 + i/12)^{n*12} - 1} \]

Note that the formulas for monthly payments, whether to accumulate a future sum or to repay a loan, are based on the assumption that interest is compounded monthly. The monthly payment formulas are also based on the assumption that payments occur at the end of each month.
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

**Example 3.7**

With a monthly “sinking fund,” the first payment occurs at the end of the first month, the last payment is at the end of the last month.

How much money would you need to set aside each month if you’d like to accumulate enough money to replace a $40,000 truck in seven years? Your “sinking fund” account will earn an annual percentage rate of 5.25% compounded monthly.

As shown in the diagram below, we want to know the monthly payment that’s equivalent to a future sum of $40,000 after seven years.

![Diagram showing payment schedule](image)

7 years = 84 mos.

We calculate the Monthly Payment to Accumulate a Future Sum using Formula 3.8:

\[
P_{\text{mo.}} = \frac{V_n}{\left(1 + \frac{i}{12}\right)^{84} - 1} = \frac{40,000}{\left(1 + \frac{0.0525}{12}\right)^{84} - 1} = $395.07
\]

**Problem 3.15**

If a higher rate of interest is used, will the monthly payment to a “sinking fund” be higher or lower? Why?

Calculate the monthly payment necessary to accumulate $75,000 over a 12-year period. Assume the “sinking fund” account will earn 7.5% interest compounded monthly. (Answer = $322.67)
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

The annual and monthly formulas for accumulating a future sum are the first two formulas on the decision tree diagram for payment formulas. The remaining two formulas are for calculating annual and monthly payments necessary to repay a loan.

*A decision tree diagram can be used to select among four formulas for payments …*

\[
P_{\text{ann.}} = V \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

The “sinking fund” formula – annual payments necessary to accumulate a specific future sum.

\[
P_{\text{mo.}} = V \frac{i/12}{(1 + i/12)^{n \times 12} - 1}
\]

The “sinking fund” formula – monthly payments necessary to accumulate a specific future sum.

\[
P_{\text{annual}} = V a
\]

The “capital recovery” formula – annual payments necessary to repay a specific amount borrowed.

\[
P_{\text{monthly}} = V a
\]

The “capital recovery” formula – monthly payments necessary to repay a specific amount borrowed.

The decision tree diagram for payments has two more formulas – annual and monthly payments necessary to repay a loan.

Formula 3.9 – Annual Payments to Repay a Loan – is developed in Figure 3.9. As with the cash-flow diagrams for other formulas, notice in Figure 3.9 that the first payment occurs at the end of the first year, and the last payment is at the end of the last year.
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Figure 3.9. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Annual Payments to Repay a Loan.”

The cash-flow diagram for Annual Payments to Repay a Loan is identical to the diagram for the Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series (see Figure 3.4 on page 3.8):

\[ V_0 = \text{amount borrowed in year 0} \]
\[ a = \text{the annual payment for each of “n” years} \]

To develop a formula for calculating “a,” we can use the formula we already have for calculating V₀ for a Terminating Annual Series like the one above. We can rearrange Formula 3.4 to calculate the “a” necessary to accumulate V₀ dollars with “n” payments to the lender (where the lender earns “i%” compound interest on the unpaid balance each year).

Formula 3.4 was developed to calculate the Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series of “a” costs or revenues:

\[ V_a = \frac{a \left(1 + i\right)^n - 1}{i \left(1 + i\right)^n} \]

Solving this formula for “a” yields the “installment payment” formula for Annual Payments to Repay a Loan:

\[ a = V_n \left[ \frac{i \left(1 + i\right)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right] \]

To designate “annual payment” we use the variable Pₐₐₙₐₚ:\n
\[ P_{\text{ann.}} = V_n \left[ \frac{i \left(1 + i\right)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right] \]

Where V₀ is the amount borrowed.

Example 3.8

What’s the annual payment necessary to repay $125,000 in 15 equal annual installments? The interest rate is 8%.

As shown in the diagram below, we want to know the annual amount to pay for each of 15 years that would be equivalent to borrowing $125,000 in year 0.

We calculate the Annual Payments to Repay a Loan using Formula 3.9:

\[ P_{\text{ann.}} = V_n \left[ \frac{i \left(1 + i\right)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right] = \frac{125,000 \left(1 + .08\right)^{15}}{(1 + .08)^{15} - 1} = 14,603.69 \]
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

**Example 3.9**

To regenerate your forest land this year will require $19,000. If you borrow that amount and pay 9% compounded annually, what annual payment is necessary to repay the loan in 10 years?

As shown in the diagram below, we want to know the annual payment that’s necessary to repay $19,000 over a 10-year period.

We calculate the **Annual Payments to Repay a Loan** using Formula 3.9:

\[
P_{\text{ann.}} = \frac{V \left( \frac{i (1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right)}{1} = \frac{19,000 \cdot (1 + .09)^{10}}{(1 + .09)^{10} - 1} = 2,960.58
\]

**How would you work the above example on a hand-held calculator using the “built-in” financial keys?**

On models like the one illustrated below, payments necessary to repay a loan are a matter of entering the known values (including the amount borrowed as **Present Value**) and having the calculator “compute” the equivalent payment.

To work an annual payment problem like Example 3.9:

1. Put the calculator in financial mode by pressing two keys:
   - 2nd
   - n

2. Enter the known values from Example 3.9 on the financial keys (in no particular order):
   - 19000 (Present Value)
   - 9 (interest rate)
   - 10 (time periods)

3. Tell the calculator to “compute” the payment:
   - CPT
   - PMT

   The calculator displays the payment amount: 2960.58
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

**Problem 3.16**

Unless stated otherwise, assume that the first installment payment is due at the end of the first period.

If you borrow $40,000 at 5.25%, what annual payment is necessary to repay the loan in seven years? (Answer = $6,975.55)

**Problem 3.17**

With a “sinking fund” you earn interest; when you borrow money you pay interest.

To accumulate $40,000 in a “sinking fund” that earns 5.25% compound interest, the annual payment is $4,875.55 (see Example 3.6 on page 3.25). Why is this annual payment lower than the annual payment calculated in Problem 3.16?
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Monthly payments to repay a loan are a very common example of using compound interest to account for the time value of money.

Formula 3.9 can be modified to calculate monthly payments, quarterly payments, etc., by using the monthly or quarterly interest rate for “i” and the total number of payments for “n.”

In Figure 3.10, a cash-flow diagram is presented for monthly payments to repay a loan. The formula to calculate monthly payments is presented in Figure 3.10 as a modification of the formula for annual payments to repay a loan.

Figure 3.10. Cash-flow diagram and formula development – “Monthly Payments to Repay a Loan.”

The only difference between the cash-flow diagram for Monthly Payments to Repay a Loan and the cash-flow diagram for Annual Payments to Repay a Loan is the number of payments – there are “n” annual payments and there are “n*12” monthly payments.

To calculate monthly payments, we modify the annual payment formula – we use a monthly interest rate for “i” and the total number of monthly payments for “n.”

There are many examples of monthly installment payments. In many cases, consumers make monthly payments on car and truck loans, for example, as well as on home mortgages. Monthly payments are also frequently used to repay business loans for property and equipment.
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Example 3.10

Monthly payments for 10 years ...

In Example 3.9 we calculated an annual payment of $2,960.58 to repay a loan of $19,000 in 10 years using 9% annual interest. What monthly payment would be necessary to repay the loan in 10 years? Assume 9% is the annual percentage rate of interest.

As shown in the diagram below, we want to know the monthly payment that’s necessary to repay $19,000 over a 10-year period.

\[
P_{\text{max.}} = \frac{V_0 \left( \frac{i}{1 + \frac{i}{12}} \right)^{n \times 12}}{(1 + \frac{i}{12})^{n \times 12} - 1}
\]

\[
= \frac{19,000 \left( \frac{.09/12}{1 + .09/12} \right)^{120}}{(1 + .09/12)^{120} - 1}
\]

We calculate the Monthly Payments to Repay a Loan using Formula 3.10:

\[
P_{\text{max.}} = \frac{19,000 \left( \frac{.09/12}{1 + .09/12} \right)^{120}}{(1 + .09/12)^{120} - 1} = 240.68
\]

How would you work the above example on a hand-held calculator using the “built-in” financial keys?

On models like the one illustrated below, payments necessary to repay a loan are a matter of entering the known values (including the amount borrowed as Present Value) and having the calculator “compute” the equivalent payment.

To work a monthly payment problem like Example 3.10:

- Put the calculator in financial mode by pressing two keys:
  - 2nd
  - n

- Enter the known values from Example 3.10 on the financial keys (in no particular order):
  - PV = 19000
  - i = 0.075
  - n = 120

- Tell the calculator to “compute” the payment:
  - CPT
  - PMT

The calculator displays the payment amount: 240.68

Note that on this calculator we enter 9/12 = .75 as the monthly interest rate (applying the formula directly we would use 0.09/12 = .0075 as the monthly rate).
### Problem 3.18

In Problem 3.18, compare the monthly payment for a 20-year loan to the payment for a 30-year loan (for the same rate of interest). Is there an interaction between the impact of loan length and the rate of interest?

### Demand for many forest products is closely related to home building and remodeling.

Based on the home mortgages calculated in Problem 3.18, how is the demand for forest products affected by interest rates on home mortgages and home equity loans?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i = 12%</th>
<th>i = 12%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 30 years</td>
<td>n = 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Answer = $1,028.61)</td>
<td>(Answer = $1,101.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i = 6%</th>
<th>i = 12%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 30 years</td>
<td>n = 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Answer = $599.55)</td>
<td>(Answer = $716.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

Problem 3.19

In Problem 3.19, the “down payment” reduces the amount borrowed.

What monthly payment is necessary to purchase a $27,500 four-wheel-drive pickup truck in six years? The annual percentage rate is 7.6% and you must pay a 10% “down payment.” (Answer = $429.13)

Problem 3.20

In responding to Problem 3.20, recall that all of the compound interest formulas we’ve presented are derived using an end-of-period assumption about cash-flows.

You are purchasing real estate by “assuming” the previous owner’s monthly payments. Who should pay the next payment if it’s due on April 1 and your transaction is on April 1?

- **March 1**: Seller owns the property until April 1
- **April 1**: Monthly Payment
- **May 1**: You own the property after April 1

Who’s responsible for the payment that’s due on April 1?
Section 3. Twelve formulas – page 3.37

3.3 Payment Formulas (continued)

The complete decision tree diagram for payment formulas has annual and monthly formulas to accumulate a future sum, and annual and monthly formulas to repay an amount borrowed.

A decision tree diagram for selecting among four formulas for payments …

The payment formulas in the diagram above have several underlying assumptions:

- payments are a uniform annual or monthly series with payments occurring at the end of each year or month;
- interest is fixed and is compounded on the same periodic basis that payments are made; and
- for loans, interest is applied to the unpaid balance for each period of time.

Other types of loans involving compound interest, the "effective" rate of interest with non annual compounding, and related topics are discussed in Section 9. Review for the Registered Forester exam (pages 9.18 and 9.19).

Formulas for loan repayment have been developed that assume payments are due at the beginning of each period. There are also formulas and methods for “creative” financing of home mortgages and other relatively long-term loans. Throughout the workbook, however, examples and problems that involve payments apply only the four formulas on the decision tree diagram above. These four formulas have many forestry and non-forestry applications.
3.4 Decision tree for selecting formulas

Figure 3.1 is a composite decision tree diagram of the 12 compound interest formulas we’ve developed and applied thus far. The 12 formulas are arranged in three groups:

- The six **Present Value** and **Future Value** formulas (developed and applied in Section 3.2);
- The four payment formulas (developed and applied in Section 3.3); and
- Formulas for calculating “i” and “n” for investments with one cost and one revenue (developed and applied in Section 2).

Figure 3.1 follows the pattern illustrated below to group the formulas.

For convenience in working examples and problems, Figure 3.1 is replicated on the last page of the workbook.

Note that Formulas 11 and 12 in Figure 3.1 have not been applied in Section 3. They were developed and applied as two of the “four basic formulas” in Section 2.
Section 3. Twelve formulas – page 3.39

1. Future Value of a Single Sum

\[ V_n = V_0 (1 + i)^n \]

2. Present Value of a Single Sum

\[ V_0 = \frac{V_n}{(1 + i)^n} \]

3. Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series

\[ V_n = a \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i} \]

4. Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series

\[ V_0 = a \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i (1 + i)^n} \]

5. Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series

\[ V_0 = \frac{a}{i} \]

6. Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series

\[ V_0 = \frac{V_n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \]

7. Annual Payment to accumulate a future amount

\[ P_{\text{ann.}} = V_n \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \]

8. Monthly Payment to accumulate a future amount

\[ P_{\text{mo.}} = V_n \frac{i/12}{(1 + i/12)^{n*12} - 1} \]

9. Annual Payment to repay an amount borrowed

\[ P_{\text{ann.}} = V_0 \frac{i (1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \]

10. Monthly Payment to repay a specific amount borrowed

\[ P_{\text{mo.}} = V_0 \frac{i/12 (1 + i/12)^{n*12} - 1}{(1 + i/12)^{n*12} - 1} \]

11. Interest Rate

\[ i = \left( \frac{V_n}{V_0} \right)^{1/n} - 1 \]

12. Number of Periods

\[ n = \frac{\ln(V_n/V_0)}{\ln(1 + i)} \]

An iterative process is necessary to estimate "i" or "n" if there are more than two values associated with an investment.

Notation:

- \( V_0 \) = Value in year 0 (Present Value). In Formulas 9 and 10, \( V_0 \) is the amount of money borrowed.
- \( V_n \) = Value in year \( n \) (Future Value). In Formulas 7 and 8, \( V_n \) is the amount of money to be accumulated.
- \( i \) = interest rate (decimal percent). In Formulas 8 and 10, \( i \) is the annual percentage rate and \( i/12 \) is the monthly rate.
- \( n \) = number of years. In Formula 6, \( n \) is the number of years per period. In Formulas 8 and 10, \( n \times 12 \) represents the number of monthly payments.
- \( a \) = uniform series of annual revenues or payments. In Formula 6, \( a \) represents a uniform series of payments or revenues that occur periodically – every \( n \) years.
- \( P \) = payment amount (annual or monthly).

**Figure 3.1 Decision tree for selecting the correct compound interest formula.**

[The general pattern of Figure 3.1 follows a diagram developed by J.E. Gunter and H.L. Haney, 1978, “A Decision Tree for Compound Interest Formulas,” South. J. Appl. For. 2(3):107.]
3.5 Review of Section 3

Section 3. Twelve formulas

3.1 Introduction
The objective of Section 3 was to develop a decision tree diagram for use in selecting compound interest formulas in later Sections of the workbook.

3.2 Present and Future value formulas
Six formulas for calculating Present Value and Future Value were developed in Section 3.2 and are included on the decision tree diagram of compound interest formulas. These formulas are used in Section 4 to calculate financial criteria like “Net Present Value,” “Rate of Return,” and “Land Expectation Value.”

3.3 Payment formulas
Four formulas for calculating payments were developed and are included on the decision tree diagram. There are actually only two formulas for payments, however, with two versions of each, annual and monthly:

- The “sinking fund” formula (annual and monthly); and
- The “capital recovery” or “installment payment” formula (annual and monthly).

3.3 Decision tree for selecting formulas
The composite decision tree diagram (Figure 3.1) has all twelve formulas presented in three groups. This diagram is intended for use in selecting correct compound interest formulas in later Sections, and for convenience in working problems it’s replicated on the last page of the workbook.

An important reason for emphasizing formula selection and use is that financial calculators have some of the formulas often used in forest valuation and investment analysis, but not all of them. There are many cases where foresters must be able to select and use compound interest formulas directly. Computer programs developed specifically for forestry analysis can also be very helpful; they are presented and discussed in Section 8. Computer programs.
4.1 Introduction

Section 4 includes seven financial criteria that are often used in forest valuation and investment analysis. Additional references at the end of the Section are sources of further information.

Compound interest formulas can be used to put all of the monetary benefits and costs of a specific forestry project on an “equal footing.” The formulas simply ensure that the time value of money is considered before sums of money are added, subtracted, or compared with other sums. Individual projects may then be assessed against the cost of capital or compared with alternative uses for capital.

Several formal criteria are common in evaluating potential capital investments. They are “formal” in the sense that they are calculated in a specific way. The criteria presented here are:

- Net Present Value
- Equivalent Annual Income
- Benefit/Cost Ratio
- Rate of Return
- Composite Rate of Return
- Payback Period
- Land Expectation Value
4.2 Financial criteria

Net Present Value (NPV) is very commonly used to evaluate potential capital investments. NPV is the Present Value of all revenues minus the Present Value of all costs (Figure 4.1). NPV is thus present because all revenues and costs are discounted to the present with compound interest, and the criterion is net because the costs are subtracted from the revenues.

To calculate NPV, compound interest formulas are used to discount all revenues and costs to the present; their difference is Net Present Value.

Other names for NPV are Net Present Worth, Present Net Value, and Present Net Worth.

As stated in Figure 4.1, a project is acceptable if its NPV is greater than or equal to zero. Other things equal, of course, a large NPV is “better” than an NPV relatively close to zero. The criterion does not, however, indicate the relative scale of a project. If a result of $100 is obtained for a specific project, for example, the result does not indicate whether the project involves a few hundred dollars or several million dollars. It merely indicates that the project is expected to yield a rate of return greater than the interest rate used in the present value calculations.

In Section 3 we presented a three-step outline for most forest valuation and investment analysis problems …

(1) Draw a cash-flow diagram.

(2) Calculate Present Values, Future Values, payments, etc., using compound interest formulas to account for the time value of the costs and revenues on the diagram. [For convenience in selecting formulas, Figure 3.1. decision tree for selecting the correct compound interest formula, is repeated on the last page of the workbook.]

(3) Calculate and interpret an appropriate financial criterion like Net Present Value.

These three steps are followed in most of the workbook’s Examples.
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Net Present Value** (continued)

**Example 4.1**

If you invest $4,500 in wildlife food plots now, a hunting club has agreed to pay you $800 extra per year for each of the next 10 years (beginning one year from now). Is this investment attractive if your discount rate is 6%?

Our cash-flow diagram has one cost and a 10-year series of revenues:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{Year} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & 9 & 10 \\
\text{Revenues} & $800 & $800 & $800 & \cdots & $800 & $800 & $800 \\
\text{Cost} & -$4,500 & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

The $800 revenues are a terminating annual series, and to calculate Present Value Figure 3.1 leads us to apply Formula 4:

\[
V_0 = \frac{800 \left(1 + 0.06\right)^{10} - 1}{0.06 \left(1 + 0.06\right)^{10}} = 5,888.07/\text{acre}
\]

The cost of the wildlife food plots is a single sum that occurs in year 0. Since it’s already in year 0, the $4,500 cost requires no discounting:

\[
V_0 = 800
\]

Subtracting the Present Value of the costs from the Present Value of the revenues yields the project’s NPV at 6%:

\[
NPV = \left(\text{Present Value of All Revenues}\right) - \left(\text{Present Value of All Costs}\right)
\]

\[
NPV = 5,888.07 - 4,500 = 1,388.07
\]

The estimated NPV is $1,388.07. Since this is positive, the $4,500 wildlife food plot investment is financially attractive using 6% interest.

**Problem 4.1**

In Example 4.1, would the wildlife food plots still be financially attractive at 6% if the hunting club wanted you to spend $4,500 today plus $1,000 in year 5? It isn’t necessary to recalculate NPV to answer Problem 4.1. Why?
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

*Equivalent Annual Income*

Equivalent Annual Income (EAI) is another financial criterion that’s frequently used in forest valuation and investment analysis. To calculate EAI for a specific project, first calculate the project’s NPV, then multiply the NPV by the installment payment factor, as shown in Figure 4.2.

**Figure 4.2. Equivalent Annual Income.**

To calculate the Equivalent Annual Income of a project:

1. Calculate the project’s Net Present Value, as shown in Figure 4.1.
2. Multiply the NPV by the term in brackets below:

\[
EAI = \frac{NPV \cdot (1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

This formula is identical to the *Annual Payments to Repay a Loan* formula (formula 9 in Figure 3.1).

**Decision rule:** The project is acceptable if \(EAI \geq 0\). [Note that if NPV is positive, \(EAI\) will be positive.]

The formula for annual installment payments (Formula 9 in Figure 3.1) calculates payment amounts that are *equivalent* to a specific amount borrowed, so we can apply the same formula to calculate the annual amount that would be equivalent to a specific NPV.

Since compound interest formulas are used to calculate sums of money that are “equivalent” over time, the name “Equivalent Annual Income” is very appropriate for the criterion. Other names for EAI are *Annual Equivalent, Equal Annual Equivalent, Annual Income Equivalent, Equal Annual Income*, and *Net Annual Equivalent*.

The EAI criterion is often used to compare or rank investments that are not equal in duration (see page 4.28 in Section 4.3. Which criterion is best?). In many cases, EAI is provided as information in addition to NPV. The concept of an annual income is perhaps more readily understood than the more abstract concept of NPV. Many landowners, for example, can compare the EAI of forestry investments to annual income from other land uses such as pasture rent or agricultural crops.

The EAI calculation in Figure 4.2 assumes we’ve calculated NPV for a project whose investment life is a finite period of “n” years. The Land Expectation Value (LEV) criterion is a special type of NPV (discussion begins on page 4.18). LEV is calculated assuming an infinite time period and the annual amount that’s equivalent to an LEV is therefore a perpetual annual series. Converting a Land Expectation Value to an EAI is shown on page 4.22.
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

جبين لإجمالي الدخل

Note the three steps followed

Example 4.2

EAI for a forestry investment …

In Section 1 (page 1.5) we presented an example where the time value of money is sometimes ignored in forestry – timber costs and revenues that are expected during a rotation are sometimes added together and the net amount is divided by the stand’s rotation length. This “annual value” is sometimes presented as the forest landowner’s earnings on a “per acre per year” basis. In the example below, we calculate an “annual income” that ignores the time value of money and we present an “Equivalent Annual Income” for comparison.

What’s the income on a “per acre per year” basis if you completely ignore the time value of money? If we add the revenues, subtract the costs and divide by 30 we obtain $108.33 per acre per year:

\[
\frac{500 + 2900 - 150}{30} = 108.33/\text{acre/year}
\]

When we calculate an EAI, of course, we don’t ignore the time value of money, we explicitly account for it. Following the two steps shown in Figure 4.2 using 7%, for example, yields:

(1) Calculate NPV …

\[
\text{NPV} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Present Value of All Revenues} \\ \text{Present Value of All Costs} \end{array} \right]
\]

\[
= \left[ \begin{array}{c} 500 \\ 1.07^{16} + 2900 \\ 1.07^{30} \\ -150 \end{array} \right] = 400.33/\text{acre}
\]

(2) Calculate EAI (by multiplying NPV by the factor in Figure 4.2) …

\[
\text{EAI} = \text{NPV} \left[ \frac{i (1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right]
\]

\[
= 400.33 \left[ \frac{.07 (1.07)^{30}}{1.07^{30} - 1} \right] = 32.26/\text{acre/year}
\]

If we incur the costs and obtain the revenues on the time-line above, during the 30-year rotation our forestry investment will produce returns that are equivalent to an annual income of $32.26/acre.
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

✧ Equivalent Annual Income

Problem 4.2

Calculate the NPV and the EAI for the following timberland investment. Assume that you can earn 8.5% interest on investments of comparable duration, risk, and liquidity:

- Purchase 100 acres of timberland (today) for $85,000.
- Spend $5,000 in year three for crop tree release.
- Spend $1,000 per year for property taxes and management fees.
- Sell the timber and land in year 12 for $225,000.

Start by placing the projected costs and revenues on a 12-year time-line...

Calculate the total present value of the costs and the total present value of the revenues. Use these to calculate NPV and EAI … (NPV = -$11,726.35; EAI = -$1,596.58)

Based on the results you’ve calculated, is the potential investment financially attractive at 8.5%?
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

✝Equivalent Annual Income

Problem 4.3

EAI as an estimate of forest land rent.

Based on expected costs, yields, and prices, you estimate an EAI of $42/acre/year for regenerating your recently harvested land to pines. A timber company has offered to lease the land from you for $50/acre/year. Do you think EAI is a valid criterion for establishing forest land rent? How can the timber company afford to pay $50/acre/year if you’ve calculated an EAI of $42/acre/year?

Problem 4.4

What’s the EAI for the wildlife food plot investment in Example 4.1 (page 4.3)? The investment period was 10 years, the NPV was $1,388.07, and the discount rate was 6%. (Answer = $188.59)
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Benefit/Cost Ratio**

The present value calculations for a project’s B/C ratio are exactly the same as for the NPV criterion; we just divide instead of subtract the Present Value totals.

The B/C ratio is sometimes referred to as the “profitability index” of a project.

The Benefit/Cost (B/C) ratio for a project is obtained by dividing the total Present Value of revenues by the total Present Value of costs (Figure 4.3). The B/C ratio indicates a project’s returns per dollar of investment.

**Figure 4.3. Benefit/Cost Ratio.**

To calculate the Benefit/Cost ratio for a project:

- Discount all of the project’s revenues to the present, ▶ Present Value of All Revenues = B
- Discount all of the project’s costs to the present, ▶ Present Value of All Costs = C
- Divide the total present value of the revenues by the total present value of the costs.

**Decision rule:** The project is acceptable if B/C ≥ 1.

For a project to be acceptable, its B/C ratio should be greater than or equal to one. B/C ≥ 1 indicates, of course, that the project’s present value of benefits (B) is greater than or equal to the total present value of the project’s costs (C). B/C ratios are often used by U.S. government agencies, but the criterion is not as commonly used as NPV, EAI and “rate of return” in evaluating forestry investments in the private sector.

---

**Example 4.3**

Access improvements and recreational facilities add significantly to the value of forest properties. But do the benefits outweigh the costs in present value terms?

Building better access to your forest property will increase annual income from recreational use of the property by an estimated $3,000 per year in perpetuity. What’s the B/C ratio for this “project” if the construction costs are $37,000 and your cost of capital is 10%?

The cash-flow diagram has one cost and an infinite series of projected annual revenues:

\[
-37,000 + 3K + 3K + 3K + ... \]

The $3,000 revenues are a **perpetual annual series**, and to calculate Present Value Figure 3.1 leads us to apply Formula 5:

\[
V_0 = \frac{3,000}{.10} = 30,000
\]

The cost of the construction is a single sum that occurs in year 0. Since it’s already in year 0, the $37,000 cost requires no discounting:

\[
V_0 = 37,000
\]

Dividing the present value of the revenues by the present value of the costs yields the project’s B/C at 10%:

\[
B/C = \frac{30,000}{37,000} = 0.81
\]

The estimated B/C is 0.81, and since this is less than 1, the $37,000 investment is not financially attractive at 10%.
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

❖ Benefit/Cost Ratio

Problem 4.5

Calculate a B/C ratio for Problem 4.2. [Note that if you worked Problem 4.2, you’ve already calculated the present values necessary to calculate the investment’s B/C ratio.]

Problem 4.6

If you’re evaluating whether a specific investment is acceptable, will NPV, EAI, and B/C ever “disagree?” Can NPV and EAI be positive (acceptable) for a specific project, for example, while the project’s B/C ratio is less than one (unacceptable)?
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

Rate of Return

The Rate of Return (ROR) on an investment is the rate of compound interest that’s “earned” by the funds invested. ROR is the average rate of capital appreciation during the life of an investment.

The decision tree diagram (Figure 3.1 on the last page of the workbook) has three choices among groups of compound interest formulas:

- Present Value or Future Value formulas,
- Payment formulas, and
- Formulas to calculate “i” or “n.”

When we calculate “i” for a project, we’re calculating the investment’s ROR.

Notice in the decision tree diagram that you have two choices when calculating “i” … “i” associated with two values, or “i” associated with more than two values.

For projects that have one cost and one revenue (a total of two values), ROR can be calculated directly. Formula 11 in the decision tree diagram was developed in Section 2, where it was used to solve for “i” in examples with an initial cost and a single future revenue. Formula 11 for calculating “i” is a “special case” formula for ROR because it applies only to projects that have one single-sum cost and one single-sum revenue.

In all other cases, as shown in the decision tree diagram, an “iterative” process is necessary to estimate the investment’s ROR; there is no formula to calculate “i” directly. ROR is estimated by finding the compound interest rate that equates the total present value of costs with the total present value of revenues (Figure 4.4).
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

Rate of Return

For a specific project with more than two values on the cash-flow diagram, ROR can be estimated using a systematic process to find the “i” that makes the present value of the revenues and the present value of the costs equal.

ROR is sometimes called the “Internal Rate of Return” (IRR) and the “Return on Investment” (ROI). ROR is a popular financial criterion with forest industry and with private non-industrial landowners. See the cautions on page 4.14, however, and the discussion in Section 4.3. Which criterion is best? (beginning on page 4.23).

The ROR criterion is very often used in project analysis. In fact, surveys of U.S. corporations have consistently shown that ROR is the preferred choice of corporate managers for accept/reject investment decisions.* In calculating and using ROR, however, caution is necessary in some cases (see the text box on page 4.14).

---


4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

\*Rate of Return* (continued)

Example 4.4

The timber on your property was valued at $100,000 when you inherited it 12 years ago. Seven years ago, you invested $5,000 in cull tree removal and other timber stand improvements. Two years ago, you received $50,000 for a partial harvest of the timber, and today you received a bid price of $140,000 for the timber. If this bid represents true market value, what was your ROR, i.e., your average rate of capital appreciation, on the timber during the 12-year period?

The cash-flow diagram shows two costs and two revenues over the 12-year period:

```
                              Partial Harvest $50,000
                                             Property Value $140,000
                                         - $100,000   - $5,000
                                           Initial Value   TSI
                                           0              5
                                           2              12
```

Since this investment involves more than two values, Figure 3.1 leads us to estimate ROR using an iterative process.

1) As shown in Figure 4.4, the first step in estimating ROR is to calculate the present value of revenues \([R]\) and the present value of costs \([C]\) using an assumed interest rate. Let’s start by using 10%:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The costs and revenues on the time-line are single sums, and Figure 3.1 leads us to apply Formula 2 to calculate their total present value.} \\
\text{The results using 10% are...}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
[R] &= 63,885.48 \\
[C] &= 103,104.61
\end{align*}
\]

2) The second step in Figure 4.4 is to compare \([R]\) and \([C]\) and, if necessary, change the interest rate and recalculate. If \([C] > [R]\) (as in our example), Figure 4.4 shows that we should decrease the interest rate and recalculate the present value totals. [Since the present value of costs is greater than the present value of revenues, the investment did not earn 10%. The ROR is less than 10%].

Recalculating the Present Value of revenues and the Present Value of costs using 5% yields ...

\[
\begin{align*}
[R] &= 108,652.90 \\
[C] &= 103,917.63
\end{align*}
\]

Using 5%, \([R] > [C]\), so we know the investment’s ROR is greater than 5%. Figure 4.4 tells us to increase the interest rate and recalculate.

Recalculating the Present Value of revenues and the Present Value of costs using 6% yields ...

\[
\begin{align*}
[R] &= 97,495.45 \\
[C] &= 103,736.29
\end{align*}
\]

At this point, we know the investment’s ROR is between 5% and 6%. We can stop at this point, or we can continue with further “iterations” – our ROR estimate can be made as accurate as necessary. In this example, the average rate of capital appreciation \(= 5.42\%).
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

Rate of Return (continued)

Problem 4.7

ROR with annual revenues included ...
Since we’re adding revenues in this Problem, before we begin we know the ROR is greater than the 5.42% estimate obtained in Example 4.4.

Example 4.5

Your client purchased a forested property seven years ago for $75,000. She recently sold the property for $120,000. What ROR did your client earn on the $75,000 investment?

The cash-flow diagram has one cost and one revenue:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\$120,000} \\
\text{\$75,000}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{\$120,000} - \text{\$75,000} = \text{\$45,000}
\]

To calculate “i” for an investment with one cost and one revenue, Figure 3.1 leads us to select Formula 11:

\[
i = \left( \frac{V_n}{V_0} \right)^{1/n} - 1
\]

\[
i = \left( \frac{\$120,000}{\$75,000} \right)^{1/7} - 1 = .00694 = 6.9\%
\]

Your client earned a 6.9% compound annual rate of return on the $75,000 investment for seven years.
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

Rate of Return (continued)

Some cautions in calculating and using ROR as an investment criterion:

- For the ROR criterion to be meaningful, there must be an initial cost, or the costs toward the beginning of the investment must be substantial enough that an average rate of capital appreciation is meaningful. If costs are insignificant, the ROR may be extremely high.

- If an analysis has revenues but costs are excluded entirely, the average rate of capital appreciation is infinite; for this reason, computer programs will “bomb” if you try to calculate an ROR without costs for the investment.

Two common mistakes to avoid –

✓ Foresters sometimes assume (in error) that if timber is sold and some of the funds are used to pay for reforestation, no cost is involved for new stand establishment. [See Garfitt (1986)*, for example.] This mistake will lead to infinitely high rates of return for the reforestation investment.

✓ If you’re calculating an ROR for an asset that was inherited or received as a gift, you’ll obtain infinitely high ROR estimates if you assume the initial cost is zero. In reality, such assets have a market value when they’re acquired, and this value is what the current owner has “invested.”

- ROR does not indicate the scale of an investment. Are you earning a 10% ROR on $1 or $1 million?

- In some cases there may be multiple RORs – more than one interest rate at which the Present Value of revenues and the Present Value of costs are equal.

- ROR can be very useful in accept/reject investment decisions; it’s a very widely used criterion for this purpose. ROR is not recommended for ranking investments, however. This is discussed in Section 4.3 Which criterion is best? [See the text box on page 4.28.]

---

4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

*Rate of Return* (continued)

The “Rule of 72” – a brief digression ...

The “Rule of 72” is a “rule of thumb” that’s often used in investment analysis. The “Rule of 72” can be used to estimate the compound rate of interest (or ROR) necessary for a single sum to double in value during a specific time period. The “Rule” can also be used to estimate the number of years necessary for a single sum to double, given a specific ROR. In either case, the estimate is found by using the number 72 in a simple calculation.

The “Rule of 72” can be stated and applied in two ways:

1) If you’d like a single sum to double in value in “n” years, the “Rule of 72” can be used to estimate the rate of return necessary. In this case, the “Rule” is to divide the number 72 by “n.”

\[
\frac{72}{n} = \text{ROR} \quad \text{... an estimate of the interest rate necessary for a single sum to double in value in “n” years}
\]

Example: If you’d like your timber investment to double in value over the next six years, what rate of compound annual growth in value will be necessary?

\[
\frac{72}{6} = 12\%
\]

How accurate is this estimate of 12%? We can calculate the “n” necessary for a single sum to double at 12% using Formula 12 in Figure 3.1:

\[
n = \frac{\ln (2)}{\ln (1.12)} = 6.12 \text{ years} \quad \text{... At 12\% it would actually take 6.12 years for a single sum to double. Since the stated goal was 6 years, 12\% is a good estimate of the necessary ROR.}
\]

2) If you expect a single sum to compound in value at a specific rate of interest, the “Rule of 72” can be used to estimate the number of years necessary for the sum to double in value. In this case, the “Rule” is to divide the number 72 by the rate of growth – the ROR.

\[
\frac{72}{\text{ROR}} = n \quad \text{... an estimate of the number of years necessary for a single sum to double in value at an interest rate = ROR}
\]

Example: How long will it take your money to double in a forestry investment that’s expected to earn a 14% compound annual rate of return?

\[
\frac{72}{14} = 5.14 \text{ years} \quad \text{[Note that 72 is divided by 14, not the decimal percent .14.]} \]

How accurate is this estimate of 5.14 years? We can calculate the “n” necessary for a single sum to double at 14% using Formula 12 in Figure 3.1:

\[
n = \frac{\ln (2)}{\ln (1.14)} = 5.29 \text{ years} \quad \text{... At 14\% it would actually take 5.29 years for a single sum to double – compared to the estimate of 5.14 years.}
\]

Two references ...


4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Composite Rate of Return**

The Composite Rate of Return is not universally accepted as a valid investment criterion.

The “composite” or “realizable” rate of return has been proposed as an improvement over the “internal” rate of return. The composite rate is calculated by:

1) compounding all intermediate cash flows to the end of the investment period (using an interest rate that reflects the actual or “realizable” potential for reinvestment); and

2) determining the interest rate that will equate the initial costs with the compounded value of the project’s cash flows.

This criterion is mentioned here because it’s presented by some forestry investment analysis computer programs. It’s not universally accepted as a useful and valid criterion for investment analysis, however. *

---


4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Payback Period**

Payback Period ignores the time value of money. Although the criterion has serious deficiencies, it does provide information on how long funds will be “tied up” in a project. Also, since cash flows expected in the distant future are generally regarded as being riskier than near-term cash flows, Payback Period is often used as a rough measure of a project’s riskiness (Brigham and Gapenski 1993).

The period of time it takes for an investment to “pay back” its initial costs is also a very commonly used criterion in project analysis. Payback Period is simply the length of time it takes to recover the cost of a project, *without accounting for the time value of money*.

For example, if you spend $20,000 for a new piece of equipment that saves you $5,000 per year in labor costs, the Payback Period is four years:

$20,000 initial cost
- $5,000 first year savings
- $5,000 second year savings
- $5,000 third year savings
- $5,000 fourth year savings

0 … The $20,000 is “paid back” completely in four years.

Payback Period doesn’t consider the time value of money, it ignores the timing of cash flows, and it ignores cash flows that occur beyond the Payback Period. By itself, the criterion isn’t recommended for accept/reject decisions or for ranking investments. It’s included here, however, because Payback Period is sometimes used as an indicator of project liquidity and as a rough indicator of risk.

If projects are being evaluated using NPV or another financial criterion that does use compound interest to account for the time value of money, and the NPVs are essentially equal, the project with the shortest Payback Period is generally preferred since a shorter time period may indicate greater liquidity and/or less risk.

A revised version of Payback Period is *discounted* Payback Period – similar to undiscounted Payback Period except the expected cash flows are discounted by the project’s cost of capital (Brigham and Gapenski 1993). The discounted Payback Period still has a serious deficiency, however, since costs and revenues after the Payback Period are ignored.

Because Payback Period ignores the time value of money and also ignores potentially significant costs and revenues after the Payback Period, we do not include the criterion in **Section 4.3. Which criterion is best?**
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Land Expectation Value**

If you estimate the Net Present Value of all cash flows expected from growing timber on a specific tract of land, the expected value of the land has been estimated, hence the name “Land Expectation Value.”

Land Expectation Value (LEV) is an estimate of the value of a tract of land for growing timber. It is the Net Present Value of all revenues and costs associated with growing timber on the land (not just those associated with one rotation or other time period). LEV is thus a special case of NPV – it’s NPV where all present and future revenues and costs expected from a tract of land are considered. LEV can be interpreted as the maximum price you can pay for a tract of land for growing timber, if you expect to earn a rate of return greater than or equal to the discount rate used to calculate LEV.

As shown in Figure 4.5, LEV for timber production is calculated assuming the land will be used to produce a perpetual series of even-aged or uneven aged stands; each stand in the perpetual series is assumed to have the same revenues and costs that are projected for the first rotation or the first cutting cycle.

**Figure 4.5. Land Expectation Value**

To calculate LEV:

1) Determine all of the costs and revenues associated with the first rotation of timber (the first cutting cycle if uneven-aged management is used). These values should include initial costs of planting, site preparation, etc., as well as all subsequent costs and revenues. Land cost should not be included, however. In calculating LEV you’re estimating the value of land for growing timber.

2) Place the first rotation’s (or cutting cycle’s) costs and revenues on a time-line and, using the necessary compound interest formulas, compound all of them to the end of the rotation (or cutting cycle). Subtract the costs from the revenues to obtain a net value at the end of the first period.

3) Assuming a perpetual series of identical n-year rotations (or cutting cycles), the “net value” for the land’s first period can be expected every n years forever…

We therefore calculate “Land Expectation Value” by using the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series formula (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1):

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\text{Net Value in Year } n}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

LEV is usually calculated on a per acre basis. It must be calculated for a specific site, for a given species, and assuming a specific management regime and rotation age (even-aged management) or cutting cycle (uneven-aged management).
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Land Expectation Value** (continued)

LEV is used to estimate the value of forest land. It’s also used to select the best management regime for a given species on a specific site. Further information on the use of LEV is in Section 4.3. Which criterion is best? and in Section 7. Forest valuation.

Real estate appraisers use three approaches to estimate property values: comparable sales, cost-less-depreciation, and income capitalization (Smith and Corgel 1992). As discussed in Section 7. Forest Valuation, LEV is an example of the income capitalization approach to land appraisal.

LEV is the theoretically correct criterion for determining the optimal management regime and rotation age – including the best rotation age or cutting cycle – for a given species on a specific site. The optimal management strategy is the combination that yields the highest value for LEV. There is an application of optimal rotation age determination in Section 4.4.

As shown on the previous page, in calculating LEV we assume that the first period’s costs and revenues will be repeated forever. They’re assumed to be a perpetual periodic series that we discount to the present:

\[
\text{Net Value} = \frac{\text{Compounded Costs and Revenues}}{(1 + r)^n}
\]

where \( r \) is the interest rate. The timing, intensity, and number of thinnings, the rotation age, and the silvicultural practices used determine the projected timber yields and costs in calculating LEV. The management regime and rotation age that results in the highest value for LEV is the “best” from a financial standpoint.

Compound interest formulas are used to calculate LEV; it’s a special type of Net Present Value. The interest rate used will therefore affect LEV. Using a higher rate of interest will result in a lower estimate of LEV – the future net values expected from a tract of land have a lower present value if we use a higher interest rate.
### 4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

**Land Expectation Value (continued)**

### Example 4.7

You are considering buying a tract of recently harvested timberland that’s suitable for pine plantation management. If you expect the following first-rotation values, what is the maximum price you’d bid for the land? You expect your land and timber investments to earn a compound annual rate of return of 9%.

Land Expectation Value is sometimes referred to as “maximum bid price” for land that’s used for timber production. For this specific tract, you expect the land to produce the following timber-related revenues:

- Thinning income at age 15 = $550/acre
- Thinning income at age 25 = $1,500/acre
- Final harvest income at age 35 = $3,350

You project the following costs of production:

- Stand establishment = $95/acre in year 0
- Property taxes and management expenses = $4.00/acre/year

Costs compounded to year 35:

\[
$95(1.09)^{35} + $4 = $2,802.17/acre
\]

Revenues compounded to year 35:

\[
$550(1.09)^{20} + $1,500(1.09)^{10} + $3,350 = $9,983.47/acre
\]

Net value of the first rotation in year 35:

\[
$9,983.47 - $2,802.17 = $7,181.30/acre
\]

Assuming the first rotation can be repeated (with identical costs and revenues) every 35 years forever, the $7,181.30/acre net value is a perpetual periodic series. We can expect the land to produce this amount every 35 years in perpetuity. The last step in calculating LEV is to use the **Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series** formula (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1) to calculate Present Value:

\[
LEV = \frac{7,181.30}{(1.09)^{35} - 1} = $369.90/acre
\]

If you purchase the timberland for $369.90/acre and experience the costs and revenues projected, your timberland investment will earn a 9% rate of return.

In calculating LEV one must be sure to correctly apply compound interest formulas to all of the projected costs and revenues. In this Example, why was the $550 thinning income compounded for 20 years and the $1,500 income for 10 years?
4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

✿ Land Expectation Value (continued)

Problem 4.8

LEV assuming a real price increase ...

On page 4.18 we noted that real price increases are assumed in many “real world” LEV estimates, and we stated that this can have a dramatic impact on analysis results. What’s the LEV in Example 4.7 if you assume a 2% per year compound rate of increase in stumpage prices? (Answer = $714.53 ... nearly double the value without a real price increase.)

Note that projected costs aren’t changed, but you’ll need to calculate new projected revenues for the 35-year time-line.

- $95
- Annual costs of $4/acre beginning in year 1 -
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4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

❖ Land Expectation Value (continued)

To convert an LEV estimate to an equivalent annual income (EAI), simply multiply it by the interest rate:

\[ \text{EAI} = \text{LEV} \times i \]

How would you calculate an annual income that’s equivalent to an LEV? That is how would you calculate an EAI based on an LEV?

When we defined EAI (page 4.4), we noted that the formula in Figure 4.2 applies to the NPV for a project of “n” years, a finite time period:

\[ \text{EAI} = \text{NPV} \left[ \frac{i (1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right] \]

As calculated above, EAI is simply a finite-period NPV expressed as an annual equivalent. LEV is an NPV that has an infinite time horizon, however, so it wouldn’t be appropriate to convert LEV to an annual equivalent simply by replacing NPV with LEV in the formula above. What we can do, however, is allow “n” in the EAI formula above to approach infinity, and then we can multiply the result by LEV (to convert LEV to EAI).

Taking the mathematical limit of the EAI formula above as “n” approaches infinity cancels all of the terms inside the brackets except “i” in the numerator. The formula to convert an LEV to an EAI is therefore very simple:

\[ \text{EAI} = \text{LEV} \times i \]

This formula for EAI also “makes sense” from the standpoint of calculating LEV. For example, if a specific agricultural crop is expected to yield a net income of $25/acre/year in perpetuity, what is the LEV for agricultural use of the land?

\[ \text{LEV} = \text{Net Present Value of all future revenues and costs} = \text{Net Present Value of a perpetual annual series} \]

(Formula 5 in Figure 3.1)

\[ \text{LEV} = \frac{\$25.00}{.06} = \$416.67/acre \]

If you used 6% interest and obtained an LEV estimate of $416.67/acre for any land use (forests, agriculture, etc.), its equivalent annual income would be:

\[ \text{EAI} = \$416.67 \times .06 = \$25.00/acre/year \]
4.3 Which criterion is best?

The “best” financial criterion depends on the purpose of the analysis. In most cases, NPV and two specialized types of NPV (EAI and LEV) are preferred.

In Section 4.2 we described and applied several financial criteria. For a specific analysis, which criterion is best?

NPV  EAI  LEV  B/C  ROR

Which criterion is best?

The answer is it depends on the type of analysis you’re doing. There are three common types of financial analysis in forestry where these criteria are used, so Section 4.3 has three topics.

Section 4.3 includes three topics, corresponding to three distinct types of financial analysis in forestry …

- **Accept/Reject investment decisions** [page 4.24]
  - If the purpose of your analysis is to evaluate whether a specific investment is financially acceptable, you can “take your pick” among the compound interest-based financial criteria. They won’t disagree, so your choice can be based on ease of calculation, how easily understood the criterion is by decision makers, etc. [See page 4.26.]

  **Examples of accept/reject analyses:**
  - Is fertilization worthwhile?
  - Is crop tree release a good investment?

  In many cases, this type of evaluation is done, and then the acceptable investments are ranked.

- **Ranking acceptable investments** [page 4.28]
  - If the purpose of your analysis is to choose between investments, all of which are financially acceptable, NPV is recommended. For some applications of investment ranking, specialized types of NPV (EAI and LEV) are recommended. [See page 4.28.]

  **Examples of ranking investments:**
  - Choosing between mutually exclusive investments
    - of different duration (e.g., optimal rotation age)
    - of different scale (e.g., comparing land use alternatives)
  - Ranking independent investments (budgeting limited capital)

- **Valuation of forest-based assets** [page 4.39]
  - If your objective is to estimate a monetary value for forest land, use LEV. Timber valuation is done separately. [Discussion begins on page 4.39.]

“Financial maturity” analysis isn’t listed as a separate type of analysis because choosing a specific stand’s age of final harvest is a form of investment ranking. These concepts are discussed in Section 9. Review for the Registered Forester exam (page 9.6).

* “Payback Period” and “Composite Rate of Return” (CROR) were also defined in Section 4.2. They aren’t included in Section 4.3, however. Payback Period doesn’t consider the time value of money and CROR isn’t fully accepted as a valid criterion for investment analysis.
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

**Accept/reject investment decisions**

For accept/reject investment decisions, you can “take your pick” among the compound interest-based financial criteria. For a specific investment, they will all indicate that it should be accepted, or they’ll indicate that it should be rejected; they won’t disagree when used for accept/reject decisions.

If you’re trying to decide if a specific investment is financially acceptable, the compound interest-based financial criteria will give you the same answer.

Let’s say, for example, you’re deciding whether to apply herbicides for hardwood control in a six-year-old pine stand. The herbicide application will cost $70/acre, and is expected to result in a final harvest value that’s $1,000/acre higher at stand-age 30. The potential investment’s cash-flow diagram is:

![Cash-flow diagram](image)

If we calculate the Present Value of revenues and the Present Value of costs for this potential investment, we obtain different results using different interest rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest Rate</th>
<th>Present Value of Revenues</th>
<th>Present Value of Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$390.12</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$157.70</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>$65.88</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>$28.38</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Putting these values on a graph clearly illustrates why the NPV criterion, the B/C criterion, and the ROR criterion will give you the same answer on this investment’s acceptability (Figure 4.6).

[Since EAI and LEV are forms of NPV with specialized uses, they aren’t included in this part of the accept/reject discussion. EAI and LEV are used in ranking investments, and they are included in the **Ranking acceptable investments** subsection on page 4.28. LEV is also used in forest land valuation, so it’s also discussed in the **Valuation of forest-based assets** subsection on page 4.39.]
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

Accept/reject investment decisions (continued)

ROR, NPV, and B/C will agree on whether this investment is acceptable. Whether you would accept or reject this investment depends on the interest rate you expect investments of this type to earn.

In selecting a criterion for accept/reject investment decisions, consider the advantages and disadvantages summarized on page 4.26.

Which criterion do you use for accept/reject decisions? Your choice can depend on the advantages and disadvantages of each criterion. ROR, for example, is very popular because it’s readily understood. It has the disadvantage, however, of being more difficult to calculate than NPV or B/C (not a disadvantage if you’re using a computer program).

Table 4.1 on the next page lists some advantages and disadvantages of using NPV, B/C, and ROR for accept/reject investment decisions.
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

*Accept/reject investment decisions* (continued)

Table 4.1. Advantages and disadvantages of NPV, B/C, and ROR in accept/reject investment decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Decision Rule</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Advantages and Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPV</strong></td>
<td>… the present value of all revenues <strong>minus</strong> the present value of all costs.</td>
<td>Projects are acceptable whose NPV ≥ 0. [NPV \text{ is defined and discussed on page } 4.2.]</td>
<td><strong>Advantages:</strong> Calculations are straightforward. NPV therefore lends itself well to sensitivity analysis. Many writers have stated that NPV has the advantage of being conservative in its reinvestment assumptions [see the text box on page 4.27.]. <strong>Disadvantages:</strong> Doesn’t indicate the scale of an investment. NPV is also generally more difficult to understand than ROR, for example, because the interpretation is more abstract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B/C</strong></td>
<td>… the present value of all revenues divided by the present value of all costs.</td>
<td>Projects are acceptable whose B/C ≥ 1. [B/C \text{ is defined and discussed on page } 4.8.]</td>
<td><strong>Advantages:</strong> Often used in project analysis by U.S. government agencies. “Benefits” often include social attributes of a project – employment and income distribution effects are examples. <strong>Disadvantages:</strong> Creating a ratio or index is not as straightforward as NPV, nor as readily understood as ROR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROR</strong></td>
<td>… the interest rate that makes the present value of all revenues equal to the present value of all costs.</td>
<td>Projects are acceptable whose ROR ≥ guiding rate of interest. [ROR \text{ is defined and discussed on page } 4.10 – with “cautions” presented on page 4.14.]</td>
<td><strong>Advantages:</strong> ROR is very widely used because it is readily understood; the interest rate earned on an investment is a familiar concept to many foresters and forest landowners. <strong>Disadvantages:</strong> If an investment involves more than two values, ROR requires more calculations than other criteria because it must be estimated through an iterative process. This disadvantage doesn’t apply if you’re using a computer program, of course. Many writers have stated that ROR has the disadvantage of assuming that intermediate funds are reinvested at a rate equal to the ROR [see the text box on page 4.27].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EAI and LEV** [EAI and LEV are defined and discussed on pages 4.4 and 4.18, respectively.] … these criteria are specialized forms of the NPV criterion. They are recommended for specific types of investment ranking and forest valuation, and are therefore discussed in the following two subsections: **Ranking acceptable investments** and **Valuation of forest-based assets.**

**Composite Rate of Return and Payback Period** [Defined and discussed on pages 4.16 and 4.17, respectively.] … these criteria were defined in Section 4.2 because some computer programs for forestry investment analysis report them. They aren’t included in Section 4.3, however, because they aren’t recommended for accept/reject decisions or for investment ranking. Composite Rate of Return isn’t universally accepted as a valid criterion for investment analysis, and Payback Period doesn’t consider the time value of money or cash flows beyond the payback period.
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

**Accept/reject investment decisions** (continued)

NPV, B/C, or ROR should be used to determine which investments are acceptable, and then the acceptable investments should be ranked for selection (page 4.28).

NPV and ROR are perhaps the most widely used financial criteria for accept/reject investment decisions in forestry. As presented on page 4.11, ROR is very widely used in forest industry in the U.S., and is also a very popular investment criterion with consulting foresters and nonindustrial private forest landowners. ROR is not recommended for ranking investments (discussed in the next subsection), but ROR can be very useful in deciding which investments merit further consideration.

Note that none of the investment criteria summarized here indicate the scale of an investment. Even if a potential investment is “acceptable” based on NPV, B/C, and ROR, therefore, other factors are often important in deciding whether to accept a particular investment — including the scale or amount of capital involved, the timing of cash flows, and the sensitivity of NPV, B/C and ROR to critical assumptions in the analysis.

*Do NPV and ROR calculations involve specific assumptions about “reinvestment” of intermediate cash flows? The answer to this question is “no.” The criteria assume that intermediate cash flows are discounted to the present at a specific rate of interest, but reinvestment of intermediate cash flows is not assumed.*

In the forest economics literature, it has often been stated that an inherent assumption in calculating NPV is that intermediate cash flows are assumed to be reinvested at the discount rate used in the analysis, while ROR calculations assume they’re reinvested at a rate equal to the ROR [see Marty (1970), and Mills and Dixon (1982), for example]. Foster and Brooks (1983) disputed this with a simple example of a bank account that earns a 12.5% annual rate of compound interest. Over a five-year period, Foster’s example account involved three deposits and three withdrawals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Withdrawals</th>
<th>Deposits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the account earns 12.5% compound interest, the amount of money in the account after each year is:

- **After year 1**: $100(1.125) + $5 = $117.50
- **After year 2**: $117.50(1.125) = $131
- **After year 3**: $131(1.125) = $147.38
- **After year 4**: $147.38(1.125) = $165.28
- **After year 5**: $165.28(1.125) = $180.43

Notice that this investment account has two “intermediate” cash flows — a withdrawal of $25 after year 2 and another $25 withdrawal after year 4. Also notice, however, that we assumed nothing about these withdrawals’ “reinvestment.” The funds are simply withdrawn from the account, and they may be spent, reinvested, lost, etc., yet this will have no impact on the investment’s ROR. The ROR by definition is 12.5%. At 12.5%, NPV = 0.

What do we assume about intermediate cash flows in calculating NPV and ROR? We assume they are discounted to the present at a specific rate in determining their equivalent present value. In calculating NPV, we assume they’re discounted at the discount rate used in the analysis. In calculating ROR, we assume they’re discounted at a rate equal to the ROR.

4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

The process of evaluating investments typically involves two steps:

1) Find out which investments are “acceptable” and which ones should be rejected. For accept/reject decisions, foresters and forest landowners primarily use NPV and ROR. NPV, B/C, and ROR yield consistent results for accept/reject decisions. Once you know the investments that are financially acceptable, go to step 2.

2) Rank the acceptable investments for selection. In most cases, all acceptable investments can’t be chosen; some investments may be “mutually exclusive,” and, of course there may be limited capital. A means of ranking is therefore needed to choose among “mutually exclusive” alternatives, and/or to budget or allocate limited capital among projects.

The “bottomline” is that NPV is the best financial criterion for ranking projects.* EAI and LEV are specialized forms of NPV that have very important, specific uses in investment ranking. EAI and LEV are used, for example, for ranking land use alternatives, timber rotations, and timber management regimes.

* Why not use ROR for ranking investments?

NPV (including EAI and LEV as forms of NPV) is widely recommended for ranking purposes because choosing investments with the highest NPV ensures that the present value of all future net income is maximized. Samuelson (1976) and Gaffney (1960) have excellent theoretical discussions of this as an appropriate financial goal for forestry investors. Maximum NPV corresponds to maximum wealth. Choosing between mutually exclusive investments based on ROR, however, will not guarantee that wealth is maximized. Natural regeneration of pine stands, for example, often generates a higher rate of return than artificial regeneration. You may, however, earn a high ROR on a relatively small investment, while missing the opportunity to earn more wealth from the land by choosing artificial regeneration.

Using ROR to rank rotation ages and other investment alternatives that are “mutually exclusive” will, in many cases, provide inconsistent results with maximizing NPV [see Gansner and Larsen (1969)]. ROR is not recommended for ranking and choosing the best rotation age for an even-aged stand, for example, because the highest ROR will occur at a stand age that’s shorter than the true optimum age based on maximum LEV. [An example of optimal rotation age determination that demonstrates this bias toward shorter-than-optimal timber rotations is in Section 4.4 Application: Best rotation age.] In an empirical study ranking 231 independent forestry projects, Dixon and Mills (1982) obtained rankings that were “quite similar” using ROR, NPV, and B/C. As discussed by Bullard (1986), however, consistent rankings between these criteria can only be assured given very restrictive assumptions.


Section 4. Financial criteria – page 4.29

4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

*Ranking acceptable investments* (continued)

The process of ranking investments for selection first involves selecting the best investment among “mutually exclusive” alternatives, and where appropriate (if we’re budgeting limited capital, for example), comparing all of the independent projects competing for our capital:

- Start by ranking investments that are “mutually exclusive.”

- If appropriate, after choosing the best investments among “mutually exclusive” alternatives, compare them with other, independent investments. That is, rank all of the independent investments so that capital can be allocated to the most efficient uses.

Investments are “mutually exclusive” if selecting investment A precludes you from choosing B, C, etc. Mutually exclusive investments are very common in forestry. Some examples are:

- Should you use artificial or natural regeneration techniques? (Choosing artificial regeneration for a specific area, for example, precludes the choice of natural regeneration.)
- Which site preparation technique is best? (What’s the best one among several alternatives?)
- What’s the best management regime and rotation age for your site and species? (When you select the “best” based on NPV or another criterion, you preclude all other choices for a specific stand.)
- Is timber production the “highest and best” use for your land, or will agriculture or other uses yield higher returns?

The need to rank mutually exclusive investments is very common in forestry. The three cases that follow represent frequently-encountered examples:

**Case I.** Ranking land use alternatives,
**Case II.** Ranking forest management methods and regimes, and
**Case III.** Ranking investments that have a finite time horizon.

The criterion recommended for ranking (and thus choosing the best alternative) in each of the following cases is the NPV of all present and future costs and revenues. In some analyses, this NPV is the LEV criterion. The NPV of all future costs and revenues can also be expressed as an annual equivalent (EAI) for ranking purposes.
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

Ranking acceptable investments (continued)

Case I. Ranking land use alternatives...

To compare land use alternatives, calculate the NPV of all future net income for each alternative. LEV is an example of this type of NPV. LEV assumes that the annual and/or periodic costs and revenues projected for a certain period of time are repeated (identically) in perpetuity. If you select the land use with the highest LEV, you’re using the land for its “highest and best” use from a financial standpoint. You can convert the LEV estimates for different land uses to EAs for comparison; since EAI is LEV expressed as an annual equivalent, the ranking will be the same.

EAI for the agricultural land use is $20/acre.
For the cottonwood land use the EAI = LEV(0.06) = $626(0.06) = $37.56.

Notice that LEV and EAI are consistent in ranking the land uses; both criteria indicate that cottonwood production is a better land use alternative than an agricultural use that produces $20/acre/year.

Bottomline: Use the NPV of all present and future costs and revenues. In some cases, this NPV is the LEV criterion. NPV (LEV in some cases) can be expressed as an EAI for ranking purposes. In using LEV or EAI we’re assuming that the alternative investments (in this case land uses) can be continued in perpetuity. This equalizes the duration of the investment alternatives.

As an example, let’s say you’re trying to decide whether to convert a specific tract of agricultural land to timber production. The choice is between agricultural uses whose historic net income has averaged $20/acre/year, and cottonwood plantations with income expected every seven years. Your cost of capital is 6%.

LEV for agricultural use:

For any land use, LEV is the total present value of the annual or periodic net income. In this case, in agricultural use the land is expected to produce $20/acre/year net income in perpetuity:

\[
\text{LEV for agricultural use:} \quad \text{LEV} = \frac{20}{0.06} = 333.33/acre
\]

To obtain the present value of all future net income, we obtain the Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series. Figure 3.1 on the last page of the workbook leads us to apply Formula 5:

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{20}{0.06} = 333.33/acre
\]

LEV for cottonwood production:

With cottonwood you expect to produce four cords of wood per acre per year, with final harvest of 28 cords per acre at age seven. Establishment costs are $200/acre, and you expect to receive $616/acre at final harvest (28 cords at $22/cord). The first rotation’s costs and revenues are assumed to be repeated in perpetuity:

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{616}{0.06} \Rightarrow 626/acre
\]

As shown in Figure 4.5 on page 4.17,

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\text{All revenues and costs compounded to year 7}}{(1 + i)^7 - 1} = \frac{616 - 200(1.06)^7}{(1 + 0.06)^7 - 1} = \text{626/acre}
\]
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

- **Ranking acceptable investments** (continued)

  Based on the information given, cottonwood timber production “outranks” the tract’s agricultural use that generates $20/acre/year. Choosing the best land use alternative for this tract, of course, may involve considering other information – including taxes, sensitivity to assumptions, risk, capital requirements, and other options for how the land is used.

  **Case II. Forest management methods and regimes…**

  *Choosing the best even-aged forest management scenario, the best uneven-aged forest management regime, etc., is a choice between mutually exclusive land use alternatives. From a financial standpoint, the best one has the highest LEV; which can also be expressed as an annual equivalent (EAI). These criteria assume an infinite time-line of projected costs and revenues, and therefore place investments on equal ground in terms of duration.*

  Deciding which set of cultural practices is best, and which management combination of thinning regime, rotation length, etc., is best from a financial standpoint is the same as ranking and choosing the best land use alternative. The bottomline is therefore the same one stated in Case I for ranking land use alternatives (page 4.30).

  **Bottomline:** Use the NPV of all present and future costs and revenues. In some cases this NPV is the LEV criterion. NPV (LEV in some cases) can be expressed as an EAI for ranking purposes.

  Some specific examples of forest management choices that are mutually exclusive:

  - **Should you use chemical site preparation or mechanical site preparation**? These practices have different initial costs and projected timber yields; they may therefore have different optimal rotation ages. Also, the net impact of site preparation practices will depend on site quality, species, planting density, etc. To evaluate the practices, determine the management regime and rotation length that has the highest LEV for each chemical site preparation practice and for each mechanical site preparation practice. The analysis would need to be specific in terms of site quality and species.

  - **Should you recommend even-aged management or uneven-aged management**? For a given site and species, determine the highest LEV for even-aged management scenarios and compare this to the highest LEV for uneven-aged management.
4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

Ranking acceptable investments (continued)

- Is pulpwood production the “highest and best use” for timberland, or is sawtimber production a better forest management objective? Whether you’re examining this question for hardwood production or softwood production, for low quality sites or high quality sites, the comparison of final product objectives should be based on obtaining the highest LEV. The alternative rotations and management scenarios can also be ranked by EAI, since EAI is NPV expressed as an annual equivalent (and since LEV is NPV for an infinite time horizon). EAI will yield the same investment ranking as LEV.

- If you have an existing stand, how do you compare forest management alternatives? That is, you have several management options for the existing stand, but which criterion do you use to determine the one that ranks most highly? By now, of course, you’ve seen that every recommendation (in the above examples) has been to use the NPV of all future costs and revenues.

How do you calculate NPV for all future costs and revenues when you have an existing stand? On the cash-flow diagram for each management alternative, you include all costs and revenues from the current stand, and all costs and revenues from the land after the current stand is removed. Income after the existing stand is harvested could be obtained from selling the land, for example, or from developing the land for non-timber uses. If you plan to maintain the land in timber production, you should include the costs and revenues projected for subsequent stands of timber.

If you ignore costs and revenues after the existing stand, you’ll bias your decision toward holding the existing stand too long because you’ll ignore the “opportunity costs” of delaying subsequent land uses.

As an example, assume you have an existing stand for which you want to rank the following three options using 7% interest. For each option notice that we calculate the NPV of all future income from the land. We’re evaluating mutually exclusive land use alternatives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1:</th>
<th>Plan to harvest the stand in 5 years for $3,900/acre. Plan to sell the land for $600/acre after final harvest.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3,900/ac + $600/ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV₁</td>
<td>$4,500 / 1.07^5 = $3,208.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pulpwood vs. sawtimber... in evaluating rotation length choices, if you use the NPV of one rotation (rather than using LEV, which is NPV assuming an infinite series of rotations), you’ll be ignoring the costs and revenues that come after the first rotation. Your analysis won’t recognize the “opportunity costs” of delaying subsequent income, and your analysis will therefore be biased toward longer rotations. [An example of optimal rotation determination that demonstrates this potential bias is in Section 4.4 Application: Best rotation age; the “opportunity cost” concept is in Section 5.5.]
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Ranking acceptable investments (continued)

The second option is to harvest the stand now, and replace it with an infinite series of 20-year timber rotations, and the third option is to allow the stand to grow five more years before replacing it with an infinite series of identical rotations.

**Option 2:**
Harvest the stand today for $2,750/acre. Plan to replant the area at a cost of $100/acre. Expect revenues from subsequent timber stands of $1,900/acre every 20 years.

\[
\text{NPV}_2 = 2,750 + 527.25 = 3,277.25/\text{acre}
\]

We can calculate the NPV of all the subsequent, timber-related costs and revenues by using the method shown in Figure 4.5 (page 4.18) to calculate LEV for even-aged timber production:

\[
\text{NPV of the timber-related costs and revenues that follow the existing stand} = \frac{1,900 - 100(1.07)^{20}}{1.07^{20} - 1} = 527.25/\text{acre}
\]

\[
\text{NPV}_2 = 2,750 + 527.25 = 3,277.25/\text{acre}
\]

**Option 3:**
Wait 5 years to harvest the existing stand (as in Option 1), then begin the 20-year rotations assumed for Option 2 …instead of selling the land for $600/acre as in Option 1, your time-line will have the subsequent timber rotations. Note that we determined the discounted value of the subsequent rotations in calculating NPV for the second option ($527.25), so NPV for the third option is $3,900 plus $527.25 discounted for five years:

\[
\text{NPV}_3 = \frac{3,900 + 527.25}{1.07^5} = 3,156.57/\text{acre}
\]

Among these three choices, Option 2 has the highest total NPV.

If you only considered timber income from the existing stand, i.e., if you ignored the costs and revenues projected for the property after the stand is removed, which option would you choose as “best?” [See page 4.34.]

Among the three options above, the best alternative is Option 2, because it has the highest total Net Present Value ($3,277.25). In most “real world” cases, of course, there are many possible options to consider for managing an existing stand. Normally there are also quite a few options for timber management (or other land uses) to consider after an existing stand is removed.
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Ranking acceptable investments (continued)

As stated earlier, ignoring the potential costs and revenues that follow an existing stand will cause you to ignore the “opportunity costs” of delaying future net income. Decisions are biased in favor of holding stands longer because all holding costs aren’t considered. [Again, an example of optimal rotation age determination that demonstrates this potential bias is in Section 4.4 Application: Best rotation age: the “opportunity cost” concept is in Section 5.5.]

In the preceding example, which of the three options would you rank “best” if you didn’t consider the potential income from the property after harvesting the existing stand? Options 1 and 3 both had a projected timber income of $3,900/acre in year five, so their Net Present Values would be the same:

\[
\text{NPV of Options 1 and 3 if subsequent income is ignored} = \frac{3,900}{1.075} = 2,780.65/\text{ac}
\]

Option 2 involved harvesting the stand today (year 0), and the estimated timber income was $2,750/acre. Discounting isn’t required, of course, because the value occurs in year 0:

\[
\text{NPV of Option 2 if subsequent income is ignored} = 2,750.00/\text{ac}
\]

Notice that Options 1 and 3 “outrank” option 2 if we ignore the potential income after harvesting the existing stand. If we use NPV of one stand or one rotation, rather than considering all future costs and revenues, we bias the decision toward delaying the harvest. In the example above, if we chose Option 1 or Option 3 as “best,” we would delay harvesting the existing stand five years beyond the true optimum of harvesting now (Option 2).

An important question for evaluating and ranking existing stand options is “Which projected costs and revenues following the existing stand are relevant?” What if, for example, your land could be sold for $3,000/acre to real estate developers, but you have no intention of selling the land? You may choose, for example, to maintain the land in continuous timber production.

If that’s the case, the relevant costs and revenues that are being delayed by growing the current stand are those projected for subsequent timber stands (the LEV of $527.25 used in Options 2 and 3, for example). The market value of the land is relevant only if you are willing to sell the land. Only those land uses and associated values that you’re actually foregoing by continuing to grow an existing stand are relevant to your analysis.

The preceding example is an application of the “financial maturity” concept. We’re deciding the optimal time to harvest a stand from a financial standpoint (the age of financial maturity) by determining the age that maximizes the NPV of all future costs and revenues. This type of analysis can also be done using the ROR criterion (as shown on page 9.6); although the ROR criterion isn’t recommended for ranking investments, financial maturity analysis can be adjusted to be consistent with maximizing LEV.
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- **Ranking acceptable investments** (continued)

Case III. Ranking investments that have a known, finite time horizon …

Many mutually exclusive investments have a specific, finite time horizon (in contrast to Cases I and II, land use alternatives and timber management investments, where an infinite time horizon is appropriate). Ranking equipment purchase options is one example where each investment option has a specific, finite time horizon – an example that often involves comparing acceptable, mutually exclusive investments of different scale and/or different duration.

Bottomline: Use NPV for ranking purposes. If the investments are different in duration, determine if they can be repeated –

- If “repeatability” can be assumed, you can ...
  ... calculate each investment’s NPV for a period of time that represents a common multiple of the investments’ lives, or ...
  ... calculate each investment’s NPV and convert it to an EAI (this assumes the investments can be repeated in perpetuity).
- If “repeatability” can’t be assumed, in many cases specific assumptions are made about reinvesting the proceeds of the shorter-lived investment(s).

Let’s assume, for example, you’re trying to choose between two types of logging equipment, site preparation equipment, etc. Each of the machine options you’re considering is expected to increase productivity by $2,500 per year above annual costs. They differ, however, in initial cost and in expected useful life:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine “X”</th>
<th>Machine “Y”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost = $12,000</td>
<td>Cost = $16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful life = 8 years</td>
<td>Useful life = 12 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which is the best investment over time? In this case we can assume “repeatability,” i.e., we can assume that each machine can be replaced after its useful life is over. We can therefore calculate the Net Present Value of the equipment options using a common multiple of 24 years.

Option “X” would require that we purchase three machines over the next 24 years, while Option “Y” would require that two machines be purchased. Both time-lines have annual net revenues of $2,500 throughout the 24-year period.
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**Ranking acceptable investments** (continued)

In this example of ranking mutually exclusive investments, notice that the analysis involves:
- Diagramming the cash flow information,
- Calculating the appropriate criterion, and
- Interpreting the results.

The cash-flow diagram for each equipment option assumes the cost is incurred at the beginning of the repeating periods, and the benefits begin at the end of year 1. For each equipment option, we calculate NPV using 6% and 10% rates of interest:

Machine Option “X”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>–$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>–$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>–$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>–$12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net benefits = $2,500 per year

Present value of revenues using 6% interest:

$$\frac{2,500 \times (1.06^{24} - 1)}{0.06(1.06)^{24}} = 31,375.89$$

[For the benefits, Figure 3.1 leads us to apply Formula 4 – Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series.]

Present value of costs using 6% interest:

$$12,000 + \frac{12,000}{1.06^8} + \frac{12,000}{1.06^{16}} = 24,252.70$$

[For the costs, Present Value is the total Present Value of three single sums.]

Using 6% interest, the NPV of machine “X” is:

$$\text{NPV}_{X,6\%} = 31,375.89 - 24,252.70 = 7,123.19$$

Identical calculations using 10% interest yield a lower NPV estimate.

Using 10% interest, the NPV of machine “X” is:

$$\text{NPV}_{X,10\%} = 22,461.86 - 20,209.64 = 2,252.22$$

Net Present Values for machine option “Y” are calculated in a similar fashion on the next page. The cash-flow diagram for “Y,” however, has only two costs, one in year 0 and one in year 12.
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*Ranking acceptable investments* (continued)

By assuming a common multiple of 24 years, the NPVs of the two equipment options are comparable. Another approach to compare mutually exclusive investments with unequal lives is to calculate the NPV for one period, then convert each NPV to an EAI for ranking.

### Machine Option “Y”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Net benefits ($)</th>
<th>Present value of revenues using 6% interest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500 x 1.06^{24} - 1 = $31,375.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0 x 1.06^{12} = $23,951.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Present value of revenues using 6% interest:

\[
NPV_{Y,6\%} = $31,375.89 - $23,951.51 = $7,424.38
\]

Identical calculations using 10% interest yield a lower NPV estimate.

Using 10% interest, the NPV of machine “Y” is:

\[
NPV_{Y,10\%} = $22,461.86 - $21,098.09 = $1,363.77
\]

In this example, the investment rankings depend on the interest rate used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Present Value (over a common-multiple period of 24 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine “X”</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Interest: $7,123.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Interest: $2,252.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine “Y”</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Interest: $7,424.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Interest: $1,363.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using a 6% discount rate, machine “Y” ranks as best. Using a 10% discount rate, machine “X” ranks as best.

Choosing an appropriate discount rate is in *Section 5.6.*

The choice of a discount rate is very important in forestry investment analysis. The rate used not only impacts which investments will be considered acceptable (which ones will have a positive NPV); it can also affect which investment will be considered best among acceptable, mutually exclusive alternatives.
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**Ranking acceptable investments** (continued)

NPV is also the best criterion for ranking independent projects, once the best mutually exclusive alternatives have been identified.

As a final part of this subsection on **Ranking acceptable investments**, which criterion is best for ranking independent projects? The answer, as before, is NPV.

On page 4.29 we stated that the process of ranking investments for selection first involves selecting the best from each set of mutually exclusive investment alternatives. Once the best of each set of these alternatives has been identified, if we have a limited capital budget it’s necessary to rank the potential investments – using NPV as the ranking criterion. This ranking is a list of independent projects because the list should include the best of the mutually exclusive alternatives with other, unrelated potential investments.

Consider, for example, the following list of projects ranked by NPV. The list was adapted from an example presented by Gunter and Haney (1984) of budgeting $1,000,000 in capital among potential forestry projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Proposed Project</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Cumulative Total of Cost</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pine plantation release – high sites</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pine plantation release – medium sites</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$295,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pine regeneration – high sites</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td>$245,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pine plantation release – low sites</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$112,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pine regeneration – medium sites</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$1,150,000</td>
<td>$98,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hardwood TSI – high sites</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$1,275,000</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pine regeneration – low sites</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$1,375,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hardwood TSI – medium sites</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hardwood TSI – low sites</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Capital budgeting “cutoff”**

With $1 million in capital, only projects 1 through 4 are selected for implementation.

Projects 5 – 8 could be funded at a later time if additional capital is available.

**NPV “cutoff”**

Project 9 is rejected because it has a negative NPV.
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**Valuation of forest-based assets**

As we mentioned on page 4.19, three basic methods are commonly used to estimate the value of real property:

- cost-less-depreciation;
- comparable sales; and
- income capitalization.

A brief discussion of these techniques in forest land appraisal is on page 7.6.

LEV is a discounted cash flow criterion, and therefore when we use the LEV criterion to estimate land and/or timber values, we’re using the income capitalization approach to appraisal.

Forests are comprised of two basic assets – land and timber. Valuation of land and timber is done separately for even-aged timber management. With uneven-aged management the forest is a perpetual timber-producing “factory” and land is never bare. In both cases, however, discounted cash flow methods are used to account for the time value of money. [Specific techniques used in forest valuation are developed and applied in Section 7. Forest valuation.]

Which criterion is best for estimating the value of land and timber assets?

- **Land**: LEV is the appropriate criterion for estimating the value of land in even-aged timber production; LEV is used to estimate the value of land and timber in the case of uneven-aged timber production. [See Section 7.1. Valuation of timberland on page 7.3.]

- **Timber**: The monetary value of a single tree or of a stand of trees depends on many factors, but the first “breakdown” or dichotomy is based on how soon harvest of the timber is planned:
  
  - If you’re estimating a value for timber that’s going to be harvested soon (i.e., before the time value of money becomes a factor to consider), the valuation process doesn’t involve discounted cash flow criteria. This is referred to as “liquidation value” in Section 7.2 Valuation of standing timber. The basic valuation process is simple: Liquidation Value = (Price) x (Quantity). As discussed in Section 7.2, however, in practice estimating some of the factors involved in the process can be difficult.

  - If you’re estimating a value for precommercial timber, or timber that may be merchantable, but hasn’t yet reached the size or age where harvest is planned, the valuation process does involve discounted cash flow techniques. Specific methods of valuation are presented in Section 7.2. Valuation of standing timber.
Section 4. Financial criteria – page 4.40

4.4 Application: Best rotation age

What’s the best rotation age for commercial timber production? As discussed in Section 4.3, this question is an example of ranking alternative land uses that are mutually exclusive, and LEV is the most appropriate financial criterion for choosing the rotation that’s “best.”

In the following application, we determine optimal rotation age based on:

- **Mean Annual Increment**
  (a non-financial measure of performance);
- **Net Present Value**;
- **Rate of Return**; and
- **Land Expectation Value**.

To determine the best rotation age, timber yield values are necessary. In this application, we use the following simple stand age and yield relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand Age (Yrs.)</th>
<th>Yield (cords/acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You may be familiar with the term *mean annual increment* (MAI) – it’s simply the average volume of wood grown each year (average annual growth). In formula form:

$$ \text{MAI} = \frac{\text{Yield at Rotation Age}}{\text{Rotation Age}} $$

The rotation age that maximizes MAI will maximize wood yield from a stand over time. MAI is often used by public agencies in rotation determination.

| Optimal rotation age using MAI = 25 years ... |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age             | Yield (cords/ac.) | MAI (cords/ac.) |
| 10              | 13.4        | 1.34        |
| 15              | 38.4        | 2.56        |
| 20              | 54.0        | 2.70        |
| 25              | 67.9        | 2.72        |
| 30              | 76.8        | 2.56        |
4.4 Application: Best rotation age (continued)

Using 5-year increments for stand age, MAI is maximized at age 25. Therefore, if you managed the stand for an infinite series of rotations, you’d produce the greatest total volume of wood over time by harvesting and regenerating at age 25.

Is MAI the best criterion for determining optimal rotation length? MAI is the best criterion if the time value of your money is zero, i.e., if you have no alternative uses for your resources. As you can see, MAI simply considers physical timber growth and doesn’t reflect the time value of money.

When financial considerations as well as growth and yield relationships are considered, an optimal rotation length shorter than maximum MAI is usually calculated. This results because financial criteria reflect initial costs and the financial advantage of receiving early harvest revenues.

In the rest of Section 4.4, using the same yield relationship we present the optimal rotation length based on NPV, ROR, and LEV, decision criteria that do consider the time value of money. In each of the following cases, we assume:

• pulpwood price = $16/cord;
• site preparation and planting cost = $80/acre;
• annual management cost = $1/acre; and
• discount rate = 6%.

Using NPV, optimal rotation age = 20 years ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Yield (cds/acre)</th>
<th>Money Yield</th>
<th>Discounted Money Yield</th>
<th>Site Prep/Regeneration Costs</th>
<th>Discounted Annual Costs</th>
<th>Net Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>$214.40</td>
<td>$119.72</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$7.36</td>
<td>$32.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>614.40</td>
<td>256.37</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>166.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>864.00</td>
<td>269.40</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>177.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>1,086.40</td>
<td>253.13</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>160.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>1,228.80</td>
<td>213.95</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>120.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Using compound interest formulas, you should be able to replicate the numbers shown in these results. The letters in parentheses above columns are to clarify calculations of NPV and LEV.
4.4 Application: Best rotation age (continued)

The best rotation using NPV is 20 years, which is shorter than the 25-year rotation that maximizes MAI. In the box below, however, note that the optimal rotation using ROR is even shorter than obtained using NPV. The highest ROR is obtained by harvesting the stand at age 15.

Using ROR, optimal rotation age = 15 years ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROR is maximized at stand age 15.

Using these yields and assumptions, LEV is also maximized at stand age 15.

Using LEV, optimal rotation age = 15 years ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Yield (cds/ac.)</th>
<th>Money Establishment Costs</th>
<th>Compounded Annual Costs</th>
<th>Net Value at Rotation End</th>
<th>LEV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>$214.40</td>
<td>$143.27</td>
<td>$13.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>614.40</td>
<td>191.73</td>
<td>23.28</td>
<td>285.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>864.00</td>
<td>256.57</td>
<td>36.79</td>
<td>258.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>1,086.40</td>
<td>343.35</td>
<td>54.86</td>
<td>209.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>1,228.80</td>
<td>459.48</td>
<td>79.06</td>
<td>145.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of the results are summarized on the next page. Note that the optimal rotation age using each of the financial criteria – NPV, ROR, and LEV – is shorter than the one obtained by maximizing MAI. As stated earlier, shorter rotations are to be expected using these criteria because they consider the time value of money.

Why do the financial criteria disagree? That is, why is the highest NPV at age 20, but the highest ROR and the highest LEV are at rotation age 15? These differences arise because of the underlying assumptions behind each criterion (see the text box on the next page).
4.4 Application: Best rotation age (continued)

Summary of optimal rotation results …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Yield (cds/ac)</th>
<th>MAI (cds/ac)</th>
<th>Net Present Value</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
<th>LEV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>$32.40</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>$73.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>166.66</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>285.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>177.93</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>258.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>160.35</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>209.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>120.19</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>145.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why is there a difference between the optimal rotation ages using the financial criteria?

The differences arise because of the assumptions used for each criterion:

**NPV ...** In the preceding application, NPV is the discounted net value of one rotation. Since only one stand of trees is considered, there is no incentive to harvest the stand so that the next stand can begin. The criterion ignores the opportunity cost of delaying subsequent timber revenues. Hence the optimal rotation is longer using this criterion than if subsequent stands are also considered in deciding rotation age.

**LEV ...** LEV is the present value of net income from all future stands of timber. Recall from Section 4.3 that the criterion assumes an infinite series of rotations; the criterion therefore will result in a shorter optimal rotation than if only one stand is considered. Because LEV considers all net income, it’s the most valid criterion for choosing the financially optimal rotation age.

**ROR ...** ROR maximization simply produces the rotation that yields the greatest rate of return on the initial reforestation investment. The criterion isn’t recommended for optimal rotation determination. In the application above, if the age increments had been one year rather than five years, the ROR criterion would have produced an optimal rotation age shorter than the LEV criterion.

Each financial criterion reflects different management objectives. The objective using NPV is to maximize the Net Present Value of the cash flows from one rotation. The objective using LEV is to maximize bare land value – the Net Present Value of all future rotations. The objective using ROR is to maximize the rate of return on the investment.
4.4 Application: Best rotation age (continued)

Problem 4.9

Below is a yield table for planted loblolly pine on an average site in eastern Virginia. Calculate the best rotation length using the MAI, NPV, ROR, and LEV criteria. (Results are on page 10.11.)

- Establishment costs for loblolly pine plantation in eastern Virginia = $100/acre
- Annual management costs and property taxes = $2/acre
- Stumpage price = 20¢ per cubic foot
- Cost of capital = 3%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand Age (Years)</th>
<th>Yield (cubic feet/acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4,958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5 Review of Section 4

Section 4. Financial criteria

4.1 Introduction

Seven “formal” financial criteria were presented in Section 4. They’re “formal” because they’re calculated in a specific way – in most cases using compound interest formulas to account for the time value of money.

4.2 Financial criteria

- **Net Present Value**
  NPV is the present value of all revenues for a project minus the present value of all costs. If NPV is positive, a project is considered financially acceptable.

- **Equivalent Annual Income**
  EAI is NPV expressed on an “equivalent” annual basis. EAI is positive if NPV is positive. In many cases EAI is calculated as information in addition to NPV; forest landowners, for example, can compare EAI from timberland investments with potential annual income from other land uses like pasture or agricultural crops.

- **Benefit/Cost Ratio**
  The B/C ratio for a project is the present value of all revenues divided by the present value of all costs. B/C indicates an investment’s net returns per dollar of expense. B/Cs are often used for financial analysis by U.S. government agencies.

- **Rate of Return**
  ROR is the rate of compound interest that’s earned on the funds invested in a project. It’s the average rate of capital appreciation and is often called the “Return on Investment” (ROI). ROR is the interest rate that makes NPV = 0 and B/C = 1. If there are only two values associated with an investment – one cost and one revenue – ROR can be calculated exactly using a simple formula (Formula 11 in Figure 3.1). If a project has more than two values, however, ROR must be estimated through a systematic (or “iterative”) process.

ROR is a very popular criterion because it’s readily understood and applied. A project is considered financially acceptable if the ROR is greater than the best rate that can be earned on other investments of equal risk, duration, and liquidity. If you’re evaluating whether a specific project is acceptable, ROR will yield results consistent with other financial criteria. ROR isn’t recommended, however, for ranking projects that are all acceptable.
4.5 Review of Section 4 (continued)

4.2 Financial criteria (continued)

◆ Composite Rate of Return
The “composite” or “realizable” rate of return was introduced in Section 4. The criterion isn’t universally accepted as a valid investment analysis tool, however. It was included in Section 4 because some computer programs for forestry investment analysis report the criterion.

◆ Payback Period
This is simply the length of time it takes for an investment to “pay back” its initial costs. The criterion doesn’t account for the time value of money, and therefore wasn’t included in Section 4.3 Which criterion is best?

◆ Land Expectation Value
LEV is an estimate of the value of bare land for growing timber in perpetuity. It’s the Net Present Value of all revenues and costs associated with growing timber on a tract of land. LEV is thus a special case of NPV; it’s NPV where all present and future costs and revenues expected from a tract of land are considered (hence the name Land Expectation Value).

LEV is calculated by estimating all costs and revenues for the first rotation of timber, compounding these to the end of the first rotation, and assuming the net value will be repeated as a perpetual periodic series. LEV therefore assumes a perpetual series of rotations that are identical biologically and economically, i.e., from the standpoint of timber yields as well as from the standpoint of economic variables such as timber prices and the costs of production.

LEV is affected by site quality, costs, prices, yields, management regime and rotation age, the interest rate, and other factors. The EAI associated with an LEV is calculated by multiplying the LEV by the interest rate:

\[
\text{EAI associated with an LEV} = \text{LEV} \times i
\]
4.5 Review of Section 4 (continued)

4.3 Which criterion is best?

The “best” criterion depends on the objective of the analysis. Discounted cash flow techniques and financial criteria can be used for:

- **Accept/reject investment decisions**;
- **Ranking acceptable investments**; and
- **Valuation of forest-based assets**.

**Accept/reject investment decisions**

For accept/reject decisions, the compound interest-based financial criteria – NPV, EAI, B/C, and ROR – will yield the same yes or no answer. That is, if a specific project is financially attractive based on NPV, it will also be acceptable based on EAI, B/C, and/or ROR. These criteria won’t disagree on whether a specific investment is acceptable.

**Ranking acceptable investments**

If the purpose of your analysis is to choose among investment options, all of which are financially acceptable, NPV is recommended. EAI and LEV are specialized forms of NPV that have specific uses in investment ranking. In **Section 4.3**, three important cases of forestry investment rankings were discussed:

**Case I. Ranking land use alternatives…**

*The bottomline for choosing land use alternatives is to use the NPV of all future costs and revenues. In some cases this NPV is the LEV criterion. With land use alternatives of different duration, NPV (or LEV) can be expressed as an EAI.*

**Case II. Ranking forest management methods and regimes…**

Deciding which set of cultural practices is best, and which management combination of thinning regime, rotation length, etc., is best from a financial standpoint is the same as ranking and choosing the best land use alternative. *The bottomline is therefore the same one stated in Case I for ranking land use alternatives.*

**Case III. Ranking investments that have a known, finite time horizon…**

Choosing between types of equipment is an example of this kind of investment ranking. *The bottomline is to use NPV for ranking purposes.* If the investments are different in duration, determine if “repeatability” can be assumed. If the investments can be repeated to a common multiple, NPV can be used for ranking.

In some cases, the best choice among mutually exclusive alternative investments must be made, and then capital must be budgeted among competing independent projects. For this purpose, NPV is recommended.
4.5 Review of Section 4 (continued)

4.3 Which criterion is best? (continued)

❖ Valuation of forest-based assets
The third broad use for financial criteria is in estimating monetary values for land and/or timber. If you’re estimating a value for land, LEV is the appropriate criterion; this is discussed in Section 7.2 Valuation of timberland. If you’re estimating the monetary value of timber, discounted cash flow methods may or may not be necessary, depending on how soon the timber will be harvested; this is discussed in Section 7.3 Valuation of standing timber.

4.4 Application: Best rotation age
This Section includes an example application of determining optimal rotation age for a loblolly pine stand. LEV is recommended for this purpose, and the application demonstrates that other criteria can disagree with LEV on which rotation age is best.

4.6 Additional references

Some of the references we list in Section 4 are grouped, and for convenience they’re placed near the related discussion. In Section 4 these groups include:

ROR-related references

General … Some general ROR references are on page 4.11

Composite ROR references … References that relate to the composite rate of return criterion are on page 4.16.

Reinvestment … Some references that relate to whether ROR calculations include a reinvestment assumption are on page 4.27.

ROR for ranking … ROR isn’t recommended for ranking investments. References that relate to this topic are on page 4.28.
4.6 Additional references (continued)

Additional references in Section 4, and others that relate to financial criteria and their application in forestry include:


Foster, B.B. 1982. Economic nonsense. (Letter to the Editor on the Composite Rate of Return) J. For. 80(9):566.


4.6 Additional references (continued)


Section 5. Financial analysis concepts

5.1 Introduction

Accurate project analysis and assessment involves correct use of the formulas in Section 3, and correct calculation and application of the financial criteria in Section 4. More issues are often involved, however, than simply using the financial information for a project in a way that’s “mechanically” correct.

How do you choose a discount rate? How do you “handle” the opportunity cost of land in forestry investment analysis? These and other important questions arise in many applications of financial criteria to forestry decision making. We’ve grouped some of the most important topics in applied analysis here in Section 5. Taxes and inflation are also extremely important in forestry investment analysis, and they are the subject of Section 6.
In marginal analysis, we compare marginal costs with marginal revenues. Marginal analysis is sometimes referred to as “incremental” analysis.

In the term “marginal analysis,” the word “marginal” means incremental, extra, or additional. “Marginal analysis” of a specific project, practice, or investment involves comparing the marginal costs of the project with the marginal revenues. For a specific project, therefore, marginal analysis is the process of comparing costs and benefits, but in the process we consider only the extra costs and the extra benefits that are specifically associated with the investment.

Forestry investments often involve costs that occur today (or near to the present) and revenues that occur in the future. The time value of money must be considered in evaluating the marginal costs and benefits. NPV, ROR, B/C, and other financial criteria can be used to determine whether a potential project – pruning, for example – is acceptable.

There are many potential examples of marginal analysis in forestry, and there are examples of marginal analysis throughout this workbook – see Examples 2.4–2.6 (beginning on page 2.6) for instance. In these specific Examples, the incremental costs of pruning, herbicide application, and fertilization are compared to the additional revenues projected. In these and other Examples and Problems, of course, compound interest techniques are used to account for the time value of projected, incremental cash flows.
5.3 Sunk costs

Costs incurred in the past cannot be changed and are therefore not relevant to today’s analyses and decisions.

Costs incurred in the past are “sunk.” They cannot be changed and are outside the realm of current decisions. Sunk costs should therefore not be included in calculating NPV, B/C, or other financial criteria, unless the analysis is historical in context.

The past costs of an asset are irrelevant to decisions about the future. The current value of the property, equipment, or other asset may be relevant, and that value is affected by the asset’s attributes or condition, but the price paid for the asset in the past is irrelevant (again, unless you’re calculating a historical rate of return, for example).

An example of sunk costs …

Last year you spent $125/acre for site preparation and tree planting. You’re now considering the need for a herbicide application that will increase seedling survival and growth.

The $125/acre you’ve already spent cannot be changed and therefore isn’t relevant to your herbicide decision. In calculating a marginal ROR or NPV for the herbicide investment, the planting cost should be ignored.

What is relevant to the herbicide analysis?
The physical characteristics of the site and current biological opportunities for tree release are highly relevant to your analysis and decision; you now have an asset that has attributes that are directly related to the site preparation and tree and planting that took place in the past. The actual expenses incurred to achieve the attributes of your stand, however, cannot be changed and are irrelevant to current decisions.

Another example of sunk costs …

You recently spent $2,500 to replace a vehicle’s engine, and now you’re trying to decide if you should invest in additional repairs.

This is another example of marginal analysis – you’re weighing the added costs against the added benefits of specific, additional repairs. In this case, the $2,500 has been “sunk” into the vehicle recently, but this amount is irrelevant.

What is relevant to the decision on further repairs?
The fact that the vehicle has a new engine is relevant to the decision on further repairs. The marginal benefit of the repairs is probably much higher because the vehicle has enhanced value. The marginal cost of further repairs is not affected by the engine’s cost, however, so the actual cost incurred in the past isn’t a factor in the marginal analysis.
“Forestry economics concerns choices, and these exist only in the future; the past is a closed book.” This quote from Duerr (1993) fits well with the “sunk cost” discussion on the previous page, but it also fits well with the concepts of risk and uncertainty. In forest valuation and investment analysis, choices “exist only in the future,” yet we are uncertain about important factors in the future such as timber yields and prices.

Is there a difference between risk and uncertainty? According to Lueschner (1984), risk exists if a probability distribution can be attributed to various outcomes of a decision, and uncertainty exists if there is no information about their probabilities. Various methods have been proposed to adjust for risk and uncertainty in forestry decision making. These techniques include calculating “certainty equivalents” for various outcomes (Clutter et al. 1983), and adjusting the discount rate for risk (Foster 1979).

Rather than summarizing all potential approaches to accounting for risk and uncertainty, we devote Section 5.4 to a basic discussion and an applied example of “sensitivity analysis” as a practical means of evaluating important assumptions that are necessary because we aren’t certain of future costs, revenues, and other analysis inputs. We conclude with references on the broader topics of risk and uncertainty in financial analysis in forestry. Section 5.4 therefore has three subsections:

❖ Basic concepts in sensitivity analysis;
❖ An example sensitivity analysis; and
❖ References on risk and uncertainty.

❖ Basic concepts in sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis is an orderly or systematic process of varying key assumptions in an analysis, and evaluating their impact on financial criteria and decisions.

Our simple financial analyses thus far have assumed we know the value of key variables such as management costs, stumpage prices, yields, length of rotation, and interest rate. Of course, in many analyses several of the values may not be known with certainty, and reasonable assumptions must be made.

When you perform a financial analysis, prudence requires that you evaluate how “sensitive” your results are to the many assumptions in the model. In most applications, there is no need for calculus or sophisticated mathematical analysis. Simply modifying the key variables, one at a time, will easily demonstrate how important each assumed value is to the NPV, ROR, or other financial criterion you’ve calculated; this systematic process is termed “sensitivity analysis.”
5.4 Risk and uncertainty (continued)

*Basic concepts in sensitivity analysis* (continued)

There are at least two analysis situations where you definitely should assess the sensitivity of your results to potential changes in the values that were used:

- If you’re highly uncertain of the exact value(s) of one or more key variables in the analysis (the following discussion helps identify which variables may be critical); or

- If the value you calculated for NPV, B/C, etc., is marginal in acceptability (for example, if NPV is near zero, B/C is near one, etc.). In such cases, the accept/reject decision for the investment may be “sensitive” to changes in key values in the analysis.

The potential influence of major variables can be seen in a simple NPV calculation. Considering only the front-end costs of reforestation and the income obtained from a single future yield, for example, the NPV of one timber rotation is:

\[
\text{NPV} = \frac{HV}{(1+i)^n} - RC
\]

Where

- \(HV\) = Harvest Value (in year \(n\))
- \(RC\) = Reforestation Cost (in year 0), and
- \(n\) = rotation length in years.

This relation merely says that the NPV of a reforestation investment is the discounted harvest value minus the cost of site preparation and planting. Our simple example includes the four major variables that affect the economics of reforestation ... \(i\), \(n\), \(HV\), and \(RC\).

The interest rate, “\(i\),” is one of the most important variables affecting forestry decisions. When compounding or discounting over a rotation of many years, a small change in the interest rate can make a great difference in an investment’s NPV, B/C, etc.

The choice of an appropriate interest rate is therefore a key decision affecting forestry investment analysis. A very important concept is that if the interest variable changes, through a change in time preference (how soon you need cash), market rates, or landownership, forestry investment decisions may change dramatically. See Example 7.3 (page 7.9) for an example of how dramatically the discount rate can affect timberland value estimates. Section 5.6 Choosing a discount rate has more information on this important topic.
5.4 Risk and uncertainty (continued)

Basic concepts in sensitivity analysis (continued)

The rotation length, “n,” or the length of the investment, will also have a major impact on the compounding and discounting of investment dollars. The present value of harvest revenues will decrease as “n” increases, unless increased stand age brings quality or product class changes whose value differences more than offset the discounting effects of compound interest.

Site preparation and planting costs, “RC,” occur at the beginning of the rotation. In calculating NPV for forestry investments, site preparation and planting costs undergo little discounting; if they occur in year 0, they aren’t discounted at all. Front-end costs can therefore be very critical in influencing financial criteria like NPV for forestry investments. This important type of cost is critical to the financial criteria we calculate, but since they occur toward the beginning of the investment period, they can usually be estimated accurately.

The major timber yield under even-aged management occurs at the time of final harvest. The anticipated harvest value, “HV,” is the expected timber yield multiplied by the expected price per unit of volume:

\[
\text{Harvest Value} = \left[ \text{Timber Yield} \right] \times \left[ \text{Price per unit of volume} \right]
\]

Will timber prices in 30 years be the same as today? Will they change only with inflation, or will increases or decreases occur after inflation is accounted for? Price projections are heavily discounted and have much less influence (per dollar) on NPVs or other financial criteria than front-end costs or revenues, but they’re still extremely important in forest valuation and investment analysis. Several Examples and Problems in the workbook indicate the importance of timber price assumptions (for instance, see Example 2.7 on page 2.9 and Problem 4.8 on page 4.21).

The costs and revenues associated with intermediate practices like prescribed burning and thinning were omitted from the simple example above. They also have a much smaller effect on financial criteria than front-end costs, and usually have less effect on the criteria than the large revenues at final harvest, although their effect per dollar is greater because they are discounted for shorter periods.
5.4 Risk and uncertainty (continued)

An example sensitivity analysis

How sensitive is NPV to changes or possible errors in each of the four major variables we’ve discussed – i, n, HV, and RC? A simple example illustrates their potential influence.

Assume a 25-year rotation of slash pine. The regeneration cost is $100/acre and the harvest yield is 40 cords/acre. Pulpwood is worth $15/cord and the interest rate is 4%. The NPV of one rotation is:

\[
NPV = \frac{600}{(1.04)^{25}} - 100 = 125.07\text{/acre}
\]

What if “i” changes?
Assume a 10% change in the interest rate, a change that in absolute terms appears to be trivial – 3.6% and 4.4% appear to be very close to 4%. Look at the impact of this 10% change on NPV, however:

Using an interest rate of …
… 3.6%, NPV = $147.83/acre (an increase of 18.2%).
… 4.4%, NPV = $104.47/acre (a decrease of 16.5%).

Again, the example simply demonstrates that even relatively small changes in “i” can result in significant changes in the financial criteria upon which long-term forestry investment decisions are based.

What if “n” changes?
The length of the investment period (in this case the rotation length) is also an extremely important factor in a financial analysis. As discussed in Section 1 (page 1.10), the basic compound interest relationship is exponential, and the exponent in the relationship is the length of the period.

In our slash pine example, if the rotation period is increased or decreased by 10% (and if we simplify by assuming the same timber volume and value is obtained), the impact on NPV is significant:

Using a rotation length of …
… 22.5 years, NPV = $148.26/acre (an increase of 18.5%).
… 27.5 years, NPV = $104.05 (a decrease of 16.8%).

Under the assumption that “all else is equal,” our NPV result is quite sensitive to the length of the rotation.
5.4 Risk and uncertainty (continued)

An example sensitivity analysis (continued)

Front-end costs like site preparation and planting are very important in NPV results. They're near the present, however, and can usually be estimated accurately.

What’s the impact of an error in “RC?”
The effect of a change in reforestation costs on our example is easy to see. These costs occur in year 0 and are subtracted directly from the present value of revenues to obtain NPV. There, if RC increases by $1, NPV decreases by $1, etc. [As part of your reforestation effort, herbicides are applied in year two to help ensure seedling survival. Would a $1 increase in the cost of this “RC” activity also have a $1 impact on NPV?]

What if “HV” changes?
Harvest values are subject to discounting, and a $1 change in HV therefore has less than a $1 impact on NPV. In this example, a $1 change in final harvest value in year 25 impacts NPV by:

\[
\frac{1}{(1.04)^{25}} = 0.3751, \text{ or about } 38\text{¢}
\]

The example clearly demonstrates that accuracy in reforestation and other front-end costs is much more important on a per dollar basis than final harvest values. With longer rotations, of course, harvest value changes will have less impact on a per dollar basis.

This is not to say, however, that changes in HV are not important. Because final harvest values are typically relatively large in absolute terms, errors of more than a few percentage points may significantly impact NPV. Consider our example assuming a 2%/year increase in prices. Rather than $15/cord, projected price for the harvest in year 25 is:

\[
15 \times (1.02)^{25} = 24.61/\text{cord}
\]

This means the projected HV is $24.61 x 40 = $984.36/acre, and the estimated NPV is:

\[
\text{NPV} = \frac{984.36}{(1.04)^{25}} - 100 = 269.25/\text{acre}
\]

Our NPV estimate more than doubled because we incorporated a 2% annual rate of increase in prices. Real price increases are often assumed in forest valuation and investment analysis. As shown in this example, these assumptions can have a very dramatic impact on analysis results.
5.4 Risk and uncertainty (continued)

*Risk and uncertainty references*

These articles and reports include discussions of methods to account for risk and uncertainty in forest valuation and investment analysis …
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5.4 Risk and uncertainty (continued)

*Risk and uncertainty references* (continued)


5.5 Opportunity costs

Opportunity costs are revenues or other benefits foregone because you decide to use land, capital or other resources in a specific way.

Economic resources have value because they can be used to produce goods and services. When an economic resource is put to a particular use, it competes with alternative uses. This means the price bid for a resource must be at least as much as the resource’s value in the alternative use. This alternative use is commonly called an “opportunity cost” – it represents opportunities (revenues or other benefits) foregone.

- A simple illustration is a miser who has $1,000 in cash. The local bank pays 4% on savings accounts, but instead of using the bank the miser stores the money under his mattress for a year. For the year, the miser is foregoing the opportunity to earn \((0.04)(1,000) = 40.00\). The $40 is the opportunity cost of hoarding the $1,000 rather than investing it in a savings account.

- If you pay $450 cash for a rifle or shotgun, use the gun for six years, and then sell it for $450, would your six years of use be free? The use was free only if you had no alternative uses for those funds – in such a case, your “alternative rate of return” would be 0%. If you do have alternatives (and most of us do), then there is an opportunity cost associated with owning the gun. If a bank would pay you 3%, for example, you could have earned \((0.03)(450) = 13.50\) each year.

There are many examples of opportunity costs in forest valuation and investment analysis. A very important example is the opportunity cost of forest land. We can’t grow timber without tying up significant areas of land, and that land has monetary value. The remainder of Section 5.5 demonstrates the importance and proper inclusion of land value in an investment analysis.

First consider an example forestry NPV calculation without including the value of the land in the analysis:

What’s the NPV/acre for growing timber under the following assumptions?
- Year 0, Site prep and planting cost = $160/acre
- Year 16, Thinning income = 5 cdu./ac. at $19.50/cdu. = $97.50/acre
- Year 22, Thinning income = 8 cdu./ac. at $19.50/cdu. = $156.00/acre
- Year 27, Final harvest = 66 cdu/ac. at $19.50 = $1,287.00/acre
- Years 1–27, Annual costs = $2.50/acre
- Alternative rate of return = 4%

The present value of the two costs is $200.82, and the present value of the three revenues is $564.25. So NPV = $363.43/acre if we don’t include the opportunity cost of land.
5.5 Opportunity costs (continued)

What if the landowner has the opportunity to sell the land instead of investing in reforestation? Let’s recalculate the NPV assuming exactly the same prices, yields, etc., but including a value of $400 for the land.

**What's the NPV/acre for growing timber under the following assumptions?**

- Year 0, Site prep and planting cost = $160/acre
- Year 16, Thinning income = 5 cads./ac. at $19.50/cd. = $97.50/acre
- Year 22, Thinning income = 8 cads./ac. at $19.50/cd. = $156.00/acre
- Year 27, Final harvest = 66 cads/ac. at $19.50 = $1,287.00/acre
- Years 1–27, Annual costs = $2.50/acre
- Alternative rate of return = 4%

The land has a value of $400/acre.

Since none of the original values (from the previous page) were changed, the present value of the two costs is still $200.82, and the present value of the three revenues is still $564.25. Therefore the original value we calculated for NPV can be used, we just need to modify the analysis to reflect the fact that the investment’s time-line now includes land value – we include it as a cost at the beginning of the rotation, and as a revenue at the end:

\[
\text{NPV with land value} = \text{NPV without land value} - \text{Land Value} + \frac{\text{Land Value}}{(1 + r)^t}
\]

Without land value, NPV was $363.43/acre, and if we incorporate the two values on the time-line above, the NPV with land cost is:

\[
\text{NPV with land value} = 363.43 - 400 + 400/(1.04)^{27} = 102.16/acre.
\]

Does the lower NPV with land value mean that the investment doesn’t pay when land opportunity cost is considered? In this case, the answer is “no,” NPV is still positive. The investment is still acceptable since it’s earning a rate of return greater than 4%. Incorporating land opportunity cost does, however, make the investment less attractive from a financial standpoint. In some cases, of course, including land value can change the overall acceptability of forestry investments.

Another way to include land opportunity cost can also be illustrated with the example above. In this case the $400/acre land value could be earning 4% interest elsewhere. Thus, in effect, the annual opportunity cost of using the land for slash pine production is \(0.04 \times 400 = 16/acre\).

Another way to view this annual cost is that the landowner should be “charging” the investment $16/acre/year to “rent” the land. If we use the same numbers given above, but add an annual land rent of $16/acre for years 1 through 27, the calculated NPV is exactly the same:

\[
\text{NPV} = 363.43 - 16 \left( \frac{1.04^{27} - 1}{0.04(1.04)^{27}} \right) = 102.16
\]
5.5 Opportunity costs (continued)

An interesting case where land cost is often omitted is in estimating the value of a precommercial stand of timber. In such valuation problems, if land costs are omitted from the analysis, precommercial timber will be undervalued (see page 7.16 “Valuation of immature timber”). Another case where the opportunity cost of land is very important in forestry is financial maturity analysis (see page 9.6).

When should the opportunity cost of land be included in forest valuation and investment analysis? If timberland or the capital invested in timberland has alternative uses, potential income from the best use should be included as a production cost in investment analyses. This is the case in precommercial stand valuation, and in timber financial maturity analysis.

What land cost do you use? That is, what “value” is appropriate? This is determined by the actual alternative uses for the property. If the landowner would consider selling the land, the market value in the land’s “highest and best” use is appropriate. If the landowner doesn’t intend to sell the land, opportunity costs are defined by other uses. For example, if a property has an existing stand of timber, growing future rotations of timber is an opportunity that can’t be realized until the existing stand is harvested. The landowner incurs an opportunity cost of (LEV)(i) each year the current stand is maintained.

Land cost isn’t included in LEV calculations because the purpose of the calculation is to estimate the value of the land if it’s used for timber production. Land opportunity cost also isn’t a factor in most examples of marginal analysis. If you’re evaluating the marginal costs and benefits of fertilization or other stand treatments, for example, only the additional costs and revenues specifically associated with the activity are relevant.
5.6 Choosing a discount rate

❖ Names for the discount rate

“All approaches to obtaining an interest rate come back to the same concept – opportunity cost.”
(Thompson 1976)

Although different terms are used for the discount rate, they all indicate the compound rate of interest that reflects the time value of money at a given time and place, for a specific individual, corporation, government agency, etc.

In the previous subsection, we defined “opportunity costs” as revenues or other benefits foregone because you decide to use land, capital, or other resources in a specific way. A discount rate is a compound rate of interest that reflects the opportunity cost of capital for an individual, a firm, a government agency, etc.

Different names are frequently used to indicate the discount rate:

- **Cost of capital.** This name simply reflects the interest rate as a measure of the opportunity cost of capital – the rate you could have earned on capital in other uses, or the rate you’re paying others to use their funds.

- **Guiding rate of return.** The discount rate is sometimes referred to as a “guiding rate” because the rate is used as a yardstick – a guide for evaluating the acceptability and attractiveness of different investments.

- **Hurdle rate.** Much like the “guiding rate,” the name “hurdle rate” simply indicates that the discount rate can be a specific hurdle; investments that don’t yield a specified minimum rate don’t make it over the hurdle of acceptability.

- **Alternative rate of return.** As stated with the “cost of capital” discussion, the discount rate sometimes indicates other opportunities (alternatives) for using capital. To be comparable, an “alternative rate of return” should be for investments of comparable duration, risk, and liquidity.

In addition to the above terms, sometimes the phrase “exception rate” is used to indicate discount rates applied to investments in timber and timberland. If a specific use of capital provides benefits that aren’t reflected by its projected cash flows, an exception may be made in the rate of return that’s considered acceptable; in most cases, this means a lower rate of return is used.

For example, a forest products corporation may use a guiding rate of return defined by the corporation’s cost of capital (see the next page); but the corporation may make an exception for timber-related investments. They may, for example, view timber as a strategic asset – control over raw material supply may be a part of their corporate strategy – but these strategic benefits aren’t reflected in timber’s projected cash flows. In such cases, a lower rate of return, or “exception rate,” is used.
5.6 Choosing a discount rate (continued)

**Discount rates for different landowners**

The appropriate rate of interest to use in forest valuation and investment analysis usually depends on who owns the land or other resource:

- **Public agencies**
  Discount rates for public agencies are often specified by law. The federal government, for example, requires that agencies use a “real” (uninflated) rate of 10% unless a special rate, formula or other guideline is set by law – basically an “exception rate” as discussed on the previous page.

  The USDA Forest Service currently uses a “real” rate of 4% for long-term investments (generally more than 10 years), and 10% for other, shorter-term investments. For further information, see the article by Row, Kaiser, and Sessions, and the USDA Forest Service Economic Analysis Manual references in the **Discount rate references** subsection (page 5.17).

- **Corporations**
  Privately-owned as well as publicly-held corporations usually define discount rates as a weighted average cost of capital – the cost of debt capital and the cost of equity capital weighted by the firms’s percentage of debt and equity. For example, a firm with a debt:equity ratio of 60:40 that is paying 8% interest on debt capital and 15% on equity capital has a weighted average cost of capital of: \[.60(.08) + .40(.14) = .104 = 10.4\% \]

  As stated on the previous page, forest products corporations sometimes specify an “exception rate” for timber-related investments that “fit in” with overall corporate strategic goals for self-sufficiency in timber supply.

- **Private individuals**
  Individuals may specify their discount rate by considering alternative uses for their capital; alternative rates may thus be the rate they expect to earn on their investments, or they may be the rates they are paying on borrowed capital. Each private individual is different, however, and discussion may be needed to elicit an individual landowner’s preferences for money today versus money in the future. A survey of over 800 private landowners in Mississippi reported hurdle rates (inflated terms and before taxes) of 8% for 5-year forestry investments, and 11% for 15-year and 13% for 30-year forestry investments (Bullard et al. 2002). The survey was done in 2000, when bank savings accounts were earning 4-5%, and Certificates of Deposit were earning over 6%.
5.6 Choosing a discount rate (continued)

*Discount rates for different landowners*

Because of their importance in investment analysis, inflation and taxes are discussed in Section 6. It should be noted here, however, that it is extremely important in specifying a discount rate that the rate be consistent with other aspects of the analysis in terms of taxes and inflation.

There are four options for the discount rate to use in forest valuation and investment analysis, depending on whether or not inflation and taxes are considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Considering Taxes</th>
<th>Not Considering Taxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>With Inflation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal, After-tax</td>
<td>Nominal, Before-tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excluding Inflation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real, After-tax</td>
<td>Real, Before-tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terms like “nominal” and “real” and techniques for determining after tax discount rates and other values are discussed in Section 6.
5.6 Choosing a discount rate (continued)

\textit{Discount rate references}


5.6 Choosing a discount rate (continued)

Discount rate references (continued)


[Note that articles concerned with adjusting discount rates for risk are included under the Risk and uncertainty references subsection on pages 5.9-5.10.]
5.7 Review of Section 5

Section 5. Financial analysis concepts

5.1 Introduction
Section 5 includes basic concepts that are important in many forest valuation and investment analysis problems. Marginal analysis concepts, choosing a discount rate, and correctly handling sunk costs, opportunity costs, and risk are critical for effective analysis. Not understanding these concepts can result in a “fatal error” in the analysis; including sunk costs in a marginal analysis, for example, will completely invalidate the results (and may seriously damage the credibility of the analyst).

5.2 Marginal analysis
In a marginal analysis, we compare a project’s marginal costs with its marginal revenues. In this context, the word “marginal” means additional, extra, or incremental; marginal analysis is sometimes referred to as “incremental analysis.” Many of the examples and problems in this workbook are examples of marginal analysis. When we calculate an NPV associated with silvicultural treatments like fertilization, herbicide application, etc., we’re comparing the marginal costs and the marginal revenues of the treatment. We use compound interest formulas to account for the time value of the marginal costs and revenues in calculating financial criteria like NPV and ROR.

5.3 Sunk costs
The past costs associated with an investment are “sunk” and cannot be changed with decisions today. Sunk costs are therefore irrelevant in most types of investment analysis, including marginal analysis. One example of when sunk costs should be considered is where the analysis is historical in context. For example, calculating the ROR of a forestry investment over its full time span will involve past costs as well as projected costs and revenues.

5.4 Risk and uncertainty
Several methods can be used to account for the risk and uncertainty inherent in forest valuation and investment analysis. We include references to these methods in Section 5.4, but we discuss only one method – sensitivity analysis – using a simple example of pine plantation costs and revenues for demonstration. In forest valuation and investment analysis, front-end costs like site preparation and planting are very important. They’re near the present, however, and can usually be estimated accurately. Timber price assumptions, however, are often very uncertain, simply because in many cases timber harvests are projected far in the future. Sensitivity analysis should be used to assess the impact of different timber price assumptions on analysis results.
5.7 Review of Section 5 (continued)

5.5 **Opportunity costs**
Opportunity costs are revenues or other benefits foregone because you decide to use land, capital, or other assets in a specific way. If you use land to produce timber, for example, you’re foregoing potential revenues from other uses of the land (or from the capital invested in the land). If timberland or the capital invested in timberland has alternative uses, income from the best alternative use should be included as a production cost in valuation and/or investment analysis. This is the case in precommercial stand valuation (discussed in Section 7), and in timber financial maturity analysis. The land value to use is LEV if the land will be maintained in timber production; market value should be used if the landowner would consider selling the land.

5.6 **Choosing a discount rate**
The discount rate is sometimes referred to as the cost of capital, the guiding rate of return, the hurdle rate, and the alternative rate of return. The appropriate rate to use in forest valuation and investment analysis depends on who owns the land or other resources involved:

- **Public agencies.** The appropriate rate for public agencies is often specified by law. The USDA Forest Service uses 4% for long-term investments (generally more than 10 years), and 10% for other, shorter-term investments.

- **Corporations.** The time value of money for corporations is typically defined as a weighted average cost of debt and equity capital. Corporations sometimes use an “exception” rate for timber-related investments, however, because timber production promotes corporate strategic goals for timber supply.

- **Private individuals.** Private landowners often specify their discount rate by considering alternative uses for their capital – rates they expect to earn on similar investments, for example, or rates they’re paying on borrowed capital. Current wealth is a very important factor in determining the time value of money for private individuals.

Regardless of the type of ownership involved, the discount rate used to address a specific forest valuation or investment analysis question should be consistent in terms of taxes and inflation. For example, if all costs and revenues are without inflation and before taxes, the discount rate should be a real, before-tax rate of interest.
The most important aspect of accounting for inflation in forest valuation and investment analysis is consistency – either include inflation in the discount rate and in all costs and revenues, or leave it out entirely. If you’re consistent, whether you include or exclude inflation won’t impact the outcome of your analysis unless the investment has costs that are “capitalized” for tax purposes (such costs are described in Section 6.2, Income taxes). If capitalized costs are involved, inflation should be included in the discount rate and in all costs and revenues.
6.1 Inflation (continued)

**Accounting for inflation** (continued)

Since forestry investments typically involve dollar values that occur years in the future, it’s extremely important to treat inflation consistently. We stress the need for consistency because examples of inconsistency in forestry project analysis are relatively common, and because they can have a very negative impact on the attractiveness of forest-related investments.

**Two examples of inconsistency in accounting for inflation:**

- **It’s inconsistent to use an inflated interest rate to discount uninflated dollar values.** This error occurs, for example, when an interest rate that includes inflation is used to discount future timber sale revenues that are obtained by multiplying future timber volumes by the prices that prevail today (uninflated values).

- **It’s inconsistent to calculate a forestry project’s ROR without considering inflation, and then compare the ROR with an alternative rate of interest that does include inflation.** Comparing an uninflated ROR for a forestry investment with the interest rate paid by a bank, for example, is inappropriate since the bank rate includes inflation – you expect the bank to pay the rate quoted for your account regardless of the rate of inflation that occurs.

“Real” means inflation is omitted. A “real price increase,” for example, is over and above inflation. An analysis that’s done “in real terms” is one where inflation is not included in the costs, the revenues, and the discount rate.

Again, “consistency” means that inflation should either be included or excluded from all values in an analysis. If inflation is included in an analysis, the costs, revenues, and discount rate are referred to as *nominal* or in *current* dollar terms. If inflation is excluded they’re said to be in *real* or *constant* dollar terms.

As an example of inflation’s influence on future values, consider how a general rise in prices affects the value of a specific product like timber. If a general rise in prices causes timber that’s valued at $1,000 today to be valued at $1,090 after one year (an increase of 9%), how much will the timber be worth after two years, assuming the same rate of general price increase, and assuming the same timber volume and quality?

Since the general price increase (inflation) occurs in each of the two years projected, the estimated timber value in two years is:

\[ $1,000 \times (1.09)^2 = $1,188.10 \text{ after the second year.} \]

If the timber also increased in value by 5% in *real* terms, that is, over and above inflation, its value after two years would be:

\[ $1,000 \times (1.09)^2 \times (1.05)^2 = $1,309.88. \]
6.1 Inflation (continued)

◆ Accounting for inflation (continued)

What is inflation? How is it measured?

What is it?
In simple terms, inflation is a general increase in the price of goods and services in an economy over time.

How is it measured?
The most widely-used measure of inflation in the U.S. is the “consumer price index” (CPI) published monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the U.S. Department of Commerce. The index is developed each month by finding out how much it costs in a particular month to buy a “market basket” of goods and services. Items included in the “market basket” are food, automobiles, clothing, homes, furniture, home supplies, drugs, fuel, doctors’ and lawyers’ fees, rent, transportation fares, recreational goods, etc. (Mansfield and Behravesh 1992)*.

Examples of the CPI and the annual rate of inflation ...
Historical examples of the CPI and the rate of inflation show the high, sometimes double-digit rates of inflation experienced in the U.S. in the 1970s and the early 1980s:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>Rate of inflation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>170.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>181.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>195.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>217.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>246.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>272.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>289.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The rate of inflation and real rates of price change are compound interest rates. They are accounted for using compound interest formulas.

In the specific example on the previous page, notice that the real rate of increase and the rate of inflation are treated exactly like any other compound rate of interest. On the previous page we simply applied Formula 1 from Figure 3.1, i.e., the Future Value of a Single Sum.

To generalize from the specific example on page 6.2, the future timber value was obtained by multiplying the present value by:

\[(1 + f)^n \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + r)^n,\]

where “f” represents the annual rate of inflation and “r” is the annual rate of price increase in real terms.
Since the Future Value of a Single Sum in general is (from Formula 1):

\[ V_n = V_0 (1 + i)^n, \]

the relationships between the real rate of price increase, the rate of inflation, and the overall rate of compound interest can be developed.

If a real price increase of \( r\% \) per year and an inflationary price increase of \( f\% \) per year are involved in a future value, the combined or overall annual rate of increase (\( i\% \)) has two compound interest components:

\[ V_n = V_0 (1 + i)^n = V_0 (1 + r)^n (1 + f)^n \]

Since \( (1 + i)^n = (1 + r)^n (1 + f)^n \), the relationships between \( i \), \( r \), and \( f \) can be defined. If \( n = 1 \), then \( (1 + i) = (1 + r)(1 + f) \), and if two of the three factors are known, the third can be calculated as shown in Figure 6.1.

Using the relationships in Figure 6.1, and the formula for compound interest (Formula 1 in Figure 3.1), inflation can be included or excluded entirely from a forestry analysis …

Consistency in accounting for inflation simply means that all factors in the analysis – costs, revenues, and the discount rate – are either in real terms or they are in inflated terms.

To include inflation:
Multiply all future costs and revenues that are specified in today’s dollars, i.e., in “real” or “constant dollar” terms, by \( (1 + f)^n \); use a discount rate that includes inflation \( (i = r + f + rf) \).

To exclude inflation:
Specify all future costs and revenues in constant dollar terms; use the real rate of interest as the guiding rate \( [r = (1 + i)/(1 + f) - 1] \).
6.1 Inflation (continued)

\*Accounting for inflation (continued)

If it’s accounted for correctly, whether inflation is included will have no impact on NPV, EAI, B/C, or LEV in a before-tax analysis. If you’re calculating ROR, results should be clearly stated. Was the ROR calculated with or without inflation, and was the analysis before or after taxes?

If a pre-tax analysis is done correctly, whether the analysis includes or excludes inflation makes no difference in the results. Again, the important aspect is consistency; inflation should be included entirely or excluded entirely. A future revenue, for example, can be specified in year “n” in real terms and discounted with a real rate of interest:

\[
\text{Present Value of Revenue} = \frac{\text{Revenue in year } n}{(1 + r)^n}
\]

Or the projected revenue can be inflated by “f” percent per year and discounted with the inflated rate of interest:

\[
\text{Present Value of Revenue} = \frac{(\text{Revenue in year } n)(1 + f)^n}{(1 + r)^n(1 + f)^n}
\]

Notice that the inflation factors can be crossed out of the numerator and denominator. So the present value of revenue is the same, with or without inflation (as long as it’s accounted for correctly – in this case it has to be incorporated in the projected revenue and in the discount rate).

In general, inflation will cancel out of all terms in an analysis, unless the analysis is on an after-tax basis and there are “capitalized” costs involved (as discussed in Section 6.2. Income taxes).

Following are some Examples and Problems that incorporate inflation, and a subsection for inflation-related references.

**Example 6.1**

Calculate NPV for the following timber investment. Incorporate an inflation rate of 3% in all values in the analysis (all values below are in real terms).

- Initial cost = $450/acre
- Thinning revenue = $950/acre in year 20
- Final harvest and land sale revenue = $3,800/acre in year 30
- Discount rate = 4%

With inflation, the values are:
- Initial cost = $450/acre
- Thinning revenue in year 20 = $950(1.03)^{20} = $1,715.81/ac.
- Final harvest and land sale revenue in year 30 = $3,800(1.03)^{30} = $9,223.60/acre
- Discount rate = .04 + .03 + (.04)(.03) = .0712 = 7.12%

\[
\text{NPV} = \left( \frac{1,715.81}{1.0712^{20}} + \frac{9,223.60}{1.0712^{30}} \right) - 450 = 1,155.18/acre
\]
6.1 Inflation (continued)

**Accounting for inflation** (continued)

Problem 6.1

Calculate NPV in real terms using the values shown in Example 6.1.

*Answer: NPV = $1,155.18/acre ... the same result obtained with inflation included.*

Problem 6.2

Over the past 10 years, your timber stand has increased in value from $20,000 to $48,000. If inflation has averaged 4.1% per year, what has been your real ROR?

*Answer: 4.85%*

Is the investment attractive compared to a bank account that pays 5%?

*Answer: The bank account yields a real rate of return of only 0.86%*
6.1 Inflation (continued)

❖ Accounting for inflation (continued)

Example 6.2

A non-forestry example ...

The cost of a U.S. postage stamp for mailing first class letters increased from 4 cents in 1960 to 44 cents in 2010. Was there a real price increase in U.S. postage costs if inflation over the period averaged 3.7% per year?

First, calculate the rate of compound interest that makes 4 cents equal to 44 cents over a 50-year period. To do this, we apply Formula 11 in Figure 3.1:

\[ i = \left( \frac{.44}{.04} \right)^{\frac{1}{50}} - 1 = 0.0491 = 4.91\% \]

The inflated rate of price increase was 4.91%/year, and we can use the equation shown in Figure 6.1 to calculate \( r \), given \( i \) and \( f \):

\[ r = \left( \frac{1.0491}{1.037} \right) - 1 = 0.0117 = 1.17\% \]

The price increase was 1.17%/year over and above the increase attributed to inflation. In this particular example, a close estimate of the real rate of increase (\( r \)) is obtained by simply subtracting the rate of inflation (\( f \)) from the rate of price increase (\( i \)). That is, \( r \) is approximately \( 4.91\% - 3.7\% = 1.21\% \).

Problem 6.3

Real price increases for timber in a specific area ...

Using the methods demonstrated in Example 6.2, calculate the real rate of change in prices for standing timber between 1985 and 2010. Assume that prices for standing timber of similar species, size, and quality averaged $225/MBF in your area in 1985, and they averaged $475/MBF in 2010. Assume that inflation averaged 2% per year over the 25-year period. (Answer: \( r = 1.03\% \) per year)
6.1 Inflation (continued)

**Accounting for inflation (continued)**

Example 6.3

In a specific forestry investment analysis, you need a timber price projected for the year 2030 (for this Example, “today” is 2010). You assume that inflation will average 4% per year over the 20-year period, and you’ve read a recently-completed study that projects that real timber prices will increase at a compound annual rate of 1.5% for the “foreseeable” future. If you assume timber prices will increase at an average rate of 4% with inflation plus 1.5% in real terms, what is the price projected for 2030? Today’s price is $410/MBF.

The overall rate of price increase that’s projected is:

\[ i = r + f + rf \] (from Figure 6.1)

So in this Example, the projected rate of price increase is:

\[ i = .015 + .04 + (.015)(.04) = 5.56\% \]

The price projected for the year 2030 is therefore:

\[ $410 (1.0556)^{20} = $1,209.96/MBF \]

Note that price estimates can also be made by treating the real and inflationary rates of increase separately …

\[ $410 (1.015)^{20}(1.04)^{20} = $1,209.96/MBF. \]

Problem 6.4

You’ve estimated an annual rate of return of 7.9% for a specific timberland investment. In the process, you used today’s prices and costs, i.e., you didn’t inflate the values using a projected rate of inflation. Is this an acceptable investment if your alternative rate of return is defined by what you can earn in an investment account that will pay 8% interest over the next 20 years?

When using interest rates as a “yardstick” for comparing alternatives, the rates must be comparable in terms of taxes and inflation, and possibly also in terms of factors such as investment duration, risk, and liquidity.
6.1 Inflation (continued)

❖ References that relate to inflation


Section 6. Inflation and taxes – page 6.10

6.2 Income taxes

Because changes in income tax provisions are relatively frequent, the emphasis in Section 6.2 is on correct methods for after-tax analysis.

This Section describes correct methods for after-tax analysis. Because many projects involve capital investment, a very brief discussion is included on specific depreciation rules that were “current” through 2010. Our basic emphasis, however, is on methods; correct methods of analysis don’t change when tax laws change. This Section therefore remains relevant as a basic reference regardless of changes in specific tax provisions from year to year. The National Timber Tax website is an excellent resource for current information on taxes relating to forests and forestland (www.timbertax.org).

To consider an investment after taxes, all revenues should be converted to an after-tax basis, all deductions, credits, and other cost-related tax savings should be considered, and an after-tax discount rate should be used. Section 6.2 therefore includes the following subsections:

- **After-tax revenues**
- **After-tax costs**
  - Expensed costs
  - Capitalized costs
    1. Resource-based assets like timber
    2. “Non-wasting” assets like land
    3. “Wasting” assets like equipment
- **After-tax discount rates**
- **Summary of after-tax analysis**
- **Income tax references**

After-tax revenues are calculated by subtracting taxes due from revenues received (Figure 6.2).

**Figure 6.2. How to calculate after-tax revenues.**

\[
\text{After-tax revenue} = (\text{Before-tax revenue}) - (\text{Tax rate}) (\text{Before-tax revenue})
\]

Which can be simplified to …

\[
\text{After-tax revenue} = (\text{Before-tax revenue}) (1 - \text{Tax rate})
\]

Multiply the revenues received by \((1 - \text{Tax rate})\)

The tax rate to be used in after-tax analysis changes as tax laws change, and the rate depends on whether state as well as federal income taxes are being considered. The rate also depends on the taxpayer’s income level and whether the revenue in the analysis qualifies as “capital gains income.”
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

**After-tax revenues** (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example 6.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A landowner receives $65,000 from a timber sale. If her marginal tax rate is 20% on this income, what are her after-tax revenues?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-tax timber sale income ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As shown in Figure 6.2,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-tax revenues = $65,000 (1 – .20) = $52,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example 6.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income from hunting leases is taxed as ordinary income each year. If a landowner receives $5.00 per acre per year for hunting rights, what's the income on an after-tax basis? Assume the marginal tax rate is 31%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-tax timber income from a hunting lease ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-tax lease revenue = $5.00 (1 – .31) = $3.45/acre/year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem 6.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber and wildlife management practices that will cost $1,700 today are expected to result in increased revenues of $400 eight years from now and $3,300 fifteen years from now. What are these revenues on an after-tax basis if the appropriate income tax rate is 28%? (Answer = $288 in eight years; $2,376 in 15 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-tax revenues projected for the future ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

After-tax costs

If an expenditure can be deducted from taxable income, its after-tax cost is lower than its before-tax cost. Deductible costs are either expensed or capitalized.

Legitimate business expenses can be deducted from taxable income in calculating tax liability, and deductible costs therefore have a tax advantage. For expenditures that are deductible, the after-tax cost (the “effective” cost) is the cost after the tax savings have been considered.

There are two broad types of deductible expenditures, those that may be “expensed” and those that must be “capitalized.”

- Expensed costs:
  
  To “expense” a cost is to deduct the expenditure in its entirety in the tax year in which the expenditure occurs. Some examples of costs that may be expensed are salaries and wages, utilities, supplies, and property taxes.

The after-tax cost of expensed items is found by determining their tax savings and subtracting the tax savings from the before-tax cost (Figure 6.3).

**Figure 6.3. How to calculate after-tax costs for expenditures that may be expensed.**

\[
\text{After-tax cost} = (\text{Before-tax cost}) \times (1 - \text{Tax rate})
\]

If the landowner’s $1,700 cost in Problem 6.5 can be expensed for tax purposes, what is the “effective” cost per dollar of expense? The marginal tax rate is 28%.

The effective cost per dollar of expense is $(1)(1 - .28) = 72$ cents.

The after-tax cost of the entire expense is $(1,700)(1 - .28) = 1,224.00$.

If the $1,700 cost had not been incurred, the landowner would have paid $476 more in income taxes.

Example 6.6
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

**After-tax costs** (continued)

**Problem 6.6**

What's the landowner’s after-tax NPV in Problem 6.5 assuming the $1,700 cost can be expensed? Use an after-tax discount rate of 4.68%.

*(Answer = $172.10)*

**Problem 6.7**

List forestry-related items whose cost can be expensed, then list the before- and after-tax cost of each item (assume an appropriate tax rate).

*Example:*

Tree planting dibble …

Before-tax cost = $23.00

After-tax cost = $23.00 (1 – .31) = $15.87

(assuming a marginal tax rate of 31%)
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

After-tax costs (continued)

For income tax purposes, legitimate business-related costs are either expensed or capitalized.

The second category of costs are those that must be “capitalized” for income tax purposes.

- **Capitalized costs:**
  Costs that are not deducted entirely in the year they occur are “capitalized.” For record-keeping purposes, these costs are assigned to a capital account or “basis,” and they are deducted over time in one of three ways:

  1. **Certain resource-based assets** like oil, gas, and timber – costs are deducted as the asset is used or “depleted.” The basis is called the “basis for depletion.”
  2. **“Non-wasting” assets like land** – costs are deducted when the asset is sold.
  3. **“Wasting” assets like buildings and equipment** – costs are deducted as the asset depreciates; the schedule for depreciation deductions is defined based on the type of asset and the expected length of service.

Timberland includes both timber and land (numbers 1 and 2 above), and when timberland is purchased or inherited, initial costs (market value in the case of inherited property) as well as subsequent costs must be allocated between a land account and a timber account:

- **Land account** … The money you have invested in land can be deducted from the revenue obtained when the land is sold.
- **Timber account** … The money you have invested in timber can be deducted when the timber is sold (depleted). For partial sales, calculate a depletion allowance as shown below. After each timber sale (after each depletion allowance is deducted) adjust the basis for depletion.

  - The **depletion rate** is the amount of money you can deduct per unit of timber volume harvested:
    
    \[
    \text{Depletion rate} = \left( \frac{\text{Basis for depletion}}{\text{Total volume}} \right)
    \]

  - The **depletion allowance** is the amount of money you can deduct in total after a specific timber sale. It’s obtained by multiplying the depletion rate by the total volume of timber harvested:
    
    \[
    \text{Depletion allowance} = (\text{Depletion rate}) \times (\text{Volume cut})
    \]
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

After-tax costs (continued)

For income tax purposes, the “timber account” is also called the depletion account. This account has subaccounts for premerchantable and merchantable timber.

- When a timber sale is made, and a depletion allowance is deducted from the sale revenue, adjust the basis for depletion. That is, subtract the amount of money that was deducted from the timber’s basis for depletion. If 100% of the timber was cut, of course, the depletion account drops to zero until additional expenses that must be capitalized are made in timber production on the property.

- Regeneration and other stand establishment costs that must be capitalized, for example, are added to the property’s timber account (to be deducted from future income when the timber is sold). In actual practice, the depletion account includes subaccounts for merchantable and pre-merchantable timber.

[Note that specific tax incentives apply to certain types of regeneration costs incurred by private landowners. In financial analysis, costs eligible for these incentives are treated in a manner similar to depreciation rather than depletion. The after-tax cost of reforestation – when the federal income tax incentives apply – is discussed with an example beginning on page 6.20.]

Example 6.7

Timber depletion ...

A landowner has $20,000 in her timber account (basis for depletion) on a specific tract. She also has $15,500 in the land account. This year the landowner sells 75% of the timber volume for $32,000, and would like to know how much money she can deduct from the timber sale income. Also, what is the adjusted basis for depletion?

Since 75% of the volume was harvested, 75% of the basis for depletion can be deducted (the deduction is therefore $15,000).

Calculating the depletion rate and allowance directly yields the same result:

Assume the tract had 160 MBF of timber prior to the sale

\[
\text{Depletion allowance} = \frac{\text{basis for depletion}}{\text{total volume}} \times \text{cut}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$20,000}{160 \text{ MBF}} \times 120 \text{ MBF}
= \$15,000
\]

The “adjusted” basis for depletion is simply the original basis minus the amount deducted:

\[
\text{Adjusted basis} = \$20,000 - \$15,000 = \$5,000.
\]

After the timber sale, the landowner has $5,000 remaining in the timber account. The taxable capital gain on the sale is $32,000 - $15,000 = $17,000. Also, she still has $15,500 in the land account, which can be deducted when the land is sold.
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

**After-tax costs** (continued)

“Wasting” assets like equipment are deducted over a period of years. The IRS specifies the number of years and the percentages of expenditure you can deduct each year for different asset types.

The third type of capitalized cost listed on page 6.14 is “wasting” assets like buildings and equipment, assets whose costs are deducted as they “depreciate” in value and usefulness over time. [In this discussion we also include the after-tax costs of reforestation (where federal income tax incentives are used) because the method used to calculate after-tax cost is the same method applied to depreciable assets.]

In general, expenditures on buildings and equipment are deducted from income over a period of years, and income tax regulations and rulings specify how these deductions are to be made for different types of assets. The “depreciation schedule” is therefore specified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) based on the expected length of useful service for different assets – from culverts, fences, and roads, to buildings, logging equipment, computers, etc. For example, over-the-road (semi-) tractors are considered to be “three-year property” while pickup trucks and logging equipment are “five-year property.”

For different classes of property (“three-year,” “five-year,” etc.) percentages of the original expenditure that can be deducted each year are specified by the modified accelerated cost recovery system (MACRS). MACRS provisions and depreciation percentages are summarized for five-year property in the text box below. Again, note that this information is provided as an example, as background information for demonstrating methods for calculating the after-tax cost of depreciable assets.

Some general provisions for depreciation under MACRS, and specific percentages for 5-year property:

*(This information is background for the methods of calculating after-tax cost; for current information, readers should consult IRS publication Nos. 534 and 946.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expensing of certain expenditures, ... up to a limit, qualified expenditures on equipment, etc., can be expensed each year. Recently the limit was $17,500 per year, although a “scaleback” applies if qualified expenditures exceed $200,000. This is referred to as a “Section 179” deduction (see Siegel et al. 1995).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**5-year property** ... all property with a class life greater than four years but less than 10 years. Includes automobiles, light trucks, logging machinery and equipment, and road building equipment used by logging and sawmill operators, as well as office equipment like computers, copiers, and calculators. For this equipment you can deduct:

- 20% (year 1), 32% (year 2), 19.2% (year 3), 11.52% (year 4), 11.52% (year 5), and .0576% (year 6).

Where do they get these percentages and why do you deduct “5-year” property over a 6-year period?

MACRS depreciation percentages are calculated using the 200% declining balance method of depreciation (a specific approach to allow “accelerated” cost recovery). The 5-year property deductions shown above impact six tax returns because they are based on a “half-year” assumption; one half of a full year’s deduction is taken in the first year and one half in the last year. Tax law currently includes a “40%-rule” that can change the percentages to mid-quarter rather than half-year. The “rule” applies if 40% or more of the total expenditures on depreciable items occurs in the last three months of the year.
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

**After-tax costs** (continued)

Earlier, when we calculated the after-tax cost of items that can be expensed, we calculated the tax savings due to having a deduction from taxable income, and we subtracted the savings from the item’s cost (Figure 6.3 on page 6.12). With capitalized costs of depreciating assets like equipment, however, deductions are spread over several years, and to calculate their tax savings we need to account for the time value of money. The basic method involves: discounting the tax savings that will occur from each deduction (they occur in the future so we calculate their total present value), and subtracting the total present value of tax savings from the cost of the item (Figure 6.4).

**Figure 6.4. How to calculate after-tax costs for equipment and other expenditures that are depreciated over time.**

Each deduction results in a tax savings of (Tax Rate) x (Deduction), and we discount each of the “n” tax savings to year 0. This total is subtracted from the item’s before-tax cost:

\[
\text{After-tax cost} = \text{Before-tax cost} - \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{(\text{Tax rate})(\text{Deduction})}{(1 + i)^n}
\]

Where “d” is the total number of deductions, “n” is the year each deduction occurs, and “i” is an after-tax discount rate.

**Example 6.8**

**What’s the after-tax cost of a skidder with a purchase price of $175,000?**

Assume the buyer is in a 34% marginal tax bracket, and uses an after-tax discount rate of 6% (after-tax discount rates are discussed in the next subsection).

For this example, we apply the schedule of deductions shown for 5-year property (listed in the text box on page 6.16). There are six deductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Deduction in year n</th>
<th>Tax savings from the deduction in year n</th>
<th>Present Value of Tax Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>($175,000) (.2000) (.34)</td>
<td>$11,226.42</td>
<td>$11,226.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>($175,000) (.3200) (.34)</td>
<td>$16,945.53</td>
<td>$16,945.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>($175,000) (.1920) (.34)</td>
<td>$9,591.81</td>
<td>$9,591.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>($175,000) (.1152) (.34)</td>
<td>$5,429.33</td>
<td>$5,429.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>($175,000) (.1152) (.34)</td>
<td>$5,122.01</td>
<td>$5,122.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>($175,000) (.0576) (.34)</td>
<td>$2,416.04</td>
<td>$2,416.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Present Value of Tax Savings = $50,731.14

The “effective” cost of the skidder is:

\[
$175,000 - $50,731.14 = $124,268.86
\]
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

**After-tax costs** (continued)

To estimate the after-tax cost of an asset that must be depreciated, first obtain the depreciation schedule, the tax rate, and the discount rate. The after-tax cost can be estimated in total (as in Example 6.8), or per dollar of initial expense (as in Problem 6.8).

In Example 6.8, notice that the deductions were assumed to occur in years 1 through 6. This means the first deduction isn’t received until a year from the equipment purchase. In any case, an assumption is necessary for discounting purposes. If we used 0 – 5 as the six years in the depreciation schedule, we would be assuming the first deduction occurs now (in year 0).

Another important point about calculating the after-tax cost of depreciable items can be seen in Example 6.8. In the column of deductions, notice that the initial cost of the skidder ($175,000) is repeated in each line. We can replace the $175,000 with $1 in example 6.8 and we will calculate a tax savings per dollar of initial cost.

In Example 6.8, if we replace $175,000 with $1, we calculate a tax savings of .28989 per dollar of before-tax cost (you’re asked to do this in Problem 6.8). Subtracting this amount from $1 yields the after-tax cost per dollar of before-tax cost. In this case, $1 - .28989 = .71011, or 71 cents per dollar. Our after-tax present value of costs for the skidder would be: ($175,000) (.71011) = $124,269.25 (not exactly the answer in Example 6.8 due to rounding error).

After-tax costs for any equipment in the 5-year property class, for anyone who’s in the 34% marginal tax bracket and has a 6% after-tax discount rate can be estimated by multiplying the purchase price by .71011. This value is therefore a multiplier that can be used to estimate other after-tax values. Multipliers for 5-year property (or other classes) can be developed exactly as demonstrated in Example 6.8 and Problem 6.8.

After-tax cost multipliers can also be determined for 7-year property, or any of the other useful life categories in tax regulations. Listed below, for example, are some example multipliers for 5-year and 7-year property, for tax rates of 28% and 34%.

**Multipliers for estimating the after-tax present value of costs for 5-year property and 7-year property, using marginal tax rates of 28% and 34% ...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before-tax Discount Rate</th>
<th>5-year Property 28%</th>
<th>7-year Property 28%</th>
<th>5-year Property 34%</th>
<th>7-year Property 34%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>.75981</td>
<td>.76989</td>
<td>.70476</td>
<td>.71623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>.76413</td>
<td>.77510</td>
<td>.71020</td>
<td>.72219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>.76832</td>
<td>.78012</td>
<td>.71445</td>
<td>.72794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>.77238</td>
<td>.78496</td>
<td>.72276</td>
<td>.72250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>.77633</td>
<td>.78963</td>
<td>.72361</td>
<td>.73888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once developed, multipliers can be used to quickly estimate the after-tax present value of capitalized costs that are recovered through depreciation.

An assumption used in calculating the multipliers shown here is that the after tax discount rate is (Before tax Rate)(1–Tax Rate) … see page 6.22.
6.1 Income taxes (continued)

After-tax costs (continued)

Problem 6.8

If you purchase a pickup truck for use in your business for $26,500, what’s the “effective” cost on an after-tax basis? Assume a 34% tax rate and a before-tax cost of capital of 8%. [This means the after-tax cost of capital is \((1-0.34)(0.08) = 5.28\%\) using the “rule-of-thumb” adjustment described on page 6.22.] For this problem, use the depreciation schedule for five-year property shown in the text box on page 6.16.

\[
\text{Answer} = 18,676.11
\]

Example 6.8

In Problem 6.8, the “effective” cost of a pickup truck was calculated. The cost can also be calculated using a pre-calculated multiplier for 5-year property.

The cost of the pickup truck in after-tax present value terms can be calculated using the multiplier on page 6.18 for 5-year property, a 34% tax rate, and an 8% before-tax discount rate:

\[
\text{Effective cost} = (26,500)(0.70476) = 18,676.14
\]
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

**After-tax costs** (continued)

Earlier in this subsection we stated that federal income tax incentives were available for qualified reforestation expenditures. The after-tax cost of reforestation, considering the tax incentives, are determined in exactly the same way that we determined the after-tax cost of equipment and other assets whose costs are recovered through depreciation. In this subsection we therefore include the following example calculation of the after-tax cost of reforestation.

Although the exact provisions of the tax law that relate to reforestation may change, our purpose is to demonstrate the general method used to calculate after-tax reforestation costs. For this demonstration, we assume:

- Total reforestation costs are less than or equal to $10,000.
- The landowner claims a 10% tax credit and amortizes 95% of the reforestation expenses. The amortization is 1/14th of 95% of the expenses in the first tax year, 1/7th of 95% in each of the next six tax years, and 1/14th in the last tax year.
- Reforestation expenses are incurred toward the end of the tax year. (If we had assumed the expenses were toward the beginning of the tax year we’d discount the tax savings from years 1–8 rather than years 0–7.)
- Any cost-shares received are not included as taxable income.

As a specific example, assume a landowner with a discount rate of 10%, a 28% marginal tax rate, and an opportunity to receive 50% cost-shares. If this landowner spends $10,000 on reforestation in November/December we can estimate the “effective” or after-tax cost as itemized in the example on page 6.21.

Notice that the landowner immediately (year 0) receives $5,000 in cost sharing, a $500 tax credit, and an additional reduction in taxes of $95. He also receives tax savings from deductions in each of the next seven years.
In the example calculation below, where did the $95 savings in year 0 come from? The expense after cost-sharing was $5,000, and the landowner is allowed to deduct 1/14 of 95% of that amount. This saves him the 28% in taxes he would have paid without the deduction. The year 0 tax savings are therefore (1/14)(.95)(5,000)(.28) = $95.00. The present value of this savings is $95.00 because we assumed the expense was toward the end of the tax year, i.e., the savings are almost immediate, so the $95 is considered to be in year 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Savings</th>
<th>Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cost-share</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10% Credit</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1/14)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>177.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>165.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>154.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>143.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>134.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>125.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1/14)(.95)(5,000)(.28)</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>58.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Present Value of Savings = $6,533.46

Effective Cost = $10,000 – $6,533.46 = $3,466.54

Again, the above example simply demonstrates the general method used to calculate the after-tax present value of reforestation costs with specific assumptions about federal tax incentives, cost-shares, etc.

In other applications, there may be no cost-shares, a different tax rate, a different schedule of deductions, etc., but the basic procedure would be the same. The total present value of savings from tax credits, deductions, and cost-shares should be subtracted from the initial out-of-pocket reforestation expense. The present value of the savings must be determined because some of the tax savings occur in the future.

Multipliers for effective reforestation costs can also be developed – see Bullard and Straka (1985), for example. In many “real world” analyses where the after-tax cost of reforestation must be estimated, computer programs are used.
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

After-tax discount rate

The after-tax discount rate can be estimated simply by multiplying the before-tax rate by \((1 - \text{tax rate})\).

Interest paid on business-related loans is deductible from income for tax purposes. The interest is deducted in the year it’s paid, i.e., it is expensed, and the after-tax “cost” of interest is therefore determined exactly like the after-tax cost of any other expensed item:

\[
\text{After-tax Discount Rate} = (\text{Before-tax Rate}) (1 - \text{Tax Rate})
\]

Example 6.9

What is a landowner’s estimated after-tax discount rate if the before-tax rate is 12%? The marginal tax rate is 28%.

\[
\text{After-tax Rate} = (0.12)(1 - 0.28) = 8.64\%
\]

Example 6.10

Assume that you’ve calculated an after-tax rate of return of 6.3% for a specific forestry investment. You included inflation in the analysis. Your estimated ROR is therefore after-taxes, with inflation. Is this rate comparable to 8% interest that can be earned at a bank?

Considering only taxes and inflation (ignoring risk, liquidity and other potential considerations), the rates aren’t comparable until both are either after-tax or before-tax, and both are either with or without inflation.

\[
\text{After-tax bank rate} = (0.08)(1 - 0.28) = 5.76\%
\]

In this case, the forestry ROR was estimated as 6.3% after taxes, with inflation. The bank rate is with inflation, but is not on an after-tax basis. We can, however, make the bank rate comparable by converting it to an equivalent after-tax rate.

The above method of adjusting discount rates for income taxes was termed the “rule-of-thumb” method by Campbell and Colletti (1990). These authors investigated the rule-of-thumb’s accuracy, and concluded that it is “generally satisfactory” for “the private landowner whose investment alternatives (other than the forestry practice under evaluation) are limited to opportunities such as land rental, taxable bonds, or bank certificates of deposit.”

The rule-of-thumb discount rate adjustment for taxes should only be applied to discount rates that are specified in nominal (inflated) terms. Formulas to adjust real interest rates for taxes are presented in Bullard, Straka, and Caulfield (2001). A simple three-step process to adjust discount rates for income taxes and inflation, including adjusting real rates for taxes, is presented in Bullard and Gunter (2000).
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

❖ Summary of after-tax analysis

The Examples and Problems in Section 6.2 demonstrated “steps” to follow in after-tax analysis. For more information on income taxes and forestry investments, see the references listed in the following subsection.

To account for taxes in forestry or other investment analysis, convert all costs and revenues to an after-tax basis, and calculate all present values using an after-tax discount rate. If the investment has costs that must be capitalized for tax purposes, the discount rate and all costs and revenues should include inflation.

Specific steps for after-tax analysis ...

1. Convert taxable revenues to after-tax revenues:

   After-tax revenue = (Before-tax revenue)(1 − Tax rate)

   • If the taxable revenue is from a timber sale and a depletion allowance applies, the depletion allowance should be subtracted from the timber sale income before multiplying by (1 − Tax rate).

   • If the taxable revenue is from the sale of land, or land and timber together, deduct the capitalized costs in the land account and the timber account from the revenues before multiplying by (1 − Tax rate).

2. For all costs other than the capitalized costs of land and/or timber, convert costs to after-tax costs:

   • If the costs can be expensed …

     After-tax cost = (Before-tax cost)(1 − Tax rate)

   • If the costs must be capitalized (like buildings, equipment, or reforestation) …

     calculate the after-tax present value of costs by discounting all future tax savings to the present and subtracting their total from the original expense incurred.

3. Use an after-tax discount rate to calculate NPV, B/C or other financial criteria (using the after-tax revenues and costs from steps 1 and 2):

   After-tax discount rate = (Before-tax rate) (1 − tax rate)

   This adjustment is a “rule-of-thumb” that should only be applied to nominal (inflated) interest rates.


Section 6. Inflation and taxes – page 6.24

6.2 Income taxes (continued)

❖Income tax references


6.2 Income taxes (continued)

Income tax references (continued)


Section 6. Inflation and taxes

6.1 Inflation

The most important aspect of accounting for inflation in forest valuation and investment analysis is consistency. Inflation should either be included or excluded (consistently) in the discount rate and in all costs and revenues. If you’re consistent, whether you include or exclude inflation won’t impact your analysis results, unless the analysis is on an after-tax basis and capitalized costs are involved. If capitalized costs are involved, the analysis is most accurate if inflation is included and if the analysis is on an after-tax basis.

There are many examples of inconsistent treatment of inflation in forest valuation and investment analysis. A common mistake is to use an inflated discount rate (such as the rate paid by a bank) in an analysis where timber-related costs and revenues are uninflated.

If inflation is included in an analysis, the costs, revenues and discount rate are referred to as “nominal” or in “current dollars;” if inflation is excluded they’re said to be “real” or in “constant dollar” terms.

To include inflation in an analysis …

Multiply all future costs and revenues that are specified in today’s dollars, i.e., in real or “constant dollar” terms, by \((1 + f)^n\) and use a discount rate that includes inflation (in the factor above, “f” represents the rate of inflation in decimal percent).

To exclude inflation in an analysis …

Specify all future costs and revenues in “constant dollar” terms and use the real rate of interest as the discount rate:

\[ r = \frac{1 + i}{1 + f} - 1 \]

6.2 Income taxes

Section 6.2 emphasizes correct methods of after-tax analysis rather than specifics of current income tax regulations and rulings. To consider an investment after taxes, all revenues and costs should be converted to an after-tax basis, and an after-tax discount rate should be used.

\[ \text{After-tax revenues} = \left( \frac{\text{Before-tax revenue}}{1 - \text{Tax rate}} \right) \]

6.3 Review of Section 6
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

† After-tax revenues (continued)
In calculating after-tax revenues, the appropriate income tax rate to use is the “marginal” rate, i.e., the tax rate paid on “additional” or “marginal” income.

† After-tax costs
Legitimate business expenses are deductible from taxable income, and a tax savings is therefore associated with such expenses. The after-tax cost of deductible expenditures is therefore less than their cost on a before-tax basis. There are two broad types of deductible expenditures, those that may be “expensed” and those that must be “capitalized:”

• Expensed costs – Costs like salaries, wages, utilities, and property taxes can be deducted entirely in the tax year in which they occur. Their after-tax cost is …

\[
\text{After-tax cost} = (\text{Before-tax cost})(1 - \text{Tax rate})
\]

• Capitalized costs – Some costs must be assigned to a capital account or “basis;” they are not deducted entirely in the tax year in which they occur. They’re deducted over time in three ways …

1. Certain resource-based assets like oil, gas, and timber - costs are deducted as the asset is used or “depleted.” The basis is called the “basis for depletion” or the “depletion account.” In the case of timber it may also be referred as the “timber account.”

2. “Non-wasting” assets like land - costs are deducted when the asset is sold.

3. “Wasting” assets like buildings and equipment - costs are deducted as the asset depreciates (based on a defined schedule of depreciation).

When timberland is inherited or purchased, the asset has both timber and land (numbers one and two above). The timberland’s total value when acquired must be allocated to a land account and to a timber account, and the timber account may have subaccounts for premerchantable and merchantable timber.
6.2 Income taxes (continued)

❖After-tax costs (continued)
When timber is sold, a depletion allowance is calculated, based on the basis for depletion and the amount of timber sold. The depletion allowance is deducted from the timber sale revenue in determining income tax liability for the sale. After this deduction, the basis for depletion is “adjusted;” the new or adjusted basis for depletion is the former basis minus the depletion allowance that was claimed.

The third type of capitalized cost was “wasting” assets like buildings and equipment. For these asset types, costs are deducted as they depreciate in value and usefulness over time. Income tax regulations and rulings specify the depreciation schedule, or how these deductions are to be made for different types of assets.

With capitalized assets like equipment, the after-tax cost is calculated by discounting the tax savings (from each year’s depreciation deduction) to the present using an after-tax discount rate – their total is subtracted from the item’s before-tax cost:

\[
\left( \text{After-tax cost} \right) = \left( \text{Before-tax cost} \right) - \sum \frac{(\text{Tax rate})(\text{Deduction})^n}{(1 + i)^n}
\]

There are federal income tax incentives for reforestation. For landowners that qualify, the after-tax cost of reforestation can be calculated using the same procedure for after-tax equipment costs. These incentives, availability of cost-share payments, and other factors involved with reforestation vary over time and in different areas. To calculate the “effective” cost of reforestation for a landowner, simply discount all of the savings to year zero and subtract them from the out-of-pocket costs.

❖After-tax discount rate
The third step in after-tax analysis is to use an after-tax discount rate:

\[
\text{After-tax Discount Rate} = (\text{Before-tax Rate}) \times (1 - \text{Tax Rate})
\]

This “rule-of-thumb” adjustment should only be made to nominal interest rates. Section 6 concludes with a one-page ❖Summary of after-tax analysis, and a subsection titled ❖Income tax references. Again, the objective of Section 6 was to introduce and demonstrate basic methods of after-tax analysis, rather than to present the specifics of current income tax provisions that relate to forest-related assets.
Forests are comprised of two basic assets – land and timber – and foresters may be called on to estimate the market value of these assets for several reasons. Value estimates may be necessary because timber and/or land is being offered for sale, but there are also many cases where value estimates are necessary although a sale isn’t planned. An example is inherited property that must be divided into a timber account and a land account for income tax purposes.

In many cases there is a need to distinguish between timber assets and timberland assets in estimating the monetary value of forests. From formal rules on maintaining a land account and a timber account for income tax purposes, for example, to informal “rules of thumb” sometimes used in forest valuation, timber and land are often considered separately in estimating forest value.
7.1 Introduction (continued)

Although forests can have many "values," our focus is the monetary value of forests that are used for commercial timber production. Section 7 includes techniques for estimating the monetary value of timberland, and techniques for estimating the monetary value of standing timber.

In estimating the monetary value of land, and in estimating the value of timber for future harvest, we estimate present values using discounted cash flow techniques to account for the time value of money.

7.2 Valuation of timberland

- LEV for even-aged management …
  Here we present standard techniques for using the Land Expectation Value (LEV) criterion to estimate the value of land that's used for even-aged timber production.

- LEV for uneven-aged management …
  Here we present a technique for using LEV to estimate forest value assuming uneven-aged management. With uneven-aged management, land and timber values are determined together rather than separately.

7.3 Valuation of standing timber

- Liquidation value of timber …
  The liquidation value of standing timber is the stumpage value for immediate harvest. In this definition, the word "immediate" is relative; this subsection is a brief discussion of estimating the value of timber that will be harvested relatively soon – soon enough that the time value of money isn’t a factor in estimating the timber’s harvest value today.

- Valuation of immature timber …
  This includes precommercial timber, and timber that may be merchantable, but hasn’t yet reached the size or age where harvest is planned. In this case, harvest is expected in the future, and the future is far enough from the present that the time value of money must be considered in estimating the timber’s present value.

A note on the “value” of forests …

A cynic has been described as “A man who knows the price of everything and the value of nothing.”* Throughout Section 7, we refer to estimating the “value” of forests, but our actual focus is limited to estimating the monetary value of forests used for commercial timber production. Rather than “knowing the price but not the value,” however, we recognize that forests very often do have other monetary and non monetary values. Some of these are extremely “valuable” to individuals, corporations, and society; many of these values, of course, are very difficult or impossible to measure in monetary terms. (See Tanglely** for an introductory discussion, or Costanza et al.*** for an in-depth treatment of the value of forests on a global scale.)

* From Act 3 of Lady Windermere’s Fan by Oscar Wilde (1892).
### 7.2 Valuation of timberland

- **LEV for even-aged management** ...
- **LEV for uneven-aged management** ...

The LEV criterion can be used to estimate the value of bare land if the land is used for even-aged forest management, i.e., to produce a perpetual series of identical timber rotations. LEV can also be used, however, to estimate the value of land and timber in uneven-aged management. With uneven aged management, the value of land and the value of timber aren’t separated in the valuation process.

Figure 7.1 defines and illustrates the steps involved in calculating LEV. [Figure 7.1 is a replication of Figure 4.5 on page 4.18.]

#### Figure 7.1. Land Expectation Value

To calculate LEV:

1) Determine all of the costs and revenues associated with the first rotation of timber (the first cutting cycle if uneven-aged management is used). These values should include initial costs of planting, site preparation, etc., as well as all subsequent costs and revenues. Land cost should not be included, however. In calculating LEV you’re estimating the value of land for growing timber.

2) Place the first rotation’s (or cutting cycle’s) costs and revenues on a time-line and, using the necessary compound interest formulas, compound all of them to the end of the rotation (or cutting cycle). Subtract the costs from the revenues to obtain a net value at the end of the first period.

3) Assuming a perpetual series of identical n-year rotations (or cutting cycles), the “net value” for the land’s first period can be expected every n years forever…

We therefore calculate “Land Expectation Value” by using the **Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series** formula (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1):

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\text{Net Value in Year } n}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

\[\triangle\]

**LEV** is usually calculated on a per acre basis. It must be calculated for a specific site, for a given species, and assuming a specific management regime and rotation age (even-aged management) or cutting cycle (uneven-aged management).

Since we’re discounting all future cash flows to the present, LEV calculation is an “income capitalization” approach to timberland valuation. [See the text box on page 7.6.]

---

*For more information on LEV, see Section 4.2 Financial criteria, and Section 4.3 Which criterion is best?*

What can cause market values for timberland to differ from calculated LEVs? An answer to this question is included with the answer to Problem 7.1 (on page 10.16).
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

LeV for even-aged management

Example 7.1

You are estimating the market value of an inherited property for income tax purposes. The stumpage value of the timber has been determined, but you also need an estimate of the market value of the land. Assuming that timber production is the “highest and best” use of the land, calculate an LeV using $i = 6\%$, and using the following timber production assumptions.

With even-aged management on this specific tract, you expect the following timber-related revenues and costs during the first rotation:

- Thinning income at age 18 = $400/acre
- Thinning income at age 25 = $800/acre
- Final harvest income at age 30 = $2,800/acre

You project the following costs of production:

- Stand establishment = $120/acre in year 0
- Property taxes and management expenses = $5.00/acre/year

Costs compounded to year 30:

\[
\$120(1.06)^{30} + \$5 \left[ \frac{(1.06)^{30} - 1}{0.06} \right] = \$1,084.51/acre
\]

Revenues compounded to year 30:

\[
\$400(1.06)^{12} + \$800(1.06)^{5} + \$2,800 = \$4,675.46/acre
\]

Net value of the first rotation in year 30:

\[
\$4,675.46 - \$1,084.51 = \$3,590.95/acre
\]

Assuming the first rotation can be repeated (with identical costs and revenues) every 30 years forever, the $3,590.95/acre net value is a perpetual periodic series. We can expect the land to produce this amount every 30 years in perpetuity. The last step in calculating LeV is to use the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series formula (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1) to calculate Present Value:

\[
\text{LeV} = \left[ \frac{3,590.95}{(1.06)^{30} - 1} \right] = \$757.03/acre
\]

Given the assumptions above, the inherited land has a value of $757.03/acre. If you paid that price for bare land and experienced the costs and revenues projected, your timberland investment would earn a 6\% rate of return.
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

\*LEV for even-aged management\* (continued)

**Problem 7.1**

LEV for lower site quality land …

In Example 7.1, what if the LEV calculated applies to high site quality lands, but also in the inheritance were lands only capable of producing 75% of the high-site yields? What is the estimated market value of the lower site quality land? [Note that on lower site quality land, the highest LEV would probably result from using a management regime that’s different than the one projected for high-site land. For this problem, however, assume the same rotation length, thinning schedule, etc., that was used in Example 7.1.]

For this Problem, the revenues are 75% of those shown in Example 7.1.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\text{Year} & \text{Revenue} & \text{Cost} \\
0 & $0 & 0 \\
18 & $300 & -$120 \\
25 & $600 & \\
30 & $2,100 & \\
\end{array}
\]

\(\text{Annual costs of } $5/\text{acre beginning in year 1}\)

\(\text{Answer} = $550.61/\text{acre, as shown on page 10.16.}\)

LEV estimates are sensitive to all of the assumptions used in the calculations. These assumptions include prices, yields, costs, and the interest rate. For a discussion of these and other factors that affect LEV estimates, see page 4.19.
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

**LEV for even-aged management** (continued)

Real estate appraisers typically use three approaches in estimating property values: cost-less-depreciation, comparable sales, and income capitalization (Smith and Corgel 1992).

How relevant are these approaches to estimating the value of forest-based assets?

- **Cost-less-depreciation**
  Timber and timberland don’t depreciate over time, so this valuation approach is not applicable in forest valuation.

- **Comparable sales (or “Transactions evidence”)**
  The comparable sales approach to estimating timberland value is considered reliable, and this method is generally preferred by the IRS for establishing a forested property’s basis for deduction (Siegel 1997). In many cases, however, information isn’t available on recent sales that are truly comparable to a specific forest property. Compared to other types of real property, timberland transactions are relatively infrequent, and land and timber price information isn’t available for many transactions that occur. Perhaps the biggest factor that limits the usefulness of the comparable sales approach in timber and timberland valuation, however, is finding sales that are truly comparable. Timber prices change seasonally due to weather and accessibility, and they can change dramatically in a short time due to shifts in supply and/or demand. Also affecting comparability of specific sales is the fact that many variables impact the value to buyers of a specific tract of timber and/or timberland at a given time. Land value, for example, is affected by potential productivity and operability, while standing timber values are affected by species, volume, and other characteristics that are highly variable from tract to tract.

  The comparable sales approach is sometimes used to estimate the value of forest resources other than stumpage. For example, in Chapter 47. Appraising Forest Resource Value, Duerr (1993) cites reports that use the comparable sales approach in appraising urban forests and trees (Anderson and Cordell 1985), forested views from urban residential lots (Magill and Schwargz 1989), and water (Brown 1982).

- **Income capitalization**
  Income capitalization techniques can be used to estimate the value of most timber and timberland assets. Techniques that use LEV or other discounted cash flow criteria to estimate the value of land and timber, for example, are simply means of discounting all future net income to the present using compound interest to account for the time value of projected costs and revenues. As a concept, LEV was developed by a German appraiser, Martin Faustmann (1849), to estimate the value of bare land for use in timber production.
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

\*LEV for uneven-aged management\*

With uneven-aged management, land and timber are considered together in the forest valuation process. Uneven-aged timber stands have trees of different ages, with “mature” trees selectively harvested on a periodic basis. A reserve growing stock is permanently maintained, and growth from this reserve is harvested periodically. The land and timber (together) are a perpetual timber producing “factory,” and bare land never exists. In the forest valuation process, therefore, land and timber values are estimated jointly rather than separately.

With uneven-aged management, timber harvests may be scheduled each year, or they may occur after each period of “n” years. With an annual cutting cycle, the timberland’s projected cash flow stream is a perpetual annual series. LEV is simply the present value of the perpetual annual series (using Formula 5 in Figure 3.1):

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{a}{i}
\]

Where:
- \(a\) = net annual income, and
- \(i\) = interest rate in decimal percent.

Example 7.2

LEV assuming uneven-aged management and an annual cutting cycle …

This Example is presented at the forest level, but it could easily have been presented on a per acre level.

Consider a 700-acre tract of timber that produces an average harvest of 200 board feet of sawtimber per acre per year. Sawtimber is worth $350 per thousand board feet, and the property has management expenses and property taxes that amount to $2,800 per year. What’s the timberland’s estimated value using a discount rate of 6.5%?

The projected annual revenue for the property is:

\[
\text{Annual Revenue} = (.200 \text{ MBF}) \times ($350/\text{MBF}) \times (700 \text{ acres}) = $49,000
\]

Considering the annual management expenses and taxes, the property’s net annual revenue is:

\[
\text{Net Annual Revenue} = $49,000 - $2,800 = $46,200
\]

Using a 6.5% discount rate, the LEV for this tract is:

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{$46,200}{.065} = $710,769.23
\]

Notice that the timberland’s estimated value depends on projected prices, yields, costs, and all of the other assumptions in the analysis. “Sensitivity analysis” is often used in LEV calculations to evaluate the importance of individual assumptions. LEV calculations are particularly sensitive to the interest rate used, as shown in Example 7.3 on page 7.9. [“Sensitivity analysis” concepts are discussed on page 5.4.]
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

❖ LEV for uneven-aged management (continued)

With a non-annual cutting cycle, the valuation process applies the formula for the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1).

Now consider uneven-aged stands on a non-annual cutting cycle: in this case, timber revenues are expected every “n” years forever. The standard LEV calculation illustrated in Figure 7.1 (page 7.3) is appropriate in this case. The formula shown in Figure 7.1 is:

\[
LEV = \frac{\text{Net Value in Year } n}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

With uneven-aged management, the “net value in year n” in this formula is calculated by compounding annual costs and revenues (if any) to the end of the first cutting cycle and aggregating them with the net timber revenue expected from selective harvesting in year n:

LEV is simply the present value of a perpetual series of periodic “net values.” For cases that have annual costs and/or revenues during the cutting cycle, we can write an expanded formula for LEV where the numerator represents the periodic “net value:”

\[
LEV = \frac{\left[ \text{Net Timber Revenue} \right] + a \left( \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i} \right)}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

Where: Net Timber Revenue is the timber revenue expected every “n” years, and
\( a = \) annual revenues (added to net timber revenue) and/or annual costs (subtracted from net timber revenue).

LEV can be calculated at the forest level (as in Example 7.2 on the previous page), or it can be calculated on a per acre basis (as in Example 7.3 on the next page).
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

*LEV for uneven-aged management* (continued)

**Example 7.3**

Consider a 2,000 acre forest that produces $350 of net timber revenue per acre every five years (beginning five years from now). Annual management fees and property taxes are $3.00 per acre per year, and there’s a hunting lease that provides an income of $5.00 per acre per year. What’s the timberland’s estimated value using a discount rate of 7%?

Since the $5 per year income series and the $3 per year cost series occur throughout the time-line, we can save effort in this Example by using

\[ \text{Net Annual Income} = \$5 - \$3 = \$2 \]

The expanded formula on page 7.8 can be used to calculate LEV using $2 as a net revenue each year:

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\$350 + \$2 \left( \frac{(1.07)^5 - 1}{.07} \right)}{(1.07)^5 - 1} = \$898.02/acre
\]

The estimated value of the timberland is $898.02 per acre. As stated with earlier Examples, this estimate is sensitive to all of the cost and revenue assumptions, as well as the discount rate used in the analysis.

Listed below are LEV estimates for this Example using different discount rates ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discount Rate</th>
<th>Estimated Timberland Value (LEV per acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$2,264.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$1,306.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$898.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>$672.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>$529.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice that the timberland’s estimated value decreases as the discount rate is increased. When we increase the discount rate we’re discounting future net revenues to the present at a higher rate, so they’re smaller in present value terms.
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

\*LEV for uneven-aged management* (continued)

Problem 7.2

LEV for timberland in uneven-aged management with a non-annual cutting cycle …

What’s the estimated value per acre of an uneven-aged forest given the following assumptions?
- Cutting cycle = 10 years
- Annual cost = $9/acre
- Projected net timber revenue = $600 every 10 years
- Discount rate = 8%
- The next harvest is 10 years from now.

*(Answer = $405.22/acre, as shown on page 10.17.)*
In the “real world” of business activity, it may be necessary to estimate timberland value for an uneven aged forest that is “off cycle.” That is, future harvests are expected after each n-year period, but the next harvest is less than “n” years away. In this case, the timber stand is somewhere between harvests, rather than at the beginning of a cutting cycle.

In Example 7.3 and in Problem 7.2 we assumed that the next timber harvest was “n” years in the future. This means that we’re estimating the value of the timberland at the very beginning of a cutting cycle. What if we’re not at the beginning of a cutting cycle? In the “real world” this is very often the case.

For example, what if the timberland is managed on a 10-year cutting cycle, and the next scheduled harvest is only three years away? In this case, should you simply calculate an LEV like we did in Example 7.3 and then compound it for seven years? This approach is sometimes used, but it will calculate the estimated value correctly only if there are no annual costs or revenues on the time-line.

To develop a general method, i.e., one that allows annual costs and revenues, let’s start by developing a general cash-flow diagram for an uneven-aged stand between cutting cycles. First, if “k” is the number of years since the last periodic harvest, we expect to harvest a certain net timber revenue in “n – k” years:

\[ LEV + \text{Net Timber Revenue} \]

\[ k = \text{#years since the last harvest} \]
\[ n - k = \text{#years remaining until the next harvest} \]
\[ n = \text{#years in the cutting cycle} \]

After year n, we begin a new cutting cycle, and this is expected to continue in perpetuity; this part of the infinite cash-flow diagram can be represented by adding LEV to the “Net Timber Revenue” expected in year n …
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

❖ LEV for uneven-aged management (continued)

Finally, we should allow annual costs and/or revenues to occur between today and year “n” on the time-line. Annual costs and revenues can be compounded to year “n,” and the total (Net Timber Revenue + LEV + compounded costs and revenues) can then be discounted to the present (a period of n – k years):

\[
\text{Estimated Value of an Uneven-aged Forest That's Between Cutting Cycles} = \frac{\text{Net Timber Revenue} + a \left( \frac{(1 + i)^{n-k} - 1}{i} \right) + \text{LEV}}{(1 + i)^{n-k}}
\]

Where:
- Net Timber Revenue is the timber revenue expected every “n” years,
- \( a \) = annual revenues (plus sign) and/or annual costs (minus sign),
- \( n \) = cutting cycle length,
- \( k \) = number of years since the last harvest, and
- LEV is calculated as shown on page 7.8.

That is …

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\text{Net Timber Revenue} + a \left( \frac{(1 + i)^{n} - 1}{i} \right)}{(1 + i)^{n} - 1}
\]

Example 7.4

In Example 7.3 we estimated a timberland value of $898.02 per acre for uneven-aged timberland at the beginning of a five-year cutting cycle. What’s the estimated value of this forest if it’s been three years since the last selective harvest?

In Example 7.3 the following values were used:
- Net Timber Revenue = $350/acre
- Net Annual Revenue = $2/acre
- Discount Rate = 7%
- Cutting Cycle = 5 years

Our cash-flow diagram shows that “today” is year three in the five-year cutting cycle:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc}
0 & & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline
\text{Today} & (k = 3) & & & & & \\
\text{n-k = 2} & & & & & & \\
\text{Estimated Value} & & & & & & \\
\text{When we use LEV = $898.02, we assume that the $350 will be repeated every five years, and the $2 will be received every year in perpetuity.}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Estimated Value} &= \frac{\$350 + \$2 \left( \frac{(1.07)^{2} - 1}{0.07} \right) + \$898.02}{(1.07)^{2}} \\
&= \frac{\$350 + \$2 \left( \frac{1.14641 - 1}{0.07} \right) + \$898.02}{1.14641} \\
&= \frac{\$350 + \$2 \left( 20.6630143 ight) + \$898.02}{1.14641} \\
&= \frac{\$350 + \$41.3260286 + \$898.02}{1.14641} \\
&= \frac{\$1,290.38}{1.14641} \\
&= \$1,109.36/acre
\end{align*}
\]
7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

*LEV for uneven-aged management* (continued)

As stated on page 7.11, compounding LEV for an “off-cycle” uneven-aged will yield the correct estimated value only if the time line has no annual costs or revenues.

In Example 7.4, the estimated timberland value is $1,093.69/acre, and note that this is not the same as simply compounding LEV for three years:

\[ \text{LEV} \times (1+i)^3 \]

The error ($6.42 in this Example) occurs because compounding LEV in Example 7.4 would assume that the annual revenues of $2 that occurred in year 1, year 2, and year 3 of the present cutting cycle are relevant to the forest’s value today. Notice in the cash-flow diagram in Example 7.4 that there are no revenues above these years on the time-line; they occurred before today and should therefore not be considered in the forest valuation process.

In Example 7.4 the error from estimating timberland value by calculating LEV and compounding it for three years is relatively small ($6.42/acre). In many “real world” cases, however, the error can be significant. For example, in an analysis involving a forest that’s in year eight of a 10 year cutting cycle, with $10/acre annual revenues and using \( i = 8\% \), simply compounding LEV to year eight would result in an estimated forest value that’s $106.37/acre too high.

The error from not using the general formula on page 7.12 can be significant.

**Problem 7.3**

Estimated value of timberland in uneven-aged management, assuming the stand is in year six of a 10-year cutting cycle ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What’s the estimated value per acre of an uneven-aged forest given the following assumptions?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cutting cycle = 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual revenue = $12/acre; Annual cost = $4/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected net timber revenue = $750 every 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate = 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The next harvest is 4 years from now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Answer = $1,008.78/acre, as shown on page 10.17.)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
Section 7.3 Valuation of standing timber

Foresters are frequently called on to estimate the value of standing timber. In many cases, of course, the timber’s value must be estimated because it’s being offered for sale.

In other cases, a market value estimate is needed even though the timber isn’t for sale. Timberland that’s inherited, for example, must be divided into a land account and a timber account for income tax purposes. Estimating these values establishes the land’s basis for deduction and the timber’s basis for depletion (as discussed in Section 6.2 Income taxes). These account values are to be based on the estimated market value of the timber and the estimated market value of the land at the time the timberland was acquired.

If you’re estimating the value of standing timber whose size or age is appropriate for harvest, the time value of money isn’t a factor in the valuation process. The merchantable timber’s value can be estimated as a “liquidation value,” without discounting future revenues to the present. If you’re estimating the value of timber stands that are precommercial, however, or timber that could be sold but hasn’t yet reached the size or age where harvest is planned, a valuation process is needed that accounts for the time value of money.

Section 7.3 therefore has two subsections:

- Liquidation value of timber
- Valuation of immature timber.

**Liquidation value of timber**

The words “standing timber” and “stumpage” are used synonymously in this discussion.

“Liquidation value” is the estimated amount of money that would be received for immediate sale of an asset (Klemperer 1987). For standing timber, liquidation value is the stumpage value for immediate harvest. In this definition, note that the word “immediate” is relative. This subsection is a very brief discussion of the process of estimating the stumpage value of timber that will be “liquidated” or harvested “relatively soon.” How soon? “Relatively soon” simply means soon enough that the time value of money doesn’t need to be considered in estimating the timber’s harvest value today.

Liquidation value also applies to timber stands that aren’t currently scheduled for harvest, but whose value must be estimated for establishing their basis for depletion. In this case, the market value of merchantable timber is “liquidation value of the trees on the date of valuation rather than the timber’s potential value at some future time” (Siegel 1997).
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

Liquidation value of timber (continued)

Liquidation value is estimated by multiplying stumpage prices by standing timber quantities. Many factors can influence stumpage price estimates for the timber on a specific tract at a specific time. The liquidation value of standing timber is estimated by multiplying timber prices by timber quantities (Figure 7.1).

Figure 7.1. The process of estimating standing timber’s liquidation value.

\[
\text{Estimated Liquidation Value of a Timber Stand} = \sum \text{Price} \times \text{Quantity} 
\]

The basic valuation process is the summation of (Price x Quantity), where the summation is for the species groups and product classes represented in the timber stand.

For a specific stand, estimating timber quantities in various product classes is a straightforward process using standard methods of forest inventory. Estimating stumpage prices that are appropriate for a specific timber stand at a given time, however, can be more difficult. Standing timber prices are sometimes considered to be “residual,” i.e., what’s left over when all harvesting costs, processing and manufacturing costs, etc., are subtracted from the lumber and other salable product values that are projected to be processed from a particular stand.

The price a buyer is willing to offer a seller for standing timber on a specific tract is therefore influenced by many factors:

- Factors that influence the value of the final products obtained from the standing timber on a tract – interest rates, prevailing economic conditions, consumer preferences, and other factors affect the demand for forest products over time. Changes in demand impact the prices manufacturers receive for 2x4s, plywood, and other specific forest products over time.

- Factors that influence the buyer’s costs of harvesting, transporting, and converting the timber to salable products – terrain, weather, timber volume, government regulations, harvest restrictions, etc.

Although not-so-simple in practice, the basic process of estimating the liquidation value of timber is relatively straightforward. Standing timber marketing is also an extremely important process, and references on timber marketing are included in Section 7.6 References on forest valuation.
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

**Valuation of immature timber**

Two methods are often used to estimate the value of precommercial timber. [See Foster (1986a) in the list of references on page 7.21.]

- “Buyer’s value” is based on discounting all of the projected timber sale revenues to the present, often using the current market interest rate or an estimate of expected interest rates over the projection period. This value represents the maximum a buyer would be willing to pay for a precommercial stand of timber.

- “Seller’s value” is based on compounding all production costs at a specified interest rate, usually the historical market rate over the time period involved. This represents a minimum value for the seller, i.e., the seller would accept no less than this compounded value.

Obviously, when compounding or discounting over the long time periods involved in many forestry investments, the choice of an interest rate is crucial to the valuation process. In many cases, buyer’s and seller’s values are determined using different interest rates, but even if the same interest rate is used, inconsistent results may occur.

As will be discussed, if the interest rate used for immature stand valuation is the internal rate of return (ROR) of the timber investment, the buyer’s value will equal the seller’s value at every stand age.

Listed below is a typical cash flow generated by a southern pine plantation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cash Flow Per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Site Prep/Planting</td>
<td>– $150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–25</td>
<td>Annual Property Tax and Management Fee</td>
<td>– $3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–25</td>
<td>Annual Hunting Lease</td>
<td>+ $3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Net Harvest Revenue</td>
<td>+ $2,550.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we assume that annual expenses equal annual revenues (a reasonable assumption that doesn’t affect the analysis). Also, intermediate costs and intermediate harvest revenues are not projected; this allows us to use simple graphics, but doesn’t impact the analytical results.
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

Valuation of immature timber (continued)

What’s the estimated value of a 12-year-old southern pine plantation? Using the cash-flow assumptions on the previous page, at 8% the timber has a “buyer’s value” at age 12 of $937.63/acre:

\[
\text{Buyer’s value} = \frac{\$2,550}{1.08^{12}} = \$937.63
\]

Compounding the stand’s establishment cost to age 12, however, results in a “seller’s value” of $377.73/acre:

\[
\text{Seller’s Value} = \$150(1.08)^{12} = \$377.73
\]

In this example, the $559.90 difference between the buyer’s value and the seller’s value represents the inconsistency mentioned on the previous page. Unless the interest rate used to calculate the buyer’s value and the seller’s value is the ROR of the underlying timberland investment, this inconsistency will occur. As illustrated in Figure 7.2, using the example investment’s ROR = 12%, buyer’s

Figure 7.2. Buyer’s and seller’s values for the southern pine plantation example.

At stand age 12, there is a $559.90 difference between the buyer’s value and the seller’s value calculated using 8% interest. Using the investment’s 12% ROR, however, both methods result in a timber value estimate of $584.40 at age 12. [Note, however, that this estimate ignores the opportunity cost of land discussed on the next page.]
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

- Valuation of immature timber (continued)

Where did we obtain the investment’s ROR of 12%? Since this example has only one cost ($150 in year 0) and one revenue ($2,550 in year 25), the ROR can be calculated easily using Formula 11 in Figure 3.1:

\[
ROR = \left( \frac{\text{Revenue}}{\text{Cost}} \right)^{1/\text{Years}} - 1 = \frac{2,550}{150}^{1/25} - 1 = 0.12 = 12\%
\]

Using \(i = 12\%\), both the seller’s value and the buyer’s value for the example 12-year-old stand = $584.40/acre.

There is, however, another important factor to be considered in precommercial timber valuation – the opportunity cost of land should also be considered in the valuation process. The omission of land opportunity cost as a production cost has caused many stands of precommercial timber to be undervalued.

Returning to the pine plantation example, let’s assume a land value of $400/acre. If land value remains constant over the 25-year investment period, the initial investment becomes $150 + $400 = $550/acre, and the timber harvest and land value becomes $2,550 + $400 = $2,950/acre. The ROR of the pine plantation investment is:

\[
ROR = \left( \frac{\text{Revenue} + \text{Land Value}}{\text{Cost} + \text{Land Value}} \right)^{1/\text{Years}} - 1 = \frac{2,950}{550}^{1/25} - 1 = 0.0695 = 6.95\%
\]

If 6.95% is used to evaluate this investment, the seller incurs an annual land opportunity cost – essentially an annual land “rent” – of $27.80 (calculated as 6.95% of $400). This annual opportunity cost should be added to the seller’s value to estimate the immature stand’s value. To do this, we use Formula 3 in Figure 3.1 (Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series) to determine the compounded cost of the annual land “rent,” as detailed in Figure 7.3.

Following the steps in Figure 7.3, considering the $400/acre land value causes our example stand’s estimated value at age 12 to increase from $584.40 to $831.78/acre, a 42% increase:

\[
\text{Stand Value at age 12} = 150(1.0695)^{12} + 27.80 \left[ \frac{1.0695^{12} - 1}{0.0695} \right] = 831.78/\text{acre}
\]
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

\section*{Valuation of immature timber (continued)}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7.3.png}
\caption{A method for estimating the value of precommercial stands that includes the opportunity cost of land.}
\end{figure}

This method of estimating precommercial timber value is sometimes referred to as the “Return on Investment” approach (see Bullard and Monaghan 1999). FORVAL for Windows (discussed in Section 8) calculates precommercial stand value using this approach.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7.4.png}
\caption{Example stand value at age 12 with land values from 0 to $500.}
\end{figure}

Figure 7.4 illustrates the impact of land opportunity cost on the value of our example precommercial stand at age 12. It should be noted that the value of the precommercial stand is higher when land cost is considered (at all ages except final harvest age; no land rent is incurred and stand value is the net harvest value).
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

**Valuation of immature timber** (continued)

As a final part of this subsection on estimating the value of immature timber stands, there is a valuation approach that applies the LEV criterion. For an immature timber stand that meets the assumptions used to calculate LEV, a simple calculation can be used to estimate stand value:

\[
V_m = \frac{NV_n + LEV}{(1 + i)^{n-m}} - LEV
\]

Where

- \(V_m\) = Value of the m-aged timber stand,
- \(m\) = age of the immature stand,
- \(n\) = rotation length used in calculating LEV, and
- \(NV\) = Net Value of the income and costs associated with the immature stand between year \(m\) and rotation age \(n\).

As an example, assume you spent $125/acre on stand regeneration, and you project a thinning of $900/acre at stand age 20 and a final harvest value of $3,000/acre at stand age 30. Using a discount rate of 7%, what's the estimated value of your 8-year-old stand?

The cash-flow diagram shows that our stand is in year eight of a projected 30-year rotation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>- $125</td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Today</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the LEV-based approach above, we first calculate LEV:

\[
LEV = \frac{3,000 + 900(1.07)^{10} - 125(1.07)^{30}}{(1.07)^{30} - 1}
\]

\[
= \$577.55/acre
\]

We then calculate “Net Value” in year 30 by compounding the projected costs and revenues between year 8 and year 30 to the end of the rotation. In this example, we only have revenues, and “Net Value” at year 30 is:

\[
NV_{30} = 900(1.07)^{10} + 3,000 = \$4,770.44
\]

The estimated stand value at age eight is therefore:

\[
\text{Stand Value at Age 8} = \frac{4,770.44 + 577.55}{(1.07)^{22}} - 577.55 = \$629.56/acre
\]

[Note that the value of the land and timber in this example is: $577.55, the land value + $629.56, the timber value = $1,207.11.]
7.3 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

**Valuation of immature timber** (continued)

If the interest rate and future management decisions are as originally assumed in the LEV calculation, the value of an immature stand has two components:

1) the discounted net value of the income and costs associated directly with the existing, immature stand (NV), and

2) the discounted LEV. LEV is also discounted for \( n-m \) years because of the delay in harvesting subsequent stands ... the LEV of all subsequent stands isn’t realized until the existing stand is harvested in year \( n \).

\[
V_m = NV_t + \text{LEV} \\
\text{This sum is then discounted to year } m \text{ (the number of years is therefore } n-m). \\
V_m = \frac{NV_t + \text{LEV}}{(1 + i)^{n-m}} - \text{LEV} \\
\text{Why do we then subtract LEV to obtain } V_m? \\
\text{With LEV included, we have the value of the land and timber. When we subtract LEV we have the value of the immature stand of timber only.}
\]


**Section 7. Forest Valuation**

**7.1 Introduction**
Foresters are often called on to estimate the market value of land and timber. **Section 7** is limited to valuation techniques that focus on the monetary value of forests used for commercial timber production.

**7.2 Valuation of timberland**

*LEV for even-aged management*
LEV can be used to estimate the value of bare land if the land is used for even-aged forest management, i.e., to produce a perpetual series of identical timber rotations. Since all future cash flows are discounted to the present, LEV calculation is an “income capitalization” approach to timberland valuation.

*LEV for uneven-aged management*
With uneven-aged management, land and timber are a perpetual timber producing “factory” and the land is never bare. In the forest valuation process, therefore, land and timber values are estimated jointly.

With an *annual* cutting cycle, the timberland’s projected cash-flow stream is a perpetual annual series. LEV is simply the present value of the perpetual annual series:

\[
LEV = \frac{a}{i}
\]

Where “a” is the net annual harvest value.

With a non-annual cutting cycle, timber revenues are projected every “n” years forever, and the standard LEV calculation is appropriate:

\[
LEV = \frac{\text{Net Value in Year n}}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

In this case, the “Net Value in Year n” is calculated by compounding annual costs and revenues (if any) to the end of the first cutting cycle and aggregating them with the net timber revenue expected from selection harvesting in year n. The formula can be expanded to include annual costs and revenues:

\[
LEV = \frac{\text{Net Timber Revenue}}{(1 + i)^n - 1} + a \left[ \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i} \right]
\]
7.4 Review of Section 7 (continued)

7.2 Valuation of timberland (continued)

\[ \text{LEV for uneven-aged management} \]

In the preceding formula, “a” represents annual costs (minus sign) and/or revenues (plus sign).

In the “real world,” uneven-aged stands are in many cases “off cycle” when their value must be estimated. Where “n” is the cutting cycle length, and “k” is the number of years since the last harvest, the value of such forests can be estimated by:

\[
\frac{\text{Estimated Value of an Uneven-aged Forest That's Between Cutting Cycles}}{\text{Net Timber Revenue}} + \frac{a \left[ \frac{(1 + i)^n - k - 1}{i} \right]}{(1 + i)^{n-k}} + \text{LEV}
\]

7.3 Valuation of standing timber

Foresters are often called on to estimate the value of standing timber. If you’re estimating the value of standing timber whose size or age is appropriate for commercial harvest, the time value of money isn’t a factor in the valuation process – the timber’s “liquidation value” is appropriate. If you’re estimating the monetary value of timber stands that are precommercial, however, or timber that could be sold but hasn’t yet reached the best size or age for harvest, a valuation process is needed that accounts for the time value of money.

\[ \text{Liquidation value of timber} \]

The “liquidation value” of timber is the stumpage value for immediate harvest. In this case, “immediate” is a relative term that essentially means “soon enough that the time value of money can be ignored.” The liquidation value of standing timber is estimated by multiplying timber prices by timber quantities:

\[
(\text{Estimated Liquidation Value}) = \Sigma [(\text{Price}) \times (\text{Quantity})]
\]

Where the “summation” of (Price) x (Quantity) is for the species groups and product classes represented in the timber stand.

For a given stand of timber, quantities in various product classes are estimated using standard forest mensuration methods, but timber prices that are appropriate for the stand can be more difficult to estimate. Standing timber prices are sometimes considered a “residual” – what’s left after all harvesting costs, processing costs, etc., are subtracted from the value of final products like lumber that can be obtained from the trees in the stand.
7.2 Valuation of standing timber (continued)

❖ Liquidation value of timber (continued)

The price a timber buyer is willing to pay for the standing timber on a specific tract at a specific time is influenced by many factors – those that affect the value of the final products, and those that affect the buyer’s costs of harvesting, transporting, and processing the timber. Section 7 doesn’t include a formal discussion of timber marketing, but references on timber marketing are included in Section 7.5 References on forest valuation.

❖ Valuation of immature timber

Two methods are often used to estimate the value of precommercial timber:

• “Buyer’s value” - obtained by discounting the stand’s projected timber sale revenue to its current age; and

• “Seller’s value” - obtained by compounding the stand’s production costs to the current stand age.

There is a discrepancy between “buyer’s value” and “seller’s value” using any interest rate other than the stand’s projected rate of return. A means of estimating the market value of immature timber is therefore to use the stand’s projected ROR as the interest rate, and compound the costs of production to the stand’s current age. The opportunity cost of land should be included in the calculation, or precommercial stands will be undervalued. An example of this process that includes “annual rent” to reflect the opportunity cost of land is in Figure 7.3 on page 7.19.

There is also a valuation approach for immature stands that applies the LEV criterion. For an immature stand that meets the assumptions used to calculate LEV, a simple calculation can be used to estimate stand value:

\[
\text{Value of the } m\text{-aged timber stand} = \frac{NV_n + \text{LEV}}{(1 + i)^{n-m}} - \text{LEV}
\]

Where “NV” is the Net Value of the revenues and costs associated with the immature stand between year “m” and rotation age “n.”
7.5 Forest valuation references

The following list has references on forest valuation concepts and methods, but it also includes some references that relate to timber marketing.


7.5 References on forest valuation (continued)


Specialized computer programs can be extremely useful tools for forestry investment analysis. Compared to using a hand-held calculator, the speed, accuracy, and overall efficiency of computer programs is particularly advantageous when there are many single-sum entries on the cash-flow diagram, when ROR is calculated with more than two values on the cash flow diagram, and/or when sensitivity analysis is conducted.
Section 8. An example computer program – page 8.2

8.1 Software for forestry investments (continued)

Although many forestry investments can be evaluated with a hand-held calculator, specialized computer software is recommended where many calculations are necessary.

In these applications, compound interest computations can be highly repetitive and time-intensive unless specialized computer software is used ...

• Where there are many single-sum entries: Each single-sum value on a cash-flow diagram must be compounded or discounted separately, and computations can become burdensome if there are many entries.

• Where ROR is calculated and the analysis has more than two values: If an analysis involves more than two values, the iterative process of identifying the interest rate where NPV = 0 can be very time intensive.

• When a sensitivity analysis is conducted: When NPV, ROR, or other financial criteria are re-calculated using different values for the interest rate, costs, revenues, etc, the number of computations involved can be great. In most cases, the same formulas are used with different numbers each time.

In this Section, we briefly describe FORV AL for Windows and FORV AL online, two versions of a computer program developed at Mississippi State University as public domain software.

8.2 An example program: FORV AL

FORV AL (FORest VALuation) is a forestry investment analysis calculator that was originally developed as a teaching aid for undergraduate courses and continuing education workshops. FORV AL references are included in Section 8.5.

FORV AL is available in two versions – FORV AL for Windows and FORV AL Online. Both versions of the program are available with supporting documentation at the website of the College of Forest Resources, Forest and Wildlife Research Center, Mississippi State University:

http://fwrc.msstate.edu/software.asp

FORV AL for Windows must be downloaded, since the program operates as an executable file on a personal computer’s hard drive. System requirements are very basic: Windows 95 or later; Windows NT 3.51 or later; a mouse or other input device; 486 or higher microprocessor; VGA or higher resolution; 8 Mb RAM; and 2.1 Mb of hard disk space. FORV AL Online does not have to be downloaded since processing of the information takes place within the user’s internet browser software.
8.2 An example program: FORVAL (continued)

To use FORVAL to calculate financial criteria, all costs and revenues should first be placed on a cash-flow diagram. The costs and revenues are entered into the program as separate items, by specifying the cash flow and the year(s) involved with each single sum or each series.

Both the Windows version and the Online version of FORVAL present users with four choices for the type of calculation to be performed:

- **Financial Criteria.** The program will calculate Net Present Value, Rate of Return, Equivalent Annual Income, and Benefit/Cost Ratio (users have the option to calculate one or all of the above criteria). The list of financial criteria also includes Land Expectation Value, for the specific case where bare land value is being estimated. The list also includes a Future Value option for projecting the value of a single cash flow at a future date.

- **Monthly or Annual Payments.** FORVAL will calculate monthly or annual payments to repay a loan or to accumulate a future sum. The program assumes “end of period” payments. It applies the “sinking fund” formulas for accumulating future sums (Formulas 7 and 8 in the decision tree diagram developed in Section 3), and it applies the “capital recovery” formulas for installment payments (Formulas 9 and 10).

- **Precommercial Timber Value.** FORVAL calculates the investment value of precommercial timber using the income capitalization methods described in Section 7. The approach involves first calculating the rate of return on a specific timber investment. This rate of interest is then used to compound timber production and land opportunity costs to the current stand age.

- **Projected Stumpage Price.** The program calculates the future value of a specific stumpage price. FORVAL simply applies the future value of a single sum formula, given inputs for initial price, annual rate of price appreciation, and the number of years projected.

Timber yield projections are not made by FORVAL, so it’s recommended that users begin any analysis by specifying all of the pertinent costs and revenues on a cash-flow diagram, as discussed in Section 2 and applied throughout this workbook. To perform after-tax calculations with FORVAL, users should specify after-tax costs and revenues, and an after-tax discount rate should be used (as described in Section 6).
8.3 Review of Section 8

Section 8. An example computer program

8.1 Software for forestry investments

Computer programs can be very useful in forest valuation and investment analysis, particularly when the analysis has many single-sum entries, when ROR is calculated and more than two values are involved, or when a sensitivity analysis is conducted.

8.2 An example program: FORVAL

FORVAL (FORest VALuation) calculates NPV, ROR, EAI, B/C, and LEV, for user-specified inputs on costs, revenues, timing, and the discount rate. The program also calculates monthly and annual payments to repay a loan or to accumulate a future sum. FORVAL also calculates the investment value of precommercial timber stands, using the income capitalization method described in Section 7. The program is public domain and is available in a Windows version and an Online version at the following web site:

http://fwrc.msstate.edu/software.asp
8.5 Computer program references

The following publications have information on software that involves forestry costs, revenues, and/or investment analysis.


8.4 Computer program references (continued)

Forest valuation and investment analysis topics are usually a major part of the examination required to become a Registered Forester. They are often two of the most intimidating topics on the examination. One reason is that these examinations tend to stress basic concepts, rather than rote use of formulas. Often basic assumptions will be violated to test the applicant’s understanding of fundamental concepts.

This workbook covers the fundamentals of forest valuation and investment analysis. As such, it provides an excellent review for the forest valuation and investment analysis portions of the Registered Forester examination. Also, many of these topics relate to the forest economics and forest management portions of the examination.
9.1 Introduction (continued)

Most of the topics in this “Review” are covered in detail in the main Sections of the workbook. References to corresponding Sections are included here in Section 9.

We assume the reader has mastered the earlier Sections of this workbook. Section 9 addresses specific insights and techniques critical to success on the Registered Forester exam. We briefly review each topic, then present detailed questions to develop the topic. Each question represents a potential examination question.

9.2 Four basic formulas

What’s provided and what’s allowed on the Registered Forester exam varies greatly from state to state. It’s therefore a very good idea to contact the appropriate state Board of Registration and find out this information before beginning to prepare for the examination.

Some often-asked questions about formulas and the Registered Forester exam include: Will you have to memorize formulas? Will you be given a sheet containing the formulas? Will you be allowed to use a financial calculator? Will you be allowed to use a programmable calculator (financial formulas can be programmed into a programmable calculator), or even a notebook-sized computer?

Answers to these questions vary from state to state and they change over time. South Carolina, however, is a good example of what’s typically allowed and what’s provided. In South Carolina the applicant may use the calculator of his or her choice, including financial and programmable ones. We strongly recommend a financial calculator for the forest valuation and investment analysis portion of the exam.

South Carolina also gives each student the formulas for present and future value of a single sum, present and future value of a terminating annual series, present and future value of a terminating periodic series, present value of perpetual annual and perpetual periodic series, and the sinking fund and installment payment formulas.

You are expected to know which formula to use for each application, and how to actually use the formula. You also must understand the basic assumptions behind each formula. We’ll discuss assumptions later. Following are standard applications of each formula, beginning with four basic formulas.
Many forest valuation and investment analysis problems can be solved with one of four basic formulas:

\[ V_n = V_0 (1 + i)^n \]

\[ V_0 = \frac{V_n}{(1 + i)^n} \]

\[ i = \left( \frac{V_n}{V_0} \right)^{1/n} - 1 \]

\[ n = \frac{\ln(V_n/V_0)}{\ln(1+i)} \]

Where \( V_n \) = Future Value,
\( V_0 \) = Present value,
\( i \) = interest rate (decimal percent), and
\( n \) = number of compounding periods.

As discussed in Section 2, each of the four formulas above is actually the same formula, just solved for a different variable. These formulas can be used to solve the simplest problems in forest valuation; they can also be used to solve some very sophisticated problems. They involve a single sum, or in the case of solving for “i” or “n,” a Present Value and a Future Value.

In cases where you have a single cost and a single revenue, the interest rate formula above can be used to calculate the rate of return (ROR). The interest rate formula is also used in the “financial maturity” model, an application that’s common on both the forest valuation and forest economics portions of the exam; a brief discussion of financial maturity begins on page 9.6.

Solved problems:

1. You invest $100/acre in pine regeneration today and expect to earn 8% interest on your investment. How much timber revenue do you expect in 30 years (assuming no other costs or revenues)?

Future Value of a Single Sum ...

\[ V_{30} = 100(1.08)^{30} = 1,006.27/acre \]
2. You are offered a pine plantation that’s expected to be worth $1,006.27/acre in 30 years. Your discount rate is 8%. How much should you pay for the investment to earn 8% (assuming no other costs and revenues)?

\[
V_0 = \frac{1,000.26}{(1.08)^{30}} = $100/acre
\]

3. An investment of $100 returns $1,006.27 in 30 years. What is your rate of return on the investment?

\[
i = \left(\frac{1,000.27}{100}\right)^{1/30} - 1 = .08 = 8\%
\]

Note that Problem 3 can also be solved directly with the \(y^x\) key.

However, your calculator may only have a \(1/x\) key.

Recall that \(y^x = \sqrt[y]{x}\)

So Problem 3 can be solved by:

\[
\frac{1,006.27}{100} = 10.0627
\]

\[
\sqrt[30]{10.0627} = 1.080002
\]

- 1 = .08

4. An investment of $100 returns $1,006.27. Given that the investment earned 8% annually, how many years was the investment held?

\[
n = \frac{ln(1,006.27/100)}{ln(1.08)} = \frac{2.3088355}{0.076961} = 30\text{ years}
\]

5. How long will it take for $1,000 to double in value at 6% annual interest?

\[
n = \frac{ln(2)}{ln(1.06)} = \frac{0.6931472}{0.05822689} = 11.9\text{ years}
\]
9.2 Four basic formulas (continued)

6a. You own a 10-year-old pine plantation that you expect to harvest at age 22. You're considering a precommercial thinning operation. The thinning will cost $67/acre and will increase the final harvest value by $500/acre. What is the ROR on the precommercial thinning investment?

This is a basic interest rate calculation. The Present Value is $67, the Future Value is $500, and \( n = 12 \) …

\[
i = \left( \frac{FV}{PV} \right)^{1/n} - 1 = 18.23 = 18.23\%
\]

6b. You own a 10-year-old pine plantation that you expect to harvest at age 22. You're considering a precommercial thinning operation. The thinning will cost $67/acre. You're required to earn at least 10% on the investment. How much must harvest value increase to ensure a 10% compound annual rate of return?

This is a simple Future Value of a Single Sum problem …

\[
V_{12} = 67 \times (1.10)^{12} = 210.27
\]

6c. You own a 10-year-old pine plantation that you expect to harvest at age 22. You're considering a precommercial thinning operation. The thinning will cost $67/acre. You're required to earn at least 10% on the investment. If pulpwood is expected to be worth $23/cord in 12 years, how much must harvest increase to ensure a 10% compound annual rate of return?

This is the same problem as 6b, only in cords rather than dollars …

\[
V_{12} = 67 \times (1.10)^{12} = 210.27
\]

\[
210.27 \div 23 \text{ per cord} = 9.14 \text{ cords}
\]

7. A timber stand is worth $100,000 today. In three years it's expected to be worth $124,229.69. What annual rate of return would be earned by holding the stand for three more years?

\[
i = \left( \frac{FV}{PV} \right)^{1/n} - 1 = .075 = 7.5\%
\]
9.2 Four basic formulas (continued)

Note that problem 7 involves calculating a projected rate of return on a timber stand; comparing the projected rate of timber value growth to a compound rate of return that can be earned elsewhere is called “financial maturity” analysis. This is an important “model” used in forest economics to determine optimal harvest timing for a tree or a stand of timber.

The financial maturity model compares the marginal value growth percent of a timber stand (or a single tree) with the landowner’s alternative rate of return (the interest rate available on the best alternative investment opportunity). As long as the timber’s marginal value growth percent equals or exceeds the landowner’s alternative rate of return, the tree or stand is allowed to grow for another time period.

Financial maturity analysis can be used to determine rotation length. Graphically, the model below shows timber value growth percent (TVG%) declining over time until it equals the landowner’s alternative rate of return (ARR). That age is the optimal harvest age for the tree or stand.

According to the simple financial maturity model, the best time to harvest a tree or stand is when the timber value growth percent (TVG%) is equal to the alternative rate of return (ARR).

The interest rate formula is involved in financial maturity analysis. For example, you may be given a yield table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand Age</th>
<th>Yield (cds.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.2 Four basic formulas (continued)

Given a specific dollar value per cord (say $20/cord), timber yield can be converted to timber value. Note that since price is constant, in this case it isn’t really necessary to convert to value; it would be necessary, however, if multiple product values were involved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand Age</th>
<th>Yield (cds.)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>$820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>$880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timber value growth percent can be calculated between ages 10 and 15, between ages 15 and 20, etc., using the interest rate formula. Between ages 10 and 15, for example, timber value growth percent is:

\[
i = \left( \frac{\$380.00}{\$240.00} \right)^{1/5} - 1 = .096 = 9.6\%
\]

The timber value growth percent for all of the age categories is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand Age</th>
<th>Yield (cds.)</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Growth Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$380</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$540</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>$820</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>$880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the landowner’s alternative rate of return is 4%, he or she would harvest at stand age 25. (Why earn 3.2% by waiting to age 30?)
9.3 Terminating annual series formulas

Formulas for series of costs and/or revenues are also needed in forest valuation and investment analysis. Some cost/revenue series are annual and they terminate or end (as opposed to perpetual series). We therefore have formulas for Future Value and Present Value of terminating annual series.

The Future Value and Present Value of a terminating annual series formulas are:

Future Value of a Terminating Annual Series

\[ V_n = a \left( \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i} \right) \]

Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series

\[ V_0 = a \left( \frac{(1 + i)^n - 1}{i(1 + i)^n} \right) \]

Where \( a \) = the annual cash flow, sometimes called an annuity.

With these and the previous formulas as well, there is an important underlying assumption – all cash flows are assumed to occur at the end of the period. In the two formulas above, this means the first cost or revenue occurs at the end of the first year, and the last one occurs at the end of the last year in the series:

Values in the series occur at the end of each year, beginning with year 1 and continuing through year \( n \) …

\[ \frac{a \quad a \quad a \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad a \quad a \quad a \quad a}{0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad n-3 \quad n-2 \quad n-1 \quad n} \]

Unless stated otherwise, this is what’s assumed for terminating annual cash-flow series in forest valuation and investment analysis problems. If a problem is stated otherwise, an adjustment must be made to the value obtained from the standard formula above. This is a very important assumption, and violation of it tests your knowledge of basic concepts. Expect a violation of this assumption somewhere on the test.

Solved problems:

8. A hunting lease pays $600/year for 50 years. At 8% interest, what’s the present value of the lease payments?

\[ V_0 = 600 \left( \frac{(1.08)^{50} - 1}{0.08(1.08)^{50}} \right) = 7,340.09 \]
9.3 Terminating annual series formulas (continued)

More solved problems using the formulas presented thus far ...

9. An investment pays $600 per year for 50 years. You place the proceeds into a savings account that pays 8% interest compounded annually. How much money will be in the account in 50 years?

\[ V_n = \frac{600 \left( (1.08)^{50} - 1 \right)}{.08} = 344,262.10 \]

10. What’s the Present Value of $344,262.10 due in 50 years? Use an 8% interest rate.

\[ V_0 = \frac{344,262.10}{(1.08)^{50}} = 7,340.09 \]

Note that the value given by both of the series formulas is a single sum. That is, all the formulas for obtaining a Present Value or Future Value of a cash flow series convert the cash flow series into an equivalent single sum. At 8% interest, and only at 8% interest, $7,340.09 today is equivalent to $344,262.10 in 50 years; both are equivalent to a series of 50 annual payments of $600.

11. An investment pays $600/year for 50 years. You place the proceeds into a savings account that pays 8% interest. While you only receive 50 payments, the money you deposited is left in the account for 100 years. How much money will be in the account after 100 years?

The annual series formulas assume a payment occurs each year, so the above question violates a basic assumption – we can’t simply apply the Future Value of a terminating annual series formula using 100 years as “n.” What can you do? The problem can’t be solved with a single formula. The trick is to use the series formula to obtain a single sum in year 50, then move the single sum to year 100 with the Future Value of a single sum formula. From problems 8 and 9 we know:

\[ V_0 = 7,340.09, \text{ and } V_{50} = 344,262.10 \]

Both are single sums, and either one can be used to obtain the answer to problem 11:

\[ V_{100} = 7,340.09 \times (1.08)^{100} = 16,146,446 \]
\[ V_{100} = 344,262.10 \times (1.08)^{50} = 16,146,448 \]

(The slight discrepancy is due to rounding.)
9.3 Terminating annual series formulas (continued)

12. An investor pays $600/year into an account from year 21 to year 70 (no payments for the first 20 years). What’s the Present Value of this cash flow series at 8%?

The Present Value of a terminating annual series formula can be used to convert the series to a single sum …

\[ V_{20} = \frac{600}{0.08} \left( \frac{(1.08)^{50} - 1}{1.08} \right) = 7,340.09 \]

Applying the formula produces a single sum that’s in year 20, so it must be discounted to year 0 using the Present Value of a single sum formula …

\[ V_0 = \frac{7,340.09}{(1.08)^{20}} = 1,574.80 \]

13. An investor pays $600/year into an account from year 21 to year 70 (no payments for the first 20 years). At 8%, what amount will be in the account at the end of year 70?

\[ V_{70} = \frac{600}{0.08} \left( \frac{(1.08)^{50} - 1}{1.08} \right) = 344,262.10 \]

Think about it. This was a $600 annual series compounded at 8% for 50 years. The answer has to be the same answer obtained for problem 9. The difference is that this single sum is at year 70, not year 50. Remember, we said the examination tests concepts.

14. Illustrate that the answer in problem 13 is consistent with the answer in problem 12.

\[ V_0 = \frac{7,340.09}{(1.08)^{70}} = 1,574.80 \]

15. An investor pays $600/year into a savings account. The series of 50 payments begins today. At 8%, what’s the Present Value of the cash flow series?

Note that this problem has a beginning-of-year assumption. There are two simple ways to calculate this Present Value. One is to assume 49 payments with an end-of-year assumption (obtain that Present Value using the annual series formula), and then add to the resulting single sum the Present Value of the payment today (of course the present value of $600 today is $600):

\[ V_0 = 600 \left( \frac{(1.08)^{49} - 1}{0.08(1.08)^{49}} \right) + 600 = 7,927.30 \]
9.3 Terminating annual series formulas (continued)

The second way to solve problem 15 is to calculate the value of a series of 50 payments, but recognize that the single sum will be at year –1 rather than year 0. One year’s compounding using the single sum formula for future value will make the correction:

\[
V_{-1} = \frac{(1.08)^{50} - 1}{.08(1.08)^{50}} = $7,340.09
\]

\[
V_0 = 7,340.09 (1.08) = 7,927.30
\]

Note that many financial calculators have both an end-of-year and a beginning-of-year mode.

9.4 Terminating periodic series formulas

“Terminating” means that the series ends, or terminates. “Periodic” means that the cost or revenue values don’t occur each year – they’re assumed to occur every period of “t” years.

The Registered Forester exam may also have questions that involve terminating periodic series of costs and/or revenues. These formulas were not included in the earlier discussions of the workbook (except in the derivation of the perpetual periodic series formula on page 3.14), and they aren’t included in Figure 3.1. They are relatively complex and in most “real world” forestry cases what they calculate can easily be calculated using the single-sum formulas.

We include them here, however, in case their use is required on a Registered Forester exam. The formulas for Future Value and Present Value are:

**Future Value of a Terminating Periodic Series**

\[
V_n = a \frac{(1 + i)^{nt} - 1}{(1 + i)^t - 1}
\]

**Present Value of a Terminating Periodic Series**

\[
V_0 = a \frac{(1 + i)^{nt} - 1}{(1 + i)^t - 1}(1 + i)^{nt}
\]

Where \(n\) = the number of periods, and \(t\) = the number of years per period.

16. What’s the Present Value of six payments of $600 received every 3 years using 8% interest? (In this case, \(n=6, t=3, so nt=18\).)

\[
V_0 = 600 \frac{(1.08)^{18} - 1}{[(1 + i)^3 - 1](1 + i)^{18}} = $1,732.11
\]

9.4 Terminating periodic series formulas (continued)

Note that problem 16 can also be worked by discounting each of the six payments with the single sum formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$476.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$378.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$300.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$238.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$189.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$150.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Present value of the series = $1,732.12

17. What’s the Future Value of six payments of $600 that are made at three-year intervals?

\[ V_{18} = \frac{600 \left[ (1.08)^{18} - 1 \right]}{(1.08)^3 - 1} = 6,921.56 \]

You could also solve problem 17 with the result from problem 16 and the single sum formula for Future Value:

\[ V_{18} = 1,732.12 \times (1.08)^{18} = 6,921.59 \]

18. An investor pays $600 into a savings account in odd years and $1,200 into the account in even years. The cash flow diagram is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$1,200</th>
<th>$1,200</th>
<th>$1,200</th>
<th>$1,200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How much money will be in this account after 50 years at 8% interest?

There are two “simple” ways to solve this problem. The first is to view the series as a terminating annual series of $600 per year and a terminating periodic series of an additional $600 every other year.

\[ V_{50} = \frac{600 \left[ (1.08)^{50} - 1 \right]}{0.08} = 344,262.10 \]

\[ V_{50} = \frac{600 \left[ (1.08)^{50} - 1 \right]}{(1.08)^2 - 1} = 165,510.63 \]

Total = $509,772.73
Another way to look at problem 18 is to consider the $600 and the $1,200 cash flow series separately. The Future Value of the $1,200 series can be obtained simply by “plugging in” to the future value of a terminating annual series formula:

\[ V_{50} = \$1,200 \left( \frac{(1.08)^{50} - 1}{(1.08)^2 - 1} \right) = \$331,021.25 \]

The $600 series doesn’t exactly fit our formula’s assumption that the first value occurs at the end of the first period, however. The $600 is on a two-year period, but the first one occurs at year 1 on the cash flow diagram. If we apply the formula, we’ll be calculating \( V_{49} \) rather than \( V_{50} \), so we need to take that result forward one year:

\[ V_{49} = \$600 \left( \frac{(1.08)^{50} - 1}{(1.08)^2 - 1} \right) = \$165,510.63 \]

\[ V_{50} = \$165,510.63 (1.08) = \$178,751.48 \]

Adding this result to the $344,262.10 obtained for the $1,200 series yields the total value in year 50: \$509,772.73 (the same answer obtained on page 9.12).

19. You plan to prescribe burn a tract every 4 years for the next 22 years. The first burn is 2 years from now. The cost will be $5/acre, and your interest rate is 6%. What’s the Present Value of the cash flow series?

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{Year} & 0 & 2 & 6 & 10 & 14 & 18 & 22 \\
\text{Cost} & \$5 & \$5 & \$5 & \$5 & \$5 & \$5 & \$5 \\
\end{array}
\]

Note that \( n = 6 \) and \( t = 4 \), so \( nt = 24 \). If we use the formula for Present Value of a terminating periodic series with \( nt = 24 \), however, we won’t calculate \( V_0 \); we’ll calculate \( V_{-2} \) because the formula assumes the first value occurs at the end of the first period of 4 years. In this case, however, the first cost occurs in year 2 rather than year 4. So we can use the formula with \( nt = 24 \), then compound the result for two years:

\[ V_{-2} = \$5 \left( \frac{(1.06)^{24} - 1}{(1.06)^4 - 1(1.06)^{24}} \right) = \$14.34/acre \]

\[ V_0 = \$14.34 (1.06)^2 = \$16.11/acre \]
We can easily verify the result of $16.11 with the single sum formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Present Value of the series = $16.11

9.5 Perpetual series formulas

Perpetual series are very common in forestry. Many forestry operations are assumed to exist into infinity. For example, forest products firms that own timberland generally operate on the “going concern” concept, i.e., the assumption that they’ll be growing timber on their timberlands forever. A perpetual series can be annual or periodic:

\[
V_0 = \frac{a}{i}
\]

\[
V_0 = \frac{a}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

Where

- \( a \) = the annual or periodic amount,
- \( i \) = the interest rate in decimal percent, and
- \( n \) = the number of years per period.

Obviously there is no Future Value formula for perpetual series.

Solved problems ...

20. What’s the Present Value of a perpetual series of $600 annual payments at 8% interest?

\[
V_0 = \frac{600}{.08} = 7,500
\]

You can verify this result with common sense. What if you placed $7,500 in a savings account that earned 8% per year and withdrew the interest at the end of each year?

One year’s interest is $7,500(.08) = $600.
### 9.5 Perpetual series formulas (continued)

Since the interest is withdrawn each year, each subsequent year would earn interest on the same $7,500 principal. Thus, you could withdraw $600 each year forever and maintain a constant principal balance of $7,500. So the Present Value of a series of annual payments of $600 is $7,500 at 8%.

21. *A forest will yield $600,000 every 25 years forever. What’s the Present Value of this forest at 8% interest?*

\[ V_0 = \frac{\$600,000}{(1.08)^{25} - 1} = \$102,590.84 \]

Does just over $100,000 seem “out of line” as a Present Value for a series of $600,000 payments? Keep in mind the long time periods involved. The first payment is 25 years in the future and the second payment is 50 years away.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Present Value</th>
<th>Cumulative Present Value</th>
<th>% of Total PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$87,610.74</td>
<td>$87,610.74</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$12,792.74</td>
<td>$100,403.48</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$1,867.97</td>
<td>$102,271.45</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$27.76</td>
<td>$102,544.21</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$39.83</td>
<td>$102,584.04</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$5.82</td>
<td>$102,589.86</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$0.85</td>
<td>$102,590.71</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$0.12</td>
<td>$102,590.83</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does it make sense now that you see the numbers above? The first four payments are worth 99.9% of the total Present Value. Stated another way, the infinite number of $600,000 payments after year 200 are worth *one cent*. Recall that a high interest rate or a long time period has a powerful effect due to the exponential nature of compound interest.

22. *A forest will yield $600,000 every 25 years forever. You buy the forest 15 years into the first 25-year rotation. What’s the Present Value of this forest at 8% interest?*
9.5 Perpetual series formulas (continued)

What's the Present Value of a perpetual annual series that pays $6,000 every odd year and $12,000 every even year? Use 8% interest.

Like problem 18, we present two solutions.

Solution 1 …

Solve for the Present Value of a perpetual annual series of $6,000 every year. Add to that the Present Value of a perpetual periodic series of $6,000 every two years:

\[ V_{0} = \frac{6,000}{0.08} = 75,000.00 \]

\[ V_{0} = \frac{6,000}{(1.08)^2 - 1} = 36,057.69 \]

Total \( V_{0} \) = $111,057.69

Solution 2 …

Solve for the Present Value of each series directly. The $12,000 series is every two years starting in year two, so it meets the end-of-period assumption. The $6,000 series is every two years starting in year one, so it violates the end-of-period assumption – it’s “off kilter” by one year. One year of compounding will make the correct adjustment:

\[ V_{0} = \frac{12,000}{(1.08)^2 - 1} = 72,115.39 \]

\[ V_{-1} = \frac{6,000}{(1.08)^2 - 1} = 36,057.69 \ldots \text{Must be compounded for one year to obtain } V_{0} \text{ for the } 6,000 \text{ series} \]

\[ V_{0} = 36,057.69 \times 1.08 = 38,942.31 \]

Total \( V_{0} \) = $72,115.39 + $38,942.31 = $111,057.69
9.5 Perpetual series formulas (continued)

24. What's the Present Value of a perpetual series of $6,000 received in 20 years, and every 30 years thereafter? (Use 8% interest.)

\[
V_{-10} = \frac{6,000}{(1.08)^{30} - 1} = \$662.06
\]

\[
V_0 = \$662.064 \times (1.08)^{10} = \$1,429.33
\]

25. What's the Present Value of a perpetual series of $6,000 received today and every 30 years thereafter? (Use 8% interest.)

This is a standard perpetual periodic series except for the payment today. Add the present value of a $6,000 payment today to the value of the standard series:

\[
V_0 = \frac{6,000}{(1.08)^{30} - 1} + 6,000 = \$6,662.06
\]

9.6 Installment payments and sinking funds

These are the last two standard formulas. The installment payment formula calculates the payment over a specific period of time that’s necessary to repay a specific amount borrowed. An example would be the payment over 48 months that equates to a $30,000 pickup truck. The installment payment formula is also called the capital recovery formula.

The sinking fund formula, meanwhile, calculates the payment that’s equivalent to a specific future value. An example would be the annual payments necessary to accumulate $100,000 at the end of 20 years.

\[
\text{The installment payment formula} \\
(\text{Formula 9 in Figure 3.1}) \\
P_{\text{ann.}} = V_0 \left[ \frac{i(1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right]
\]

\[
\text{The “sinking fund” formula} \\
(\text{Formula 7 in Figure 3.1}) \\
P_{\text{ann.}} = V_n \left[ \frac{i}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right]
\]

Where \( P_{\text{ann.}} \) = the annual payment.
9.6 Installment payments and sinking funds (continued)

26. You need $1,000,000 to replace logging equipment in six years. How much money would you need to deposit into an account paying 8% interest each year to accumulate the necessary amount?

\[
P_{\text{ann.}} = \frac{1,000,000 \cdot 0.08}{(1.08)^6 - 1} = 136,315.39
\]

27. You borrow $30,000 at 8% interest to purchase a new truck. What’s your monthly payment if you have 48 payments?

Note that your monthly interest rate will be \(0.08 \div 12 = 0.0067 = 0.67\%\).
(Non-annual compounding is covered in the next subsection; for now, simply calculate installment payments for \(n = 48\) and \(i = 0.67\%).

\[
P_{\text{ann.}} = \frac{30,000 \cdot 0.0067(1.0067)^{48}}{(1.0067)^{48} - 1} = 732.95
\]

9.7 Non-annual compounding periods

The Registered Forester examination may include a problem or two with non-annual compounding (like problem 27 above). By non-annual compounding, we mean semi-annual, quarterly, monthly, or daily compounding. All of the formulas presented earlier can be used for non-annual compounding. Only two adjustments are necessary:

- Let \(m\) = the number of compounding periods in a year. If \(n\) = the number of years, \(n \times m\) = the total number of compounding periods.
- Instead of \(i\) = annual interest rate, use \(i\) = annual rate \(\div m\). This will be the monthly interest rate, the quarterly rate, etc., depending on how many compounding periods are in a year.

28. You place $1,000 into an account paying 8% compounded quarterly. How much will be in the account after six years?

\[
\begin{align*}
m &= \text{number of compounding periods per year} = 4, \text{ and } n = 6, \text{ so} \\
n \times m &= 24 \\
i &= 0.08 \div 4 = 0.02 = 2\% \text{ per quarter} \\
V_6 &= 1,000 \cdot (1.02)^{24} = 1,608.44
\end{align*}
\]
9.7 Non-annual compounding periods (continued)

The “effective” interest rate is the rate you actually pay or earn on an annual basis when interperiod compounding is considered.

The “effective” interest rate can also be used to solve problems that involve non-annual compounding. Most banks state interest on an annual basis called the “APR” or “Annual Percentage Rate.” On a Registered Forester exam, assume all interest rates given are APRs (unless stated otherwise).

Given an APR, the “effective” rate of annual interest can be calculated with a simple formula:

\[
\text{Effective Annual Interest Rate} = \left[ 1 + \frac{\text{APR}}{m} \right]^m - 1
\]

As stated on the previous page, “m” is the number of compounding periods per year. The effective interest rate accounts for interperiod compounding, and therefore will always be higher than APR. For example, an 8% APR would have the following effective annual interest rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Non-annual Compounding</th>
<th>Effective Annual Interest Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semi-annual</td>
<td>( \left[ 1 + \frac{.08}{2} \right]^2 - 1 = 8.16% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>( \left[ 1 + \frac{.08}{4} \right]^4 - 1 = 8.24% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>( \left[ 1 + \frac{.08}{12} \right]^{12} - 1 = 8.30% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>( \left[ 1 + \frac{.08}{365} \right]^{365} - 1 = 8.33% )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. Solve problem 28 using the effective annual interest rate.

\[
V_6 = 1,000 \times (1.0824)^6 = 1,608.15
\]

30. You win $10,000,000 from a lottery. Before investing it, you place the proceeds in a savings account paying 6% interest compounded daily. You leave the $10,000,000 in the account for a week, then you invest the money in a mutual fund, keeping the interest earned during the week for “pocket change.” How much pocket change do you have?

\[
V_n = 10,000,000 \times \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{365}\right)^7 = 10,011,513
\]

Pocket change = $10,011,513 – $10,000,000 = $11,513
You should thoroughly review Section 4, Financial Criteria for definitions and concepts. In your review, be sure to recall the different names for these criteria. The Registered Forester exam may, for example, ask for an IRR instead of an ROR, a PNW instead of an NPV, etc.

Expect several questions on the financial criteria often applied in forest valuation and investment analysis. The most commonly-used criteria are Net Present Value (NPV), Equivalent Annual Income (EAI), Benefit/Cost ratio (B/C), and Land Expectation Value (LEV). Given that the Registered Forester exam is most often a multiple-choice test, expect very short problems and concept problems similar to the following examples.

31. You purchase land for $100/acre in year 0; you site prepare at $50/acre in year 0 and plant at $30/acre in year 1. Annual management costs and taxes are $5/acre/year. In year 30, you sell the timber for $2,400/acre and you sell the land for $600/acre. At 3% interest, what's your NPV, EAI, ROR, B/C ratio, and LEV?

Note that it would be difficult to use a more detailed question on a multiple-choice exam. You certainly need to be able to quickly solve a problem of this size. First calculate the Present Value of revenues and costs separately, as you need both for some calculations.

\[
\text{PV of revenues} = \frac{3,000}{1.03^{30}} = 1,235.96
\]

\[
\text{PV of costs} = -100 - 50 - \frac{30}{1.03^{10}} - 5 \left[ \frac{1.03^{30} - 1}{.03(1.03)^{30}} \right] = 277.13
\]

\[
\text{NPV} = 1,235.96 - 277.13 = 958.83
\]

\[
\text{EAI} = \frac{958.83}{1.03^{30} - 1} = 48.92
\]

\[
\text{B/C} = 1,235.96 / 277.13 = 4.46
\]

\[
\text{ROR} = 8.95\%
\]

The iterative approach to estimating ROR is covered in Section 4, beginning on page 4.10.
9.8 **Financial criteria** (continued)

For example, suppose we asked you for the NPV in problem 31, then in problem 32 asked you for the ROR to the nearest whole percent. Assume your multiple-choice answers are:

- a. 2%
- b. 3%
- c. 5%
- d. 9%

If NPV is positive, the ROR is higher than the interest rate you used to calculate NPV (see Figure 4.4 on page 4.11). Using a higher interest rate means you’ll obtain a lower value for NPV, and the ROR is the interest rate where NPV = 0. Since NPV = $958.83 using 3% interest, answers “a” and “b” above must be incorrect.

Given the magnitude of the NPV, one might guess that 9% is correct. Only one calculation is necessary to see if 5% or 9% is the ROR. If you try 5% and recalculate NPV, it will still be positive, and you’ll know that 9% has to be the correct answer. If you use 9% and recalculate NPV, you obtain:

\[
NPV = \frac{3000}{1.09^{30}} - 100 - 50 - \frac{30}{1.09} - 5 \left( \frac{1.09^{30} - 1}{0.09(1.09)^{30}} \right)
\]

\[
= -2.77
\]

The EAI formula was used in problem 31 (as shown in Figure 4.2 on page 4.4). It’s the same formula used to calculate installment payments, so it’s included in most financial calculators.

In Section 4, the LEV discussion begins on page 4.18.

**How would you calculate LEV for problem 31?** We can calculate LEV by making use of the previously calculated NPV. LEV requires the Future Value of one rotation for the numerator. The NPV can be used to obtain this Future Value, but the LEV calculation doesn’t include land cost; land value is what you’re calculating so it can’t be included in the future value of the first rotation.

Note that the NPV calculations in problem 31 included land cost. If land cost is subtracted from NPV, the NPV without land cost can be directly compounded to obtain the Future Value of a single rotation. This Future Value can then be used to calculate LEV.

\[
NPV \text{ without land} = 958.83 + 100 - \frac{600}{1.03^{30}} = 811.64
\]

\[
LEV = \left[ \frac{811.64(1.03)^{30}}{(1.03)^{30} - 1} \right] = 1,380.31
\]
9.8 Financial criteria (continued)

32. If the interest rate in problem 31 is doubled to 6%, what will happen to NPV, EAI, B/C and LEV?

a. All will increase.
b. All will decrease.
c. They will be unaffected.
d. Not enough information to tell.

In this case, “b” is the correct answer. As the interest rate rises, the potential investment will be increasingly unattractive because future revenues are discounted more heavily. Costs are less affected by the increasing discount rate because they occur closer to the present. What would have been your response if the question had been “What would happen to ROR?” ROR is unaffected by the discount rate used.

33. Given the following interest rates and NPVs, what’s the ROR of the investment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>$501.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$314.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$204.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$111.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$50.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>$20.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>–$41.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>–$101.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>–$224.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROR is very slightly higher than 7%. Using 7% interest NPV is very slightly greater than zero.

The interest rate that produces an NPV of zero is, by definition, the ROR. This happens at about 7%. Note that the data and question above could have been presented in graphical form:
9.8 Financial criteria (continued)

34. You pay $3,021.15 for an investment that returns $1,000 every three years forever. What’s the investment’s ROR?

   a. 5%
   b. 10%
   c. 15%
   d. 20%

You can’t solve this problem directly. That is, you can’t solve an equation for the exact ROR because more than two values are involved. You can, however, try each of the interest rates given until you obtain an NPV = 0. Given the above choices, your first step should be to calculate NPV using either 10% or 15% (because if your first guess isn’t correct, it will lead you to the ROR more quickly than starting with 5% or 20%).

The investment has one cost, and it has a perpetual periodic series of revenues. NPV using 15% interest is:

\[
\text{PV of costs} = $3,021.15
\]

\[
\text{PV of revenues} = \frac{$1,000}{1.15^3 - 1} = $1,919.85
\]

\[
\text{NPV} = $1,919.85 - $3,021.15 = -$1,101.30
\]

Since NPV is negative using 15%, you know the ROR is less than 15%. Trying 10% yields:

\[
\text{PV of costs} = $3,021.15
\]

\[
\text{PV of revenues} = \frac{$1,000}{1.10^3 - 1} = $3,021.15
\]

\[
\text{NPV} = $3,021.15 - $3,021.15 = 0
\]

Therefore the ROR = 10%
The three basic inflation formulas are:

\[ i = r + f + rf \]

\[ r = \frac{1 + i}{1 + f} - 1 \]

\[ f = \frac{1 + i}{1 + r} - 1 \]

Where \( i \) = market interest rate, 
\( r \) = real interest rate, and 
\( f \) = inflation rate.

The market interest rate contains an inflation component and a real component. A real price change occurs when a particular price changes relative to other prices in the economy. That is, the price must change at a different rate than the general level of price increase (the overall rate of inflation in prices).

35. An investment in a forest property is expected to return 3% in real terms over the next seven years. Inflation is expected to average 7% over the period. What's the market rate or nominal rate of return expected from the investment?

\[ i = r + f + rf = 0.03 + 0.07 + (0.03)(0.07) = 0.1021 = 10.2\% \]

36. A timber investment is expected to earn 10% over the next nine years. You expect inflation to average 5% over the same period. What will your real rate of return be on the investment?

\[ r = \frac{1 + i}{1 + f} - 1 = \frac{1.10}{1.05} - 1 = 0.0476 = 4.76\% \]

37. Assume over the last 10 years the stumpage price for hardwood pulpwood increased by 3% annually in real terms. During the same period inflation averaged 5% annually. If pulpwood was worth $10/cord at year 0, what's it worth at year 10 in current dollars?

\[ i = 0.03 + 0.05 + (0.03)(0.05) = 0.0815 = 8.15\% \]

\[ V_{10} = 10(1.0815)^{10} = \$21.89/cord \]

Notice that problem 37 specified current dollars. Current dollar prices are actual market prices charged in any particular year. They include inflation, and may also be referred to as being in nominal terms. Constant dollar prices are fixed purchasing power dollars relative to a base year.
9.9 Inflation (continued)

38. What would be the answer to problem 37 if we wanted to know the pulpwood price in constant (year 0) dollars at year 10?

\[ V_{10} = 10 \times (1.03)^{10} = 13.44 \text{ cord} \]

9.10 Income taxes

Methods for after-tax analysis are the subject of Section 6.2, beginning on page 6.10.

Taxes that relate to forest investments are a favorite Registered Forester exam topic. The mathematics are simple, but the concepts aren’t easy for many foresters. You’ll need to understand the basics of forest taxation. Specifically, know the definition of:

- ordinary income,
- capital gains income,
- capitalized,
- expensed,
- original cost basis,
- adjusted basis,
- land, timber, young growth, and plantation accounts,
- depletion,
- depletion rate,
- depletion allowance,
- fair market value,
- tax deduction,
- tax credit,
- 7-year amortization,
- after-tax investment analysis.

Income is assigned to one of two federal income tax categories: ordinary income and capital gains income. Ordinary income is the net profit that comes from the economic activity of a corporation or an individual. Capital gains (or losses) result when a capital asset is sold for more (or less) than its book value.

A capital asset is any asset that’s not normally bought or sold in the business of an individual or firm. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations define which assets may be considered capital assets, including a minimum length of time an asset must be held for capital gains treatment. Capital gains income has a maximum tax rate that for many individuals and firms is lower than their marginal tax rate on ordinary income.
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

For federal income tax purposes, expenditures of a forest owner are classified as capital expenditures or ordinary expenditures. That is, legitimate expenditures are either capitalized or expensed.

Expenditures that are expensed are deducted in full in the year they’re incurred. Generally, if the benefits resulting from an expenditure occur in the current tax year, the expenditure is expensed. Examples of expensed costs are:

- tools of a short life (usually one year or less);
- salaries of hired labor, foresters, lawyers, and accountants;
- travel expenses; and
- property, yield, and state taxes.

Expenditures that are capitalized are deducted in three ways:

1. “Non-wasting” assets like land – costs are deducted when the asset is sold;
2. “Wasting” assets like equipment and structures – costs are deducted over the useful life of the asset through depreciation; and
3. Certain resource-based assets like timber and oil – costs are deducted as the asset is sold or used (depleted).

Since there are three ways to capitalize costs, three accounts are used to record capital expenditures in forestry:

1. Land account;
2. Equipment account; and
3. Timber (Depletion) Account.

Capitalization is the process of recording an expenditure in a capital account, instead of deducting the expenditure from ordinary income in the year incurred. The dollar value in any capital account represents the total investment for that capital asset. The purchase price of a capital asset (including related acquisition costs) is called the original cost basis of the asset. The original basis may increase as capital improvements are made, or decrease as allowances for depletion or depreciation are claimed. Once adjustments are made to the original basis, the basis is referred to as the adjusted basis. In general, just the term basis may be used.
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

The land account includes:

1. the cost of the land;
2. the cost of non-depreciable land improvements; and
3. the cost of depreciable land improvements.

Non-depreciable land improvements include road construction (roads with an indeterminate life) and water impoundments. Depreciable land improvements include bridges, graveling, culverts, and temporary roads (logging roads, for example).

A sales contract usually doesn’t list separate prices or values for the land, timber, and equipment and structures. So you must allocate the total cost of a property among the land, timber, and equipment, and you must record the relative portion of the purchase price that you assign to the land’s fair market value. Land and non-depreciable land improvements aren’t depleted. The land account also includes the necessary expenses involved in purchasing the land.

The equipment account consists of a set of subaccounts for each piece or type of equipment (e.g., trucks, power saws, or planting equipment). These costs are recovered through normal depreciation procedures.

Solved problems …

39. You bought a 500-acre forested tract of land for a purchase price of $588/acre in 1992. In addition to the purchase price, you paid $2,500 to have the boundaries surveyed and the tract appraised, $1,500 for legal fees, and $2,000 for a timber cruise. Therefore, your total acquisition costs were $300,000 ($600/acre). What values are recorded in the land and timber accounts?

The fair market value of the timber on the tract (as established by the timber inventory) was $125,000. The fair market value of the land (as established by the appraisal) was $250/acre. The original cost basis of the $300,000 acquisition cost allocated to the land account and to the timber account is the proportion of the total fair market value assigned to the land and timber, respectively. For this example, the original cost basis is calculated as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Proportion of Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Original Cost Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>$150,000 ($300/ac.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>$150,000 ($300/ac.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

The original cost basis of the land is $150,000, or $300/acre. If you sell half the land after the timber is harvested later for $500/acre, your capital gain will be $200/acre ($500 – $300), and you’ll decrease the balance of the land account by $75,000 (250 acres x $300/acre).

The timber (depletion) account has three subaccounts:

1. timber;
2. young growth (trees of premerchantable size); and
3. plantation (trees that are planted or seeded).

Each timber (depletion) subaccount has an entry for the volume of timber (or the acres of young growth or plantation) and an entry for the dollar value of the basis. When timber is purchased, value is allocated to the timber and young growth subaccounts, based on the relative value of each at acquisition. Once the young growth becomes merchantable, its value is moved to the timber subaccount and becomes depletable. The plantation subaccount contains costs associated with the establishment of timber stands by planting or seeding (costs that you incur after you bought the property).

Solved problems ...

40. The forested tract in problem 39 contained 100 acres of young growth (trees of precommercial size) which contributed to the value of the property. The young growth had a fair market value of $50/acre. The remaining 400 acres contained 20 cords of pulpwood per acre with a fair market value of $15/cord. How is the original cost basis allocated between timber and young growth subaccounts?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Proportion of Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Original Cost Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young Growth</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>$144,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$125,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$150,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the young growth and the plantation subaccounts hold nondepletable expenses. As the trees represented by these accounts become merchantable, the value of the merchantable trees is moved into the timber account, where they can then be depleted.
41. In 1995 the timber in problem 40 is remeasured. The investor determines that the young growth has reached merchantable size. What dollar amounts are now recorded in the timber and young growth subaccounts?

The dollar amount in the young growth subaccount is transferred to the timber subaccount. The balance in the young growth subaccount is reduced to $0.00, and the value of the timber subaccount is increased to $150,000.

*Depletion* is the recovery of your basis in timber that you cut and sell. You add volume and value to the merchantable timber subaccount when young growth and plantations become merchantable; you subtract volume and value from the merchantable timber account by cutting (also, growth and mortality is taken into account). Depletion occurs when an owner sells timber and recovers part of the capitalized book value (basis) of the timber.

The balance of the merchantable timber subaccount (the original basis of the timber minus the value of timber sold in the past) is the *adjusted basis for depletion*. The *depletion rate* is the dollar amount the owner is allowed to deduct per unit of timber cut. It’s defined as:

\[
\text{Depletion rate} = \frac{\text{Adjusted basis for depletion}}{\text{Total timber volume (before harvest)}}
\]

The *depletion allowance* is the total capitalized value the owner is allowed to recover (deduct from income) for a particular timber sale. It’s based on the proportion of timber actually cut. It’s defined as:

\[
\text{Depletion allowance} = (\text{Depletion rate}) \times (\text{Total volume cut})
\]

42. The *adjusted basis for depletion* (the timber subaccount) on a tract is $100,000. Total volume is 10,000 cords. What’s the depletion rate and depletion allowance if 5,000 cords are sold?

\[
\text{Depletion rate} = \frac{\$100,000}{10,000 \text{ cords}} = $10 \text{ per cord}
\]

\[
\text{Depletion allowance} = 5,000 \text{ cords} \times $10/\text{cord} = $50,000
\]
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

An investment analysis should be on an after-tax basis since tax effects are a very real part of any transaction. Assume that the marginal tax rate for corporations is 33% for ordinary income and 28% for capital gains. This is the tax rate that will affect marginal investments; thus, these tax rates are appropriate for after-tax investment analysis.

Where costs are expensed, the factor \((1 - \text{marginal tax rate})\) is used to convert a before-tax cost into an after-tax cost. For large corporations, for example, every additional dollar of ordinary income is worth \((1 - 33\%) = 67\%\). Costs that can be expensed reduce taxes by 33¢ per dollar of expense, since the other 33¢ would have been paid in income taxes.

The same principles apply to private nonindustrial landowners, using their marginal tax rates. An example is perhaps the best way to demonstrate these concepts.

**Solved problem ...**

*Note that for purposes of this example, the reforestation tax provisions of Public Law 96-451 are ignored. Seven-year amortization and the tax credit for reforestation are discussed later in this subsection.*

43. A corporation asks you to determine the NPV of regenerating 40 acres. Site preparation and regeneration will cost $160/acre.* Property taxes and management costs will be $2.50/acre/year. Thinnings will occur at ages 16 and 22, and will yield five cords and eight cords/acre, respectively (20 percent of volume in both cases). Final harvest will occur at age 27 and will yield 66 cords/acre. Pulpwood is worth $19.50/cord. The corporation’s tax adjusted interest rate is 4%; its ordinary income is taxed at 46% and capital gains at 28%. What’s the investment’s NPV after taxes on a per acre basis?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Before-tax</th>
<th>After-tax</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td>– $160.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-27</td>
<td>Annual Costs</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$1.35</td>
<td>[\frac{1.04^{27} - 1}{0.04(1.04)^{27}}]</td>
<td>– $22.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Per acre present value of costs = $182.05**

Net Present Value after tax = $424.00 – $182.05 = $241.95
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

All of the revenue in problem 43 is treated as a capital gain. The capital gain calculation must account for the depletion allowance before the after-tax cash flow is determined.

At year 16 the after-tax cash flow was calculated on a per acre basis as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber sale revenue</td>
<td>$97.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depletion allowance</td>
<td>– 32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital gains income</td>
<td>$65.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal tax rate</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax</td>
<td>$18.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timber sale revenue  $97.50
Income tax  – 18.34
After-tax cash flow  $79.16

The depletion allowance was calculated on a per acre basis as:

\[
\text{Depletion rate} = \frac{160}{25 \text{ cords}} = 6.40/\text{cord} \\
\text{Depletion allowance} = 5 \text{ cords} \times 6.40/\text{cord} = 32.00 \\
\text{Adjusted basis for depletion} = 160.00 – 32.00 = 128.00
\]

At year 22 the after-tax cash flow was calculated on a per basis as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber sale revenue</td>
<td>$156.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depletion allowance</td>
<td>– 25.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital gains income</td>
<td>$130.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal tax rate</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax</td>
<td>$36.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timber sale revenue  $156.00
Income tax  – 36.51
After-tax cash flow  $119.49

The depletion allowance was calculated on a per acre basis as:

\[
\text{Depletion rate} = \frac{128.00}{40 \text{ cords}} = 3.20/\text{cord} \\
\text{Depletion allowance} = 8 \text{ cords} \times 3.20/\text{cord} = 25.60 \\
\text{Adjusted basis for depletion} = 128.00 – 25.60 = 102.40
\]
9.10 **Income taxes** (continued)

At year 27 the after-tax cash flow was calculated on a per basis as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber sale revenue</td>
<td>$1,287.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depletion allowance</td>
<td>– 102.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital gains income</td>
<td>$1,184.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal tax rate</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax</td>
<td>$331.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timber sale revenue – 331.69

After-tax cash flow $955.31

The depletion allowance was calculated on a per acre basis as:

*Depletion rate* = $102.40/66 cords = $1.5515/cord

Depletion allowance = 66 cords x $1.5515/cord = $102.40

Adjusted basis for depletion = $102.40 – 102.40 = $0.00

The site preparation/regeneration has an after-tax cash flow that’s the same as its before-tax cash flow. In our example, these costs are fully capitalized and are used to reduce timber sale revenue at harvest. [Note that we didn’t consider the effects of an investment tax credit or the 7-year amortization.]

The annual costs are ordinary expenses. Therefore the (1 – .46) factor can be used to convert them to an after-tax cash flow:

After-tax annual cost = $2.50 (1 – .46) = $1.35/acre

The costs were multiplied by (1 – Tax Rate) to obtain an after-tax cash flow. Why weren’t the revenues? In effect they were – see the text box on the next page.
9.10 **Income taxes** (continued)

Digression: Back to after-tax timber sale revenues ...

There is a way to calculate the after-tax cash flow of timber revenue that applies the (1 – Marginal Tax Rate) factor. The capital gains income multiplied by the factor \((1 – \text{Marginal Tax Rate})\) will give the amount contributed to the after-tax cash flow from the capital gains income associated with a timber sale. However, in calculating capital gains income for a timber sale, the depletion allowance is subtracted from the timber sale revenues. The depletion allowance accounts for an expense incurred in the past (the IRS won’t allow the capitalized expenses to be subtracted from income until the timber is harvested). Since no cash is paid out for the depletion allowance in the year the timber is cut, it’s a non-cash expense and should be added back to the after-tax capital gains to obtain the after-tax cash flow.

That is, to convert timber revenues to an after-tax cash flow:

\[
\text{ATCFTR} = \text{CGI} (1 – \text{MTR}) + \text{DA}
\]

Where

- \(\text{ATCFTR} = \) after-tax cash flow of timber revenue,
- \(\text{CGI} = \) capital gains income,
- \(\text{MTR} = \) marginal tax rate, and
- \(\text{DA} = \) depletion allowance.

For example, the after-tax cash flow from the year 16 thinning in problem 43 could have been calculated as:

\[
\text{ATCFTR} = $65.50 (1 – .28) + $32.00 = $79.16
\]

Similar calculations could be performed to obtain the after-tax cash flow of other revenues in Problem 43.

On the next page is a discussion of the 7-year amortization of reforestation costs, followed by seven problems that involve after-tax investment analysis.

The final part of this review is the practice test that begins on page 9.39.
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

Recall that 7-year amortization allows up to $10,000 annually of reforestation costs to be amortized over eight years – eight years isn’t a typo. Seven-year amortization actually involves eight tax returns because of the “half-year” convention. For qualified reforestation expenditures, you’re allowed to claim:

1. a 10% tax credit, and
2. a series of tax deductions.

You’re allowed to deduct 95% of the expenditures over the next eight tax returns. Through “amortization” you deduct 1/14th (of 95% of the expense) in the first year and the eighth year (these are “half years”), and you deduct 1/7th (of 95% of the expense) in years 2 through 7.

Note that many landowners receive cost-share payments for reforestation through state or federal programs. Landowners have the option of whether these payments are included in their taxable income. If they don’t include them, the 7-year amortization can only be claimed on reforestation expenses net of cost-share payments.

Seven-year amortization of reforestation costs really isn’t very complicated. The following problem demonstrates how it works, and it shows how you calculate the tax savings due to the credit and deductions that are allowed. Notice in the example that these tax savings are significant; the law was passed to provide a tax incentive that encourages private landowners to invest in reforestation.

44. You spend $14,000 on reforestation and receive 50% cost share (which you choose not to count as taxable income). You claim the 10% tax credit for reforestation expenses, and amortize 95% of the net expense. That is, 95% of $7,000 since you got 50% cost share. What’s your tax savings in each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Tax Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10% tax credit</td>
<td>(.10)(7,000)=$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1/14)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$475(.35)=$166.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$950(.35)=$332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$950(.35)=$332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$950(.35)=$332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$950(.35)=$332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$950(.35)=$332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1/7)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$950(.35)=$332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(1/14)(.95)(7,000) deduction</td>
<td>$475(.35)=$166.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

Following these solved problems relating to income taxes is a 25-question practice test.

45a. You purchase a forested property that has a fair market value of:
- precommercial timber ... $100,000
- merchantable timber ... $800,000
- land ... $100,000

What’s the original cost basis of the land if you paid $1,200,000 for the property?

a. $100,000  
b. $120,000  
c. $80,000  
d. $0.00

[The correct answer is “b.”]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Proportion of Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Original Cost Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>960,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Growth</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pay very close attention to the wording of questions. In question 45b the word merchantable is key.

45b. The year after you purchase the tract in Problem 45a you reforest 400 acres for a cost of $50,000. How does this affect the merchantable timber account?

a. It increases it by 800,000/1,000,000 of $50,000 ... or $40,000.  
b. It increases it by $50,000.  
c. It increases it by 800,000/1,200,000 of $50,000 ... or $33,333.33  
d. It has no effect.

In this case, the $50,000 goes into the plantation account. The plantation account can’t be added to the merchantable timber account until the timber becomes merchantable. Like the young growth account, when the plantation becomes merchantable the $50,000 can be moved to the merchantable timber account. Until this happens, it has no effect on the account, so the correct answer is “d.”

45c. Two years after you purchase the tract in Problems 45a and 45b the precommercial timber becomes merchantable. How does this affect the merchantable timber account?

a. It increases it by $100,000.  
b. It increases it by $120,000.  
c. It increases it by $80,000.  
d. It has no effect.

The merchantable timber account increases by $120,000.
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

45d. Three years after you purchase the tract in Problems 45a, 45b, and 45c you sell half of the timber for $1,500,000. Your capital gains rate was 28%. How much tax is due on the sale?

a. $420,000  
b. $302,000  
c. $268,800  
d. $151,200

Timber sale revenue = $1,500,000  
Depletion allowance = (0.50)$1,080,000 = $540,000  
Taxable capital gain = $960,000  
Tax rate = .28  
Income tax due = $268,800

[The correct answer is “c.”]

46. How much income tax is due on the following timber sale?

Sale revenue = 2,000 MBF x $175/MBF = $350,000  
Total merchantable volume, after the sale = 6,000 MBF  
Adjusted basis before the sale = $400,000  
Capital gains tax rate = 28%

a. $98,000  
b. $112,000  
c. $70,000  
d. $42,000

Timber sale revenue = $350,000  
Depletion allowance = 2,000/8,000($400,000) = $100,000  
Taxable capital gain = $250,000  
Tax rate = .28  
Income tax due = $70,000

[The correct answer is “c.”]

47. You attend a forestry shortcourse for business reasons. The cost of the course was $500, which in your case is tax deductible. If your marginal tax rate for ordinary income is 31%, what’s your after-tax cost of attending the course?

The expense is fully deductible in the year incurred, so your after-tax cost is found by using the factor (1 – Tax Rate):

\[
\text{After-tax cost} = 500 \times (1 - .31) = 345.00
\]
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

48. You spend $20,000 on reforestation and receive a 50% cost-share payment. You claim a 10% tax credit in the first year, and you also deduct 1/14th of the 95% of the allowable expense. On an after-tax basis, what’s your effective, out-of-pocket cost of reforestation in the first year, i.e., ignoring tax savings from the amortization allowed in future years? Your marginal tax rate is 28%; assume that cost shares were not included in your taxable income.

a. $10,000  
b. $9,000  
c. $8,810  
d. $7,200

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross before-tax reforestation expense</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost share payment received</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-pocket expense after cost-shares</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax credit (10%)</td>
<td>– 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax savings from the first-year amortization</td>
<td>– $190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective, out-of-pocket expense in the first year</td>
<td>$8,810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[The correct answer is “c.”]

Note that the tax savings from the first-year amortization deduction was calculated by multiplying the amount of the deduction (1/14) (.95) ($10,000) by the marginal tax rate (28%):

\[(1/14) (.95) ($10,000) (.28) = $190.00\]

49. The adjusted basis of the merchantable timber on a tract is $20,000. You harvest 40% of the timber and receive $20,000. Your tax rate is 28%. How much income tax is due?

a. $3,360  
b. $5,600  
c. $2,240  
d. $4,480

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber sale revenue</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depletion allowance</td>
<td>(.40) ($20,000) = 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxable capital gain</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax due</td>
<td>$3,360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[The correct answer is “a.”]
9.10 Income taxes (continued)

50. A landowner sells a stand of timber lump sum for $100,000. Sales expenses were 10%. His adjusted basis was $150,000 and he cut 20,000 of 100,000 cords of pulpwood. His tax rate was 28%. What was his profit on the sale, after taxes?

a. $100,000  
b. $90,000  
c. $60,000  
d. $73,200

Timber sale revenue = $100,000
Sale expenses (10%) = 10,000
Net sale revenue = $90,000
Depletion allowance = (.20) ($150,000) = 30,000
Taxable capital gain = $60,000
Tax rate = .28
Income tax due = $16,800

Net sale revenue = $90,000
Income tax due = 16,800
After-tax profit from the timber sale = $73,200
[The correct answer is “d.”]

The next part of Section 9 is a set of 25 questions in the form of a “practice test.” The questions involve forest valuation and investment analysis topics only, of course; the “test” is intended to help prepare for these topics on the Registered Forester exam.

Answers to all questions on the practice test are on page 9.44. We recommend, however, that all of the questions be answered before consulting the answer page.
9.11 Practice test on forest valuation

1. A precommercial thinning today will cost $10/acre. However, yield in 20 years is projected to increase from $800 to $1,000/acre. What’s the projected rate of return on the thinning operation?

   a. 20%
   b. 16.16%
   c. 25.89%
   d. 8.08%

2. A precommercial thinning today will cost $10/acre. Yield is projected to increase in 20 years when the pulpwood price is expected to be $40/cord. How much must yield increase for you to earn 8% on the thinning operation?

   a. 1.165 cords/acre
   b. 2.436 cords/acre
   c. 3.677 cords/acre
   d. 4.444 cords/acre

3. Given the following yield table and a price of $30/cord, using the simple financial maturity model what should be the final harvest age if the interest rate is 3%?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   a. 25 years
   b. 30 years
   c. 35 years
   d. 40 years

4. Given the yield table in question three and a price of $30/cord, what stand age produces the maximum Net Present Value? (Assume there are no other costs and these yields represent the only timber revenues.)

   a. 25 years
   b. 30 years
   c. 35 years
   d. 40 years
9.11 Practice test on forest valuation  
(continued)

5. What is the Internal Rate of Return on an investment that costs $100 today and pays $500 in 10 years?
   a. 5.0%
   b. 11.5%
   c. 17.5%
   d. 21.4%

6. What is the Internal Rate of Return on an investment that costs $1,000 today and yields $162.75 per year for 10 years?
   a. 5%
   b. 10%
   c. 15%
   d. 20%

7. If you invest $2,000 in a precommercial thinning, how much additional revenue must be generated in seven years to earn 7%?
   a. $1,211.56
   b. $3,878.43
   c. $4,221.19
   d. $4,466.16

8. You pay $1,000 per year into a savings account for 10 years. At 10% interest, how much money will be in the account after the 10th payment?
   a. $11,213.37
   b. $14,931.73
   c. $15,937.42
   d. $17,818.43

9. You make 10 payments of $1,000 each into a savings account paying 10%. These are annual payments and the first payment is today. How much will be in the account after the 10th payment?
   a. $11,213.37
   b. $14,931.73
   c. $15,937.42
   d. $17,818.43
9.11 Practice test on forest valuation (continued)

10. You purchase a $30,000 vehicle. You finance the purchase at 8.9% interest over 60 months. What is your monthly payment?
   
   a. $480.40  
   b. $514.63  
   c. $621.30  
   d. $814.80

11. What is the Present Value of an annuity paying $1,000 in 20 years and every 40 years thereafter at an 8% interest rate?
   
   a. $224.90  
   b. $48.25  
   c. $10.35  
   d. $118.21

12. The EZ loan company advertises a loan of $10,000 to be repaid in 60 easy monthly installments of $224.44. What is the APR?
   
   a. 8%  
   b. 12%  
   c. 10%  
   d. 14%

13. The EZ loan company advertises a loan of $10,000 to be repaid in 60 easy monthly installments of $222.44. What is the effective annual interest rate?
   
   a. 8.3%  
   b. 12.7%  
   c. 10.5%  
   d. 14.9%

14. You put money into a savings account that pays 12% compounded quarterly. How much will be in the account if you originally invested $1,000 10 years ago?
   
   a. $3,105.85  
   b. $3,194.06  
   c. $3,262.04  
   d. $3,300.39
9.11 Practice test on forest valuation (continued)

15. What is the Future Value of a 100-year annuity that pays $2,000 per year for the first 50 years and $4,000 per year for the second 50 years? The interest rate is 8%.

a. $3,442,621  
b. $54,969,033  
c. $55,988,871  
d. $56,116,573

16. What amount of money will make the following two cash flows equivalent at 8% interest? (Note that the amount of money in question occurs in year three of the second cash flow series.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flow #1</th>
<th>Cash Flow #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Cash Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>–$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+ 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+ 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+ 1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. What is the Net Present Value of the second 50 years of the following hunting lease?

Length = 100 years  
Amount = $1,000/year  
Interest rate = 3%

a. $5,869.15  
b. $8,917.69  
c. $22,481.14  
d. $31,598.91

18. Given the following interest rates and NPVs, what is the Rate of Return on the investment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest Rate</th>
<th>Net Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>$501.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>314.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>204.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>111.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>80.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>20.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>– 41.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>– 101.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>– 224.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 6%  
b. 7%  
c. 8%  
d. Not enough information to tell.
9.11 Practice test on forest valuation (continued)

19. What is the Net Present Value of the following cash flow at 8%? The cash flow is perpetual.

\[ \text{NPV} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_t}{(1 + r)^t} \]

\[ C_t = \begin{cases} \$100 & \text{for} \ t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 10 \\ \$200 & \text{for} \ t = 11, 12, \ldots \end{cases} \]

a. $1,250.00
b. $1,350.00
c. $2,551.92
d. $1,999.04

20. Assume inflation has averaged 5% over the last 10 years and the price of hardwood pulpwood has risen 5% annually in real terms over the same period. If the price was $5/cord 10 years ago, what is it today?

\[ \text{Price today} = \text{Price 10 years ago} \times (1 + \text{inflation rate})^{\text{number of years}} \]

a. $7.50/cord
b. $13.27/cord
c. $8.14/cord
d. $10.00/cord

21. You place $1,000 in a savings account paying 10% annually. How much interest will you earn the third year?

\[ \text{Interest} = \text{Principal} \times \text{Rate} \times \text{Time} \]

a. $100.00
b. $210.00
c. $121.00
d. $331.00

22. You perform a timber stand improvement operation that cost $10/acre. This was an “ordinary expense” for income tax purposes. If your marginal tax rate was 35%, what was your after-tax cost?

\[ \text{After-tax cost} = \text{Cost} \times (1 - \text{tax rate}) \]

a. $3.50
b. $10.00
c. $6.50
d. $13.50

23. You purchase a timber tract for $25,000 and sell it in eight years for $50,000. Your capital gains tax rate is 28%. What is your after-tax Rate of Return?

\[ \text{Rate of Return} \]

a. 7%
b. 9.1%
c. 8.4%
d. 9.9%
9.11 Practice test on forest valuation (continued)

24. What is your profit on the following timber sale, after taxes?
   Sale revenue = 1,000 MBF at $250/MBF = $250,000
   Sale expense = 8% of sale revenue
   Adjusted basis before sale = $1,000,000
   Timber volume before sale = 10,000 MBF
   Marginal tax rate on capital gains income = 28%

   a. $208,000
   b. $198,000
   c. $193,600
   d. $154,880

25. A client has $20,000 of reforestation expenses. Site preparation and planting should start after Thanksgiving and finish by New Year’s Day. What can you advise your client concerning the available tax credit and amortization options that may be available?

   a. He isn’t entitled to a tax credit nor 7-year amortization of the expenses.
   b. He’s entitled to a 10% tax credit and the 7-year amortization. He’s limited, however, to a maximum of $10,000 of the $20,000 total expense. There is no way to increase this tax benefit.
   c. If he splits the operation into two separate operations, he can utilize the tax credit and amortization over the entire $20,000 investment.
   d. He may want to consider performing half the operation this year and half next year, since the tax incentives are limited to $10,000 annually.

Practice test on forest valuation answers ...

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>14.</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>17.</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>19.</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>20.</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>22.</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>24.</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.12 Timber production relationships in forest management

Growth and yield prediction is fundamental to forest resource management. Basic timber production relationships are often included in the forest management section of the Registered Forester exam. Sections 9.12 through 9.14 cover material that relate to forest resource management. Section 9.15 is a practice test covering that material.

Mean annual increment (MAI) is the average growth of a stand at a specified age.

\[
\text{MAI} = \frac{Y_A}{A}
\]

where:
- \( \text{MAI} \) = mean annual increment
- \( Y_A \) = yield at stand age “A”

Periodic annual increment (PAI) is average growth of a stand over a specified time period (specified by the yield table interval). Current annual increment (CAI) is a special case of PAI where the time interval is one year (i.e., the yield table has yields at all ages). So CAI is growth from year to year.

\[
\text{PAI} = \frac{Y_{A+n} - Y_A}{n}
\]

where:
- \( \text{PAI} \) = periodic annual increment
- \( A \) = stand age
- \( n \) = interval between stand age in the yield table
- \( Y \) = yield at specified stand age

51. Consider the following yield table with a 5-year interval. Calculate MAI and PAI. Note that PAI is usually listed between stand ages (because it is average growth between these ages). It would also be plotted this way.
### 9.12 Timber production relationships in forest management (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cu. ft.)</th>
<th>MAI (cu. ft.)</th>
<th>PAI (cu. ft.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3,677</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,020</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5,877</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>6,363</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>6,638</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Problem 51 demonstrates the relationship between Mean Annual Increment and Periodic Annual Increment.

MAI and PAI usually plot as illustrated below:

![Graph showing MAI and PAI](image)

Note that MAI = PAI when MAI is at a maximum. The age of maximum MAI (age 20 in Problem 51) is the stand age that will maximize wood production over perpetual rotations.
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52. The age of maximum MAI for a loblolly pine stand is 23 years. Maximum MAI = 238 cu.ft./ac./yr. at age 23 years. What is PAI at age 23?

   a. 238 cu.ft./ac./yr.
   b. less than 238 cu.ft./ac./yr.
   c. more than 238 cu.ft./ac./yr.
   d. not enough information to tell

The answer is “a” since MAI = PAI at the age of maximum MAI.

Two factors primarily determine the timber actually produced on a tract of land during a given time period: site quality (the productive potential of the land to grow timber) and the distribution (by size, species, spatial pattern, age, etc.) of the growing stock currently on the site.

Stand density measures quantitatively the extent of stem crowding within a given area. Stocking, while often related to density, is a qualitative measure. Stocking compares the existing number of trees in a stand to the number desired for optimum growth and volume. Stands are often referred to as fully-stocked (or 100% stocked), over-stocked, or under-stocked.

Example:

A stand contains 300 trees per acre. Originally, 400 trees per acre were planted; for optimum growth 375 trees per acre are desired. The stand density is 300 trees per acre. The stand is under-stocked or 80% stocked. Percent Survival is 75 percent.

The basic relationship that makes stand density important is that, within limits, the more growing space a tree has, the faster it will grow. Thus, a primary means for a forester to control stand growth and tree characteristics is to control stand density by thinning and other silvicultural means.

How does density affect stand structure? Height growth is little affected by stand density – that’s part of the reason stand height is used to predict site quality. Diameter growth, however, is strongly correlated with stand density. Basal area is affected by stand density in the early years of stand development; but in later development, all stands move toward basal area carrying capacity. Mortality is closely related to stand density. These relationships are illustrated on the following page.
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The diagrams below show fundamental relationships between stand density (planting spacing) and stand attributes. The diagrams are based on data for loblolly pine plantations. They were adapted from Conrad, L.W. III, T.J. Straka, and W.F. Watson. 1992. Economic evaluation of initial spacing for a thirty-year-old unthinned loblolly pine plantation. South. J. Appl. For. 16(2):89-93.

a) Height

Height growth is not greatly affected by stand density. This fact is an important assumption in the “site index” discussion on page 9.58, since tree height growth is nearly independent of density, we don’t need different site index curves for various stand densities.

b) Diameter

Diameter growth is strongly correlated with stand density.

Decreasing stand density produces significant increases in diameter growth at breast height.

c) Basal Area

Basal area is a measure of stand density (see page 9.49); in the early years of stand development, the wider planting spacings result in lower stand basal areas.

Basal area for all three initial spacings moves to the site’s carrying capacity (of about 200 square feet per acre). An important question is “While all three sites are fully occupied, what are the differences in tree characteristics in the three stands?”

d) Survival

Mortality is closely related to the carrying capacity of the site; note that all three spacings are moving to a relatively equal density by age 30.

The closer the initial spacing, the greater the mortality over time.
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We briefly review seven measures of stand density ...

1. Trees per acre
2. Volume
3. Basal area
4. Stand density index
5. Relative density
6. Crown competition factor, and
7. Relative spacing.

Except for trees per acre and volume, each of the measures of stand density is demonstrated with an example, and there is a comprehensive example where the measures are calculated from the same data.

Measures of Density

1. Trees per acre
   The most simple measure. However, it is of little value unless coupled with other stand characteristics (average dbh, spacing, stand age, etc.). This characteristic is commonly used in stand growth and yield models.

2. Volume
   Another simple measure. Volume relates directly or indirectly to many other density measures. It's probably most common to relate volume to some measure of stocking, e.g., a fully-stocked stand has 40 cords per acre at age 25.

3. Basal area
   This measure is directly correlated with cubic volume, making it an effective indicator of stand density, especially in even-aged stands. Basal area is the total cross-sectional area of the trees in a stand, measured in square feet per acre at 4.5 feet above the ground.

   Basal area calculations involve some simple algebra. Let $BA_i$ = basal area in square feet of tree $i$ with a diameter at breast height of $DBH_i$. Since diameters of trees are often measured in inches, the simple relationship to obtain BA in square inches is:

   $$BA_i \text{ (in square inches)} = \pi \frac{DBH_i^2}{4}$$

   If this result is divided by 144 (square inches per square foot), basal area is converted to square feet. Thus, the basal area for a single tree in square feet is given by:

   $$BA_i \text{ (in square feet)} = \pi \frac{DBH_i^2}{(144)4} = (.005454)DBH_i^2$$

   *The formula for Basal Area of a tree. When summed for all the trees in a stand, this is a very commonly applied measure of the stand's density.*
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The total basal area for a sample of “n” trees is:

\[ BA = 0.005454 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} DBH_i^2 \right) \]

The mean basal area per tree is:

\[ \overline{BA} = \frac{0.005454 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} DBH_i^2 \right)}{n} \]

The quadratic mean diameter at breast height, \(\overline{DBH}_q\) is:

\[ \overline{DBH}_q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} DBH_i^2} \]

Thus, the mean basal area per tree can also be defined as:

\[ \overline{BA} = 0.005454 \overline{DBH}_q^2 \]

And the basal area of n sample trees is:

\[ BA = (n) \cdot 0.005454 \overline{DBH}_q^2 \]

Consider the following data for a single acre …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBH_i</th>
<th>Trees Per Acre</th>
<th>DBH_i^2</th>
<th>BA_i</th>
<th>BA \sum DBH_i^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>13.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>20.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>21.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>7.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>6.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>5.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate:

a) basal area for the 1-acre plot;
b) mean basal area per tree;
c) quadratic mean DBH; and
d) basal area using the quadratic mean diameter.
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The calculations are:

a) BA for the 1-acre plot can be obtained by multiplying .005454 by the sum of all diameters squared:

\[ BA = .005454 \sum_{i=1}^{n} DBH_i^2 \]

\[ BA = .005454 (14,000) = 76.356 \]

b) Mean BA per tree is identified by dividing the plot total BA by the number of trees:

\[ \bar{BA} = \frac{.005454 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} DBH_i^2 \right)}{n} \]

\[ \bar{BA} = \frac{.005454 (14,000)}{190} = 0.402 \]

c) Quadratic mean DBH is also obtained as shown on the previous page:

\[ \bar{DBH}_q = \sqrt{\frac{14,000}{190}} = 8.58 \]

d) BA for the 1-acre plot can also be obtained using the quadratic mean DBH:

\[ BA = .005454 (n) \bar{DBH}_q^2 \]

\[ BA = .005454 (190) (8.58)^2 = 76.356 \]

4. Stand Density Index

Also called “Reineke’s” stand density index (SDI), this measure was first proposed by Reineke in 1933. The SDI measure is based on the limiting relationship between the number of trees per acre (N) and average tree size (quadratic mean diameter was used to represent average tree size).

Reineke found that there was a well-defined linear relationship between the logarithm of the number of trees per acre and the logarithm of the quadratic mean diameter in fully-stocked even-aged stands, as shown in the following diagram.
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The main advantage of SDI is that it is independent of site quality and age. Stands can therefore be compared independently of site index and age.

Using the above information (including the constant slope), Reineke developed stand density relationships for various species; each is based on the maximum number of trees at a quadratic mean stand diameter of 10”.

For loblolly pine, for example, the maximum SDI is 450; even-aged loblolly stands are fully-stocked if the average quadratic mean diameter is 10” and there are 450 trees per acre. For longleaf pine, the maximum SDI is 400.

What if the quadratic mean diameter is not 10”? The formula below was developed to calculate SDI for even-aged stands, given the number of trees per acre and the quadratic mean stand diameter:

\[
SDI = N \left( \frac{DBH_q}{10} \right)^{1.605}
\]

Example:
Calculate the stand density index for a loblolly pine plantation with 1,958 trees per acre with a quadratic mean stand diameter of 4.0”. Also calculate SDI for a loblolly stand with 450 trees per acre and a quadratic mean diameter of 10”:

\[
SDI_{4.0”} = 1,958 \left( \frac{4}{10} \right)^{1.605} = 450
\]

Since the SDI is equal to the maximum for loblolly pine, both of these stands are fully-stocked.

\[
SDI_{10.0”} = 450 \left( \frac{10}{10} \right)^{1.605} = 450
\]
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We briefly review seven measures of stand density ...

1. Trees per acre
2. Volume
3. Basal area
4. Stand density index
5. Relative density
6. Crown competition factor, and
7. Relative spacing.

5. Relative Density
Relative density (RD) is a common measure of stand density in the Pacific Northwest. RD is defined as:

\[
RD = \frac{BA}{\sqrt[4]{DBH_q}}
\]

Generally, relative densities in the lower 20s characterize open-grown stands, a stand with a relative density of 50 is a candidate for thinning, and a relative density in the 60s is overstocked.

**Example:**
For the example loblolly pine plantation on the previous page (\(N = 1,958\) and quadratic mean diameter = 4.0"), we can calculate relative density as:

\[
BA = .005454 (1,958) (4.0^2) = 170.86
\]

\[
RD = \frac{170.86}{\sqrt[4]{4}} = 85.43
\]

Since the SDI for this stand is at the limiting number of trees per acre (see the SDI example on the previous page), our RD result is consistent – it indicates full (or over) stocking.
6. **Crown Competition Factor**

The relationship between crown width (CW) and the diameter of a tree can be estimated by a linear function:

\[
CW = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D \quad \text{… where } CW \text{ is in feet and } D \text{ is in inches}
\]

Since CW is in feet, the formula for the area of a circle yields estimated crown area (CA) in square feet:

\[
CA = \frac{\pi}{4} (CW)^2
\]

Or, substituting for CW,

\[
CA = \frac{\pi}{4} (\beta_0 + \beta_1 D)^2
\]

The maximum crown area (MCA) that an open-grown tree (of diameter D) can occupy, expressed as a percentage of an acre, is:

\[
MCA = \frac{\pi}{4} (\beta_0 + \beta_1 D)^2 \times 100
\]

Simplifying the equation yields:

\[
MCA = 0.001803 (\beta_0 + \beta_1 D)^2
\]

Crown competition factor (CCF) is the sum of MCA for all the trees in a stand, expressed on a per acre basis.

**Example:**

An ordinary least squares regression where crown width was predicted as a function of diameter produced the following estimated values for \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_1 \):

\[
CW = 4.0 + 2.5 (D)
\]

Therefore,

\[
MCA = 0.001803 (4.0 + 2.5 D)^2
\]

\[
MCA = 0.001803 (6.25 D^2 + 20 D + 16)
\]

\[
MCA = 0.01127 D^2 + 0.0306 D + 0.02885
\]
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Crown Competition Factor (continued) …

CCF Example (continued)

Using the data from the basal area example on page 9.50:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBH</th>
<th>Trees Per Acre</th>
<th>MCA(%)</th>
<th>MCA x Trees Per Acre (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.6509</td>
<td>45.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0385</td>
<td>62.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5163</td>
<td>60.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.0843</td>
<td>20.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.7424</td>
<td>16.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4906</td>
<td>13.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ CCF = \sum (MCA \times \text{Trees Per Acre}) \]

Our example stand has nearly 220% overlapping crowns.

7. Relative Spacing

Re-examine the relationship in diagram “d” in the illustration on page 9.48. Note that through natural mortality, stands tend to move to a common minimum relative spacing over time. This relationship is independent of site quality.

Relative spacing is commonly used to control stand density in southern pine plantations. Relative spacing is also called spacing index.

Relative spacing (RS) is calculated as:

\[ RS = \frac{\text{Average distance between trees}}{\text{Average height of dominant trees}} \]

If equal spacing is assumed:

\[ RS = \frac{\sqrt{43,560 \div \text{No. Trees Per Acre}}}{\text{Average height of dominant trees}} \]
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Relative Spacing Example

A pine plantation has 250 trees per acre with an average dominant height of 90 feet. Assuming equal spacing,

\[ RS = \frac{\sqrt{43,560 \div 250}}{90} \]

Relative spacing is often used to specify thinning regimes. Suppose a thinning regime involves two thinnings, one when dominant height is 40 feet and the second thinning when dominant height is 80 feet. A relative spacing of 0.20 is specified. What number of trees should be remaining after the first and second thinnings?

After the first thinning:

\[ N = \frac{43,560 \div (40 \times 0.20)^2}{2} = 681 \text{ trees per acre} \]

After the second thinning:

\[ N = \frac{43,560 \div (80 \times 0.20)^2}{2} = 170 \text{ trees per acre} \]

Solved problems:

53. You measure the 10 loblolly pine trees below on a 1/10th acre plot. What are the average and quadratic mean diameters. What is the basal area of the plot?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree No.</th>
<th>DBH (in.)</th>
<th>DBH²</th>
<th>BA (sq. ft.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.3491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.3491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.7854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.0690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \frac{86}{10} = 8.6" \]

\[ \sqrt[8]{812 / 10} = 9.0" \]
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More solved problems ...

54. What is average stand basal area per acre?

\[
BA = 4.4286 \times 10 = 44.3 \text{ square feet}
\]

55. What is the stand density index?

\[
SDI = N \left( \frac{\text{DBH}^q}{10} \right)^{1.605}
\]

\[
SDI = 100 \left( \frac{9}{10} \right)^{1.605} = 84.4
\]

Why would we consider this stand 19\% stocked? Recall the maximum SDI for loblolly pine is 450.

56. What is relative density?

\[
RD = \frac{BA}{\sqrt{\text{DBH}_q}} = \frac{44.3}{\sqrt{9}} = 14.3
\]

57. What is the crown competition factor when \( CW = 4 + 2 \times \text{(DBH)} \)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBH</th>
<th>Trees Per Acre</th>
<th>MCA(%)</th>
<th>MCA x Trees Per Acre (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.4616</td>
<td>18.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.7212</td>
<td>14.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0385</td>
<td>20.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4136</td>
<td>14.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8463</td>
<td>18.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCF = 86.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Space occupied = 86.25\%

58. Assume this is a plantation with a very unusual stand structure ... with a dominant height of 50’. What is relative spacing?

\[
RS = \sqrt{\frac{43,560 \div 100}{50}} = 0.42
\]
Site quality is a measure of the innate capacity of a tract of land to grow specific types of timber. A common measure of site quality is *site index*.

Site index is calculated by determining the expected average total height of dominant and codominant trees in an even-aged stand at a base index age of 25, 50, or 100 years. In the South, a base age of 25 is often used for pine plantations; a base age of 50 is typically used for natural stands.

Site index “curves” for a given species provide estimates of site index; average height and stand age are determined from field data, then the estimated site index at a given base age can be read from the appropriate set of curves – as shown in the illustration below:

Site quality has a major impact on the structure of forest stands. If you study site index relationships you will note:

- Higher site index forest stands will carry more basal area at any given age.
- Higher site index forest stands will carry fewer trees per acre at any given age.
- Higher site index forest stands will have a higher average DBH at any given age (i.e., fewer trees, but larger trees).
- Higher site index forest stands will produce more volume per acre at any given age.

9.15 Classical forest regulation

What’s a “fully-regulated” forest?
The classical forest regulation model defines a fully-regulated forest as one with age and size classes of trees such that the forest yields approximately equal quantities of desired forest products on a periodic basis. Early literature refers to fully-regulated forests as “normal” forests. The concept goes back to the European roots of American forestry that stressed a continuous even-flow of timber products.

What’s a “normal” forest?
A normal forest is an interesting theoretical model. It presents an interesting starting point in discussing fully-regulated forests. A normal forest represents the traditional “textbook” model of a fully-regulated forest.

The normal forest concept involves simple assumptions:

• All forest stands are fully-stocked, i.e., “normal” growing stock levels exist in all stands.
• Equal site productivity and management intensity occur across the forest.
• The age-class distribution includes stands of equal acreage representing each age class to the rotation age. If maximum wood production is required over time, the rotation age equals the age of maximum mean annual increment.

The fully-regulated even-aged forest…
The “normal” forest described above provides an excellent starting point for discussing forest regulation. By definition, all normal forests are regulated. Of course not all fully-regulated forests are normal forests.

In the normal forest, we will assume a constant site productivity, a single timber species, and one management regime. Each age class represents a single stand. Each year, the oldest age class (the age class equal to the rotation age) is harvested. This stand then becomes the youngest age class. The forest has a constant structure.

If the rotation age and total acres are known, the number of acres in each age class is given by:

\[
\text{Acres in each age class (a)} = \frac{\text{Total acreage in the forest (A)}}{\text{Rotation Age (R)}}
\]

Or, \(a = \frac{A}{R}\)
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**Solved problem:**

59. Assume we want to maximize mean annual increment and the following yield table is appropriate for our loblolly pine forest. Our pulpwood rotation would be 25 years (maximum mean annual increment equals 2.64 cords per acre per year at age 25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords/ac.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the total area of the forest is 500,000 acres, the area per age class is:

\[
a = \frac{A}{R} = \frac{500,000 \text{ acres}}{25 \text{ years}}
\]

The 25 age classes in this fully-regulated forest are illustrated in the diagram on the next page.
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The diagram below illustrates the “layout” of a normal forest with the simplifying assumptions described on page 9.59. This forest will serve as our basic example for the remaining topics under the “classical forest regulation” heading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age classes in a 25-year rotation, fully-regulated forest</th>
<th>One year later for the same regulated forest</th>
<th>Twenty-four years later for the same regulated forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>25 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 9 8 7 6</td>
<td>11 10 9 8 7</td>
<td>9 8 7 6 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 12 13 14 15</td>
<td>12 13 14 15 16</td>
<td>10 11 12 13 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 19 18 17 16</td>
<td>21 20 19 18 17</td>
<td>19 18 17 16 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 22 23 24 25</td>
<td>22 23 24 25 1</td>
<td>20 21 22 23 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each numbered block represents an age class (the number is the stand’s age). The 500,000-acre forest has 25 stands of 20,000 acres each.

The far-right diagram above represents the forest 24 years after the beginning period. After the next year (year 25), the forest will have gone through a complete rotation cycle and the stand age classes will be identical to the left-hand diagram.

In the remainder of this section on classical forest regulation, we discuss four topics; each is a classical measure of a fully-regulated forest or a classical technique for establishing a regulated forest through harvests over time. The four topics are:

1. Growing stock
2. Annual harvest
3. Yield or cut (volume control)
   a. Hundeshagen’s formula
   b. Von Mantel’s formula
   c. The Austrian formula
   d. Meyer’s amortization formula
   e. The Hanzlik formula
4. Equivalent acres
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1. Growing stock

The total volume of growing stock, GS, is given by the summation of the volumes on each acre:

$$ GS = aY_1 + aY_2 + aY_3 + \ldots + aY_{r-1} + aY_r $$

$$ = a \sum_{i=1}^{r} Y_i $$

where $Y_i$ is the yield per acre in age class $i$, and $r$ is the rotation age.

Solved problem:

60. The total volume of growing stock on the example forest is:

$$ GS = aY_1 + aY_2 + aY_3 + \ldots + aY_{24} + aY_{25} $$

$$ = 20,000 \times 0 + 20,000 \times 0 + 20,000 \times 0 + \ldots + 20,000 \times 63 + 20,000 \times 66 $$

$$ = 20,000 \sum_{i=1}^{r} Y_i $$

$$ = 20,000 \times (632) = 12,640,000 \text{ cords} $$

Note that a yield table is often stated in 5-year or 10-year age classes.

For example, consider the yield table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords/ac.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With this type of yield information, growing stock can be estimated using the *summation formula*. The summation formula is based on the assumption that yield for a stand is the yield table value for each yield table age class + one half the number of years between yield table ages. For example, the 10 year age and 7 cord per acre yield is assumed to translate to 7 cords per acre over the 7.5 to 12.5 year age class. The 25 year age and 66 cords per acre yield translates to 66 cords per acre over the 22.5 to 25 year age class (note that 25 is harvest age so yields at greater ages aren’t needed). The diagram on the next page illustrates this concept.

Four techniques for establishing a fully-regulated forest over time …
1. Growing stock
2. Annual harvest
3. Volume control
4. Equivalent acres
9.13 Classical forest regulation (continued)

1. Growing stock (continued)

The summation formula is based on the assumption that yield for a stand is the yield table for each yield table age class ± the number of years between yield table ages:

\[
\text{GS} = n(V_n + V_{2n} + V_{3n} + \ldots + V_{r-n} + \frac{V_r}{2})
\]

Where \( n \) is the number of years between yield table entries,
\( V_i \) is the yield table volume at age \( i \), and
\( r \) is the rotation age.

**Solved problem:**

61. In terms of the prior yield table and a 25-year rotation, growing stock on 25 acres is given by:

\[
\text{GS} = 5(7 + 30 + 51 + 66/2) = 605 \text{ cords on 25 acres}
\]

There is an average of 24.2 cords per acre of growing stock (605/25 = 24.2). The growing stock on the entire forest is 12,100,000 cords, since 20,000 of the 25-acre blocks constitute the entire forest.

\[
\text{GS for the entire forest} = 605 \text{ cads./ac.} \times 20,000 \text{ blocks} = 12,100,000 \text{ cords}
\]

Note that the two estimates of growing stock differ (12,640,000 vs. 12,100,000). This is not unexpected. Both yield tables result in estimates, and the estimates will usually differ.
2. Annual harvest

The annual harvest from the forest (H) is the total yield from the oldest age class, or:

\[ H = Y_R \]

Where all variables are as previously defined, and R is the age of final harvest.

For our example forest, annual harvest is:

\[ H = 20,000 \times 66 = 1,320,000 \text{ cords} \]

Note that the sum of growth on an acre will equal the annual harvest; this means the annual harvest (H) will equal forest growth (G):

\[ H = G = a Y_R \]

Note that mean annual increment (MAI) is defined as:

\[ \text{MAI} = \frac{Y_R}{R} = \frac{66}{25} = 2.64 \text{ cords/acre/year} \]

If we multiply MAI by \(a/R\):

\[ \text{MAI} = \frac{a Y_R}{a R} \]

Recall that \(H = a Y_R\) and \(a R = A\), so that:

\[ \text{MAI} = \frac{H}{A} \]

Or \(H = \text{MAI} \times A\)

**Solved problem:**

61. For the forest example:

\[ H = 6.64 \times 500,000 = 1,320,000 \text{ cords} \]
9.13 Classical forest regulation (continued)

3. Yield or cut (volume control)

In the following discussion of volume control techniques for achieving a fully-regulated forest, we include:

a. Hundeshagen’s formula;

b. Von Mantel’s formula;

c. The Austrian formula;

d. Meyer’s Amortization formula; and

e. Hanzlik’s formula.

a. Hundeshagen’s formula

This formula is an approximation technique to estimate growing stock yield. It’s based on the assumption that a straight-line relationship exists between growing stock volume and yield. For example, this means that 90 percent of normal growing stock will produce 90 percent of a normal yield.

Hundeshagen’s formula is:

\[
Y_a = G_a \left( \frac{Y_R}{G_R} \right)
\]

Where

- \(Y_a\) = actual yield,
- \(Y_R\) = yield of a fully-regulated forest,
- \(G_a\) = actual growing stock, and
- \(G_R\) = growing stock in a fully-regulated forest.

Solved problem:

63. For example, assume that a timber cruise of the 500,000-acre forest discussed earlier determined actual growing stock of 10,112,000 cords (instead of the fully-regulated forest growing stock of 12,640,000 cords). Then actual yield is estimated as:

\[
Y_a = 10,112,000 \left( \frac{1,320,000}{12,640,000} \right)
\]

\[
= 1,056,000 \text{ cords}
\]
9.13 Classical forest regulation (continued)

3. Yield or cut (volume control) (continued)

b. Von Mantel's formula (also called the triangle formula)

Von Mantel’s formula assumes that growing stock in a fully regulated forest increases in a linear manner with age, which produces a right triangle relationship. Growing stock is represented by the area of the triangle. Recall the area of a right triangle is given by 1/2 x base x height (or in this case, 1/2 x R x YR). Then growing stock is:

\[ G_R = \frac{R \cdot Y_R}{2} \]

and if Hundeshagen’s formula is used to estimate actual yield, we obtain …

\[ Y_a = G_a \left[ \frac{Y_R}{\frac{R \cdot Y_R}{2}} \right] \]

\[ = G_a \left[ \frac{2 \cdot Y_R}{R \cdot Y_R} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2 \cdot G_a}{R} \]

Solved problem:

64. Using our same example and solving for actual yield using Von Mantel’s formula:

\[ Y_a = \frac{2 \cdot G_a}{R} = \frac{2(10,112,000)}{25} \]

\[ = 808,960 \text{ cords} \]

Note that the estimate of actual yield we obtained with Von Mantel’s formula (808,960 cords) is considerably less than when we applied Hundeshagen’s formula (1,056,000 cords). Von Mantel’s formula assumes that merchantable volume begins at year 0, while actually we know that merchantable volume doesn’t occur until years after a stand is regenerated. In our example, merchantable volume begins at age 7 (or age 7.5 if the second yield table is used).
9.13 Classical forest regulation (continued)

3. Yield or cut (volume control) (continued)

b. Von Mantel’s formula (continued)

A modified Von Mantel’s formula assumes merchantable volume begins at the age of merchantability implied by the yield table. We still have a right triangle assumption in this case, but the area decreases.

Solved problem:
65. Where \( a_m \) = age where merchantable volume first occurs, the modified Von Mantel’s formula is:

\[
Y_a = \frac{2G_a}{R - a_m} = \frac{2(10,112,000)}{25 - 7}
\]

\[
= 1,123,556 \text{ cords}
\]

c. The Austrian formula

The Austrian formula is a simple method to determine allowable cut. It assumes that the annual increment plus or minus the desired change in growing stock will produce the appropriate harvest quantity. The Austrian formula is:

\[
\text{Annual cut} = 1 + \frac{G_a - G_R}{P}
\]

Where \( I \) = annual increment (usually periodic annual increment),
\( G_a \) = present growing stock,
\( G_R \) = desired growing stock, and
\( P \) = adjustment period in years.

Solved problem:
66. For example, assume that the present growing stock is 20 cords per acre and the desired growing stock is 25 cords per acre. Annual increment is 2.64 cords per acre and the adjustment period is 15 years:

\[
\text{Annual cut} = 2.64 + \frac{20 - 25}{15}
\]

\[
= 2.64 + (-0.33) = 2.31 \text{ cords}
\]
3. Yield or cut (volume control) (continued)

d. Meyer’s amortization formula

This formula is a more complex form of the Austrian formula. The formula says future growing stock is equal to present growing stock plus growth minus cut:

\[ G_R = G_a (1 + i_F)^n - a \left( \frac{(1 + i_C)^n - 1}{i_C} \right) \]

Where

- \( G_R \) = future growing stock,
- \( G_a \) = present growing stock,
- \( a \) = annual cut,
- \( i_F \) = percentage growth rate on entire forest,
- \( i_C \) = percentage growth rate on cut portion of forest, and
- \( n \) = number of years in the adjustment period.

Note that this formula is not as complex as it may seem. First, it just “grows” the present growing stock at a rate of \( i_F \) percent. Then it subtracts annual cut, allowing for growth at a rate of \( i_C \) percent. The adjustment factor for “a” is the formula for the future value of a terminating annual series.

Rearranging the above formula for “a” yields the estimate of annual cut:

\[ a = \left[ G_a (1 + i_F)^n - G_R \right] \left( \frac{i_C}{(1 + i_C)^n - 1} \right) \]

Solved problem:

67. For example, assume that over a 15-year adjustment period, 
\( G_R = 25 \), \( G_a = 20 \), \( i_F = 0.07 \), and \( i_C = 0.05 \):

\[ a = \left[ 20 (1.07)^{15} - 25 \right] \left( \frac{0.05}{(1.05)^{15} - 1} \right) \]

\[ = 30.18 (0.0463) = 1.39 \text{ cords} \]
9.13 Classical forest regulation (continued)

3. Yield or cut (volume control) (continued)

e. The Hanzlik formula

This formula is common in the Pacific Northwest and is used to estimate annual cut where old growth timber is involved. The Hanzlik formula is:

\[
\text{Annual cut} = \frac{V_m}{R} + I
\]

Where \( V_m \) = volume of overmature timber, \( R \) = rotation age, and \( I \) = annual increment.

Solved problem:
68. For example, assume annual increment on a forest is 1,320,000 cords and that 7,000,000 cords of overmature timber is on the forest. If we desire to reduce the overmature timber over the 25-year rotation age:

\[
\text{Annual cut} = \frac{7,000,000}{25} + 1,320,000
\]

\[
= 1,600,000 \text{ cords}
\]

4. Equivalent acres

An assumption of our fully-regulated forest model has been equal site productivity over the forest. Of course this doesn’t occur in the “real world,” and there are several ways to handle a forest with unequal site productivity.

Solved problem:
69. Consider the forest described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Index</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Yield (cords/ac.)</th>
<th>Total Yield (cords)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>4,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>7,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>9,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>13,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>34,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One method of adjustment is equivalent acres:

\[
\text{EA}_i = \frac{\bar{Y}}{Y_i}
\]

Where \( \text{EA} \) = equivalent acres for site class i, \( \bar{Y} \) = average yield per acre, and \( Y_i \) = yield per acre for site class i.
9.13 Classical forest regulation (continued)

Four techniques for establishing a fully-regulated forest over time ...
1. Growing stock
2. Annual harvest
3. Volume control
4. Equivalent acres

4. Equivalent acres (continued)

Average yield is \( \frac{34,850 \text{ cords}}{1,300 \text{ acres}} \) = 26.81 cords/ac.

Then equivalent acres by site class are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Index</th>
<th>Equivalent Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.1171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.9575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.7885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{EA}_{50} = \frac{26.81}{17.0} = 1.5771 \]

The value of 1.5771 simply means that you would need to cut 1.5771 acres of site index 50 land to obtain the average per-acre yield for the forest (26.81 cords).

How you obtain the “equivalent acre” value for site index 80 land? (26.81/34.0)

Note that this method can be used to modify the number of acres to cut in area control forest management. For example, if yields are based on rotation age 20, unmodified area control says to cut 1,300 acres / 20 years = 65 acres per year. Using modified area control, however, the “equivalent” acreage to harvest from each site class would be:

- SI\(_{50}\) ... 65 x 1.5771 = 102.51 acres per year
- SI\(_{60}\) ... 65 x 1.1171 = 72.61 acres per year
- SI\(_{70}\) ... 65 x 0.9575 = 62.24 acres per year
- SI\(_{80}\) ... 65 x 0.7885 = 51.25 acres per year

Then the same average volume will be harvested annually on each site class:

- SI\(_{50}\) ... 102.51 x 17.0 = 1,742.7 cords per year
- SI\(_{60}\) ... 72.61 x 24.0 = 1,742.7 cords per year
- SI\(_{70}\) ... 62.24 x 28.0 = 1,742.7 cords per year
- SI\(_{80}\) ... 51.25 x 34.0 = 1,742.7 cords per year
An overview of harvest scheduling …

“Harvest scheduling” can be done with mathematical programming techniques. These techniques schedule the harvests on a forest to maximize or minimize a specific objective. Examples of common objectives are:

- Maximize Net Present Value
- Maximize Bare Land Value
- Maximize Timber Production
- Minimize Cost of Timber

Usually the objective is achieved subject to a set of constraints. Examples of common constraints are:

- Specified Revenue in Each Time Period
- Specified Timber Flow Over Time
- Specified Cash Flow Over Time
- Specified Acreage Distribution Over Time

Linear programming (LP) includes a class of mathematical programming techniques originally developed in the field of operations research. LP methods are widely applied in forest management. Use of LP requires a linear objective function and linear constraints. To solve a harvest scheduling problem using LP, the problem is usually simplified to avoid unnecessary complexity.

General steps in applying LP to the timber harvest scheduling problem are:

- Determine the planning horizon and the length of the cutting period. The planning horizon is the time period over which harvests will be scheduled. This limits the size of the problem. Two rotation lengths is a common planning horizon (50-60 years in the South).

- Determine the cutting units. What area on the forest is to be scheduled for harvest? This might be stands or age classes.

- Determine the relevant management regimes. A management regime is the schedule of planned activities on a particular cutting unit. For example, site prepare at year 1, plant at year 2, thin at year 17, and clearcut at year 25.
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

Example of area control with linear programming:
Consider a short planning horizon of 20 years, with four 5-year planning periods. Looking at just one stand as a cutting unit, the following data and restrictions apply:

- Current age is 20 years;
- Stands cannot exceed 40 years of age;
- One thinning is allowed between the ages of 20 and 40; and
- At least 10 years must separate thinning and final harvest.

With these restrictions, the four cutting periods can be summarized with seven management regimes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutting Period</th>
<th>Stand Age (midpoint)</th>
<th>Management Regime*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Where “H” indicates final harvest and “T” indicates thinning.

Note that yield information on harvests is required at ages 22.5, 27.5, 32.5, and 37.5, and yield information on thinnings is needed at ages 22.5 and 27.5. From the problem requirements, an objective function and constraints are developed. The constraints give the model the power to meet management requirements (cash flow or wood flow, for example).

Consider a 600-acre forest consisting of a low-quality pine-hardwood type. The forest currently has two stands, mainly differentiated on the basis of site productivity. Stand 1 has 250 acres and Stand 2 has 350 acres.

**Your objective:** Create a fully-regulated forest with three stands, such that at each 10-year harvest equal stand areas exist that are 10, 20, and 30 years old. The forest owner wants to maximize wood flow from the forest during the conversion period.

Projected yields from the forest during the conversion period are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand</th>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th>Period 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>3,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>5,495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

Our LP decision variable: How many acres to harvest from each stand in each of the three time periods. We can define the decision variable as $X_{ij}$ where:

\[
X_{ij} = \text{acres harvested from stand } i \text{ in time period } j; \\
i = \text{initial stand number (} i = 1, 2); \text{ and} \\
j = \text{time period of harvest (} j = 1, 2, 3).
\]

This problem will then have six decision variables:

\[
X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{21}, X_{22}, X_{23}
\]

The yield values on page 9.72 represent the objective function coefficients since we are maximizing wood production over time. The objective function is:

\[
\text{Maximize } Z = 549X_{11} + 2,600X_{12} + 3,904X_{13} + 832X_{21} + 3,915X_{22} + 5,495X_{23}
\]

This problem has two sets of constraints. The first set deals with acreage restriction; the size of the two initial stands is a constraint. Stand 1 has 250 acres initially, so the appropriate constraint is:

\[
X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13} \leq 250 \text{ acres}
\]

Stand 2 has 350 acres initially, so the appropriate constraint is:

\[
X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} \leq 350 \text{ acres}
\]

The problem requires that one-third of the acreage be harvested during each 10-year period. This will lead to a fully-regulated forest with three 200-acre stands (one stand 1–10 years old, one stand 11–20 years old, and one stand 21–30 years old). This set of constraints can be expressed as:

\[
X_{11} + X_{21} = 200 \text{ acres} \\
X_{12} + X_{22} = 200 \text{ acres} \\
X_{13} + X_{23} = 200 \text{ acres}
\]

On the following page, we restate the problem in LP form and present the optimal solution.
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

The entire problem on page 9.73 can be formulated as:

Maximize \( Z = 549X_{11} + 2,600X_{12} + 3,904X_{13} + 832X_{21} + 3,915X_{22} + 5,495X_{23} \)

Subject to:
\[
\begin{align*}
X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13} & \leq 250 \text{ acres} \\
X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} & \leq 350 \text{ acres} \\
X_{11} + X_{21} & = 200 \text{ acres} \\
X_{12} + X_{22} & = 200 \text{ acres} \\
X_{13} + X_{23} & = 200 \text{ acres} \\
X_{ij} & \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } j
\end{align*}
\]

The optimal solution is:

\( Z^* = 1,926,000 \) cubic feet
\( X_{11} = 200 \) acres
\( X_{12} = 50 \) acres
\( X_{13} = 0 \) acres
\( X_{21} = 0 \) acres
\( X_{22} = 150 \) acres
\( X_{23} = 200 \) acres

The harvest volume for each time period is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand</th>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th>Period 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>109,800</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>239,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>587,250</td>
<td>1,099,000</td>
<td>1,686,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>109,800</td>
<td>717,250</td>
<td>1,099,000</td>
<td>1,926,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand</th>
<th>Harvest Volume (cubic feet)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>239,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,686,250</td>
<td>1,926,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

There is another efficient method to equate acres over each time period. The acres harvested in each time period are given by:

- Acres harvested in period 1 = $X_{11} + X_{21}$
- Acres harvested in period 2 = $X_{12} + X_{22}$
- Acres harvested in period 3 = $X_{13} + X_{23}$

Mathematically, we can set the three acreages harvested in each time period equal by a set of two equations:

- $X_{11} + X_{21} = X_{12} + X_{22}$
- $X_{12} + X_{22} = X_{13} + X_{23}$

Or, the set of equations can be expressed in a form suitable for linear programming:

- $X_{11} + X_{21} - X_{12} - X_{22} = 0$
- $X_{12} + X_{22} - X_{13} - X_{23} = 0$

Using the new set of constraints, the LP problem can be expressed as:

Maximize $Z = 549X_{11} + 2,600X_{12} + 3,904X_{13} + 832X_{21} + 3,915X_{22} + 5,495X_{23}$

Subject to:
- $X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13} \leq 250$ acres
- $X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} \leq 350$ acres
- $X_{11} + X_{21} - X_{12} - X_{22} = 0$
- $X_{12} + X_{22} - X_{13} - X_{23} = 0$
- $X_{ij} \geq 0$ for all $i$ and $j$

The optimal solution to this problem is identical to the previous formulation.
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

**Example of volume control with linear programming:**
The yields shown at the bottom of page 9.72 can also be used to control the timber volume harvested from the forest.

If we impose the relationship below (as a constraint), the linear programming solution will “force” the volume harvested in period 1 from stands 1 and 2 to equal the volume harvested in period 2 from stands 1 and 2:

\[ 549X_{11} + 832X_{21} = 2,600X_{12} + 3,915X_{22} \]

Or, bringing all variables to the left side of the equality, the constraint becomes:

\[ 549X_{11} - 2,600X_{12} + 832X_{21} - 3,915X_{22} = 0 \]

In a similar manner, we can impose the constraint below to ensure the final solution has the volume harvested in period 2 equal to the volume harvested in period 3:

\[ 2,600X_{12} - 3,904X_{13} + 3,915X_{22} - 5,495X_{23} = 0 \]

Exactly 316,952.733 cubic feet will be harvested each period using these constraints. Note the new distribution of acres harvested indicated in the computer program output using volume control (page 9.79).
Example linear programming computer output – area control:
The output from a computer program used to solve the area control formulation is shown below; each variable is designated as a lower case “x,” and variables \textit{x}_1 through \textit{x}_6 correspond directly to our variables \textit{X}_{11} through \textit{X}_{23}. Variables \textit{x}_7, \textit{x}_8, and \textit{x}_9 are “accounting” variables used by the program to represent total harvest quantities in periods 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Computer program output for the area control example:

**************
Program: Linear Programming
Problem Title: AREA CONTROL

***** Input Data *****

max \textit{Z} = 549\textit{x}_1 + 2600\textit{x}_2 + 3904\textit{x}_3 + 832\textit{x}_4 + 3915\textit{x}_5 + 5495\textit{x}_6

Subject to
\textit{C}1 \textit{1x}_1 + \textit{1x}_2 + \textit{1x}_3 \leq 250
\textit{C}2 \textit{1x}_4 + \textit{1x}_5 + \textit{1x}_6 \leq 350
\textit{C}3 \textit{1x}_1 - \textit{1x}_2 + \textit{1x}_4 - \textit{1x}_5 = 0
\textit{C}4 \textit{1x}_2 - \textit{1x}_3 + \textit{1x}_5 - \textit{1x}_6 = 0
\textit{C}5 549\textit{x}_1 + 832\textit{x}_4 - \textit{1x}_7 = 0
\textit{C}6 2600\textit{x}_2 + 3915\textit{x}_5 - \textit{1x}_8 = 0
\textit{C}7 3904\textit{x}_3 + 5495\textit{x}_6 - \textit{1x}_9 = 0

***** Program Output *****

Final Optimal Solution At Simplex Tableau: 12

\textit{Z} = 1926050.000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reduced Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_1</td>
<td>200.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_2</td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>276.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1032.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_5</td>
<td>150.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_6</td>
<td>200.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_7</td>
<td>109800.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_8</td>
<td>717250.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{x}_9</td>
<td>1099000.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on the next page)
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

Example linear programming control output – area control:

(continued from the previous page) …

Objective Coefficient Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Current Values</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Allowable Increase</th>
<th>Allowable Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x1</td>
<td>-483.000</td>
<td>549.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>1032.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x2</td>
<td>2324.000</td>
<td>2600.000</td>
<td>3632.000</td>
<td>1032.000</td>
<td>276.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x3</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>3904.000</td>
<td>4180.000</td>
<td>276.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x4</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>832.000</td>
<td>1864.000</td>
<td>1032.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x5</td>
<td>2883.000</td>
<td>3915.000</td>
<td>4191.000</td>
<td>276.000</td>
<td>1032.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x6</td>
<td>5219.000</td>
<td>5495.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>276.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x7</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.647</td>
<td>3.647</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x8</td>
<td>-0.785</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x9</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Right Hand Side Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Current Values</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Allowable Increase</th>
<th>Allowable Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>175.000</td>
<td>250.000</td>
<td>700.000</td>
<td>450.000</td>
<td>75.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>125.000</td>
<td>350.000</td>
<td>500.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
<td>225.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>-300.000</td>
<td>3904.000</td>
<td>75.000</td>
<td>75.000</td>
<td>300.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>-225.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
<td>225.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>109800.000</td>
<td>109800.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>717250.000</td>
<td>717250.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1099000.000</td>
<td>1099000.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***** End of Output *****
9.14 Linear programming – area and volume control (continued)

Example linear programming computer output – volume control:
The output from a computer program used to solve the volume control formulation is shown below. As with the area control example, each variable is designated as a lower case “x,” and variables \( x_1 \) through \( x_6 \) correspond directly to our variables \( X_{11} \) through \( X_{23} \). Variables \( x_7 \), \( x_8 \), and \( x_9 \) are “accounting” variables used by the program to represent total harvest quantities in periods 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Computer program output for the volume control example:

**************
Program: Linear Programming
Problem Title: VOLUME CONTROL

***** Input Data *****

max \( Z = 549x_1 + 2600x_2 + 3904x_3 + 832x_4 + 3915x_5 + 5495x_6 \)

Subject to
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C1} & : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 250 \\
\text{C2} & : x_4 + x_5 + x_6 \leq 350 \\
\text{C3} & : 549x_1 - 2600x_2 + 3904x_3 - 832x_4 + 3915x_5 - 5495x_6 = 0 \\
\text{C4} & : 2600x_2 - 3904x_3 + 3915x_5 - 5495x_6 = 0 \\
\text{C5} & : 549x_1 + 832x_4 - x_7 = 0 \\
\text{C6} & : 2600x_2 + 3915x_5 - x_8 = 0 \\
\text{C7} & : 3904x_3 + 5495x_6 - x_9 = 0
\end{align*}
\]

***** Program Output *****

Final Optimal Solution At Simplex Tableau: 10

\( Z = 950858.200 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reduced Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x1</td>
<td>46.908</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x2</td>
<td>121.905</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x3</td>
<td>81.187</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x4</td>
<td>350.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x6</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>131.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x7</td>
<td>31952.733</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x8</td>
<td>31952.733</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x9</td>
<td>31952.733</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on the next page)
Example linear programming control output – volume control:

(continued from the previous page) …

Objective Coefficient Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Current Values</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Allowable Increase</th>
<th>Allowable Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x1</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>549.000</td>
<td>556.846</td>
<td>7.846</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x2</td>
<td>2592.148</td>
<td>2600.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>7.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x3</td>
<td>3811.294</td>
<td>3904.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>92.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x4</td>
<td>820.165</td>
<td>832.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>11.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x5</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>3915.000</td>
<td>3926.835</td>
<td>11.835</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x6</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>5495.000</td>
<td>5626.528</td>
<td>131.528</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x7</td>
<td>-3.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x8</td>
<td>-3.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x9</td>
<td>-3.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Right Hand Side Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Current Values</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Allowable Increase</th>
<th>Allowable Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>186.590</td>
<td>250.000</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>63.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>350.000</td>
<td>468.942</td>
<td>118.942</td>
<td>350.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>-98959.902</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>428450.000</td>
<td>428450.000</td>
<td>98959.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>-247552.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>353753.573</td>
<td>353753.573</td>
<td>247552.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>316952.733</td>
<td>316952.733</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>316952.733</td>
<td>316952.733</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>316952.733</td>
<td>316952.733</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***** End of Output *****
### 9.15 Practice test on forest management

1. The growth/harvest ratio for a region is 1.5. What does this indicate about timber supply?
   
   a. There is an adequate timber supply, since growth exceeds harvest by a significant amount.
   b. Timber price is low because a surplus of timber exists in the region.
   c. Higher quantities of timber should be produced to bring timber supply in balance.
   d. It indicates nothing about timber supply.

2. A forest stand contains 240 trees per acre and a volume of 16 cords per acre. Optimum volume on a fully-stocked stand is 20 cords per acre. Initially, 600 trees per acre were planted. Which of the following statements is false?
   
   a. The stand density is 240 trees per acre.
   b. Survival is 40%.
   c. The stand is understocked.
   d. The stand density is 80%.

3. The following data relate to a survey plot measured in 1997 and 1999. In 1997 the 1-acre plot had a volume of living trees of 25 cords. In 1999 the volume had increased to 28 cords. Mortality over the period was 1 cord and 2 cords were harvested. Ingrowth was 1 cord. What was the gross increment of initial volume?
   
   a. 6 cords
   b. 5 cords
   c. 4 cords
   d. 3 cords

4. An inventory plot was measured in 2000 and 2007. The plot contained the following measurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree</th>
<th>Cubic Foot Volume</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inventory 1st</td>
<td>Inventory 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
   
   Ingrowth, Tree died, Tree cut

   Calculate:
   
   a. Gross increment including ingrowth
   b. Gross increment of initial volume
   c. Net increment including ingrowth
   d. Net increment of initial volume
   e. Net change in growing stock
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

5. An uneven-aged stand is managed on a 5-year cutting cycle. Reserve growing stock is 4,000 cubic feet per acre. Every 5 years 1,353 cubic feet is removed from the stand. What is the growth rate?

a. 4%
b. 5%
c. 6%
d. 7%

6. An uneven-aged stand is managed on a 5-year cutting cycle. Reserve growing stock is 4,000 cubic feet per acre. How much volume can be removed from the stand each five years if the growth rate is 7 1/2%?

a. 1,149 cu. ft.
b. 1,743 cu. ft.
c. 4,000 cu. ft.
d. 5,743 cu. ft.

7. Consider the yield table below. At what age does MAI = PAI?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yields (cords)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 20 years
b. 21 years
c. 22 years
d. 23 years

8. Using the yield table in question 7, what is periodic annual increment between ages 10 and 11?

a. 4 cords
b. 7 cords
c. 11 cords
d. 15 cords
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

9. Which of the following statements is false?

a. Height growth is not greatly affected by stand density.
b. Diameter growth is affected by stand density.
c. Basal area of a mature stand is not greatly affected by stand density.
d. Mortality decreases as stand density increases.

10. Which of the following statements is false?

a. Generally, higher levels of trees per acre occur at higher site indexes.
b. Generally higher levels of basal area occur at higher site indexes.
c. Generally, average DBH of a stand will be higher at higher site indexes.
d. Generally, higher volumes per acre occur at higher site indexes.

11. Relative density equals 34.64 for a stand with a basal area of 120 sq.ft. What is the quadratic mean stand diameter?

a. 3.5”
b. 8.5”
c. 12.0”
d. 20.4”

12. If you determine the rotation age of maximum mean annual increment for the same stand using yield tables based on cubic feet, cords, and board feet, which table is likely to produce the longest rotation age?

a. cubic feet
b. cords
c. board feet
d. Unit of volumetric measure doesn’t affect rotation age determined by maximum mean annual increment. Thus, the rotation age should be the same for all three units of measure.

13. Maximum MAI rotation age is affected by site quality. Generally, the poorer the site, the longer it takes to grow trees, and the longer the rotation age.

TRUE or FALSE

14. In general, if interest rate increased and the criterion used to determine optional rotation length is Net Present Value, how is optimal rotation length affected?

a. increase
b. decrease
c. remain the same
d. not enough information to tell
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

15. Using the yield table below, what is the rotation age that maximizes Internal Rate of Return? Use the cost and price data below to solve the problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year*</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Regeneration</td>
<td>-$120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td>+104/cu. ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R</td>
<td>Annual Mgmt. Cost</td>
<td>-$2.50/ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R</td>
<td>Annual Hunting Lease Revenue</td>
<td>+$2.50/ac</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*R = rotation age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cubic feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a. 15 years  
b. 20 years  
c. 25 years  
d. 30 years)

16. Assume you measure all the trees on a 1-acre plot. Below is the stand table. What are the basal area, stand density index, and relative spacing? Average height of dominants is 60 feet (assume square spacing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBH</th>
<th>Trees Per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

17. Below are the optimal rotation ages for a forest investment using the mean annual increment (MAI), Net Present Value (NPV), and the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) criteria. The optimum ages are suspect due to an apparent error. What is the apparent error?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Optimum Rotation Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max MAI</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max NPV</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max IRR</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. A major goal of forest products companies is to fully utilize 100 percent of total biological growth.

TRUE or FALSE

19. If the net change in growing stock between inventories is 10 cords per acre and the net increment including ingrowth during the same period is 14 cords per acre, what is the volume cut per acre over the period?

a. 4 cords  
b. 10 cords  
c. 14 cords  
d. 24 cords

20. Consider the following diameter distribution on a 1-acre plot. What is the basal area per acre?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBH</th>
<th>TPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 66.46  
b. 120.86 
c. 145.26 
d. 251.66

21. What is the stand density index for the acre in question 20?

a. 66.43  
b. 120.82 
c. 145.29 
d. 251.69
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

22. What is the crown competition factor for a 12” tree when crown width = 4.5 + 1.45 DBH?
   
   a. 0.546  
   b. 0.865  
   c. 21.9   
   d. none of the above

23. Using the relative spacing criterion, how many trees per acre should be left in a thinning if RS = 0.33333 and average dominant height = 66 feet?
   
   a. 90    
   b. 110   
   c. 160   
   d. 190

24. You are managing a 100,000-acre uneven-aged forest. The current growing stock is 40 cords per acre and you want to increase this to 50 cords per acre. The average compound growth rate on the entire stand is 9%, while that on the portion to be cut is 6%. You operate on a 10-year cutting cycle. What is your total annual cut according to Meyer’s Amortization formula?
   
   a. 142,395 cds.  
   b. 182,395 cds.  
   c. 269,833 cds.  
   d. 339,088 cds.

25. You are managing a pure, natural, unthinned loblolly pine stand with 110 feet of basal area on a 30-year rotation. Your forest has two levels of site quality: 1 = fair and II = good. The distribution of acres is below. Managing with modified area control, how many acres of site quality I land would you cut each year?
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Quality</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Yield (cords/ac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
   
   a. 40 ac.  
   b. 45 ac.  
   c. 50 ac.  
   d. 55 ac.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

26. An uneven-aged stand is managed on a 10-year cutting cycle. Reserve growing stock is 7,000 cubic feet per acre. The growth rate is 7% per year. What is the amount of harvest?

a. 490 cu. ft./year
b. 677 cu. ft./year
c. 6,770 cu. ft./10 years
d. 13,770 cu. ft./10 years

Below is a “normal” yield table for loblolly pine. For questions 27 through 32, assume a fully-regulated forest of 70,000 acres, a 35-year rotation age, equal productivity over the forest, and a single final harvest at age 35.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Volume (Cubic Feet Per Acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4,958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. What is the implied management objective on this forest?

28. How many acres are harvested each year?

29. What cubic foot volume is harvested each year?

30. How many acres are 30 years of age and younger?

31. What is the total volume for the 30-year age class?

32. What is the total growing stock on the entire 70,000 acres?

33. If you contrast the forests in the western United States and those of the eastern United States, what is the main distinction between the two in terms of ownership?
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

Consider the yield table below to answer questions 34 to 37.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cu. ft./ac.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>5,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>5,560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. If the rotation age is the age of maximum mean annual increment and the total forest area is 120,000 acres, what is the growing stock on the entire forest?
   a. 1,156.67 cu. ft.
   b. 34,700 cu. ft.
   c. 138,800,000 cu. ft.
   d. 4,164,000,000 cu. ft.

35. If this is a fully-regulated (normal) forest, what will the annual harvest be?
   a. 34,700 cu. ft.
   b. 458,666.67 cu. ft.
   c. 13,760,000 cu. ft.
   d. 138,000,000 cu. ft.

36. If the actual growing stock is 1,000 cubic feet per acre, what is the estimated total actual annual yield using Hundeshagen’s Formula?
   a. 30,000 cu. ft.
   b. 1,200,000 cu. ft.
   c. 5,351,542 cu. ft.
   d. 11,896,254 cu. ft.

37. If the actual growing stock is 1,000 cubic feet per acre, what is the estimated total actual annual yield using Von Mantel’s modified formula?
   a. 8,000,000 cu. ft.
   b. 9,600,000 cu. ft.
   c. 12,000,000 cu. ft.
   d. 14,400,000 cu. ft.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

Below is a yield table for loblolly pine, site index 70 (base age 25), 9 x 10 spacing. Assume a fully-regulated (normal) forest with a rotation age of 22 years. Use this table to answer questions 38 to 41.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

38. If total forest area is 440,000 acres, how many acres are in each age class?
   a. 20,000 acres
   b. 24,667 acres
   c. 44,000 acres
   d. 44,667 acres

39. What is the total growing stock on the entire forest?
   a. 445,000 cords
   b. 4,450,000 cords
   c. 6,200,000 cords
   d. 8,900,000 cords

40. What is the annual harvest from this fully-regulated forest?
   a. 1,140,000 cords
   b. 1,640,000 cords
   c. 1,864,000 cords
   d. 2,200,000 cords

41. How many acres of the forest contain trees 15 years of age and younger?
   a. 150,000 acres
   b. 270,000 acres
   c. 300,000 acres
   d. 4,125,000 acres
42. Assume the following distribution of forest acreage over the indicated site classes, and assume a 25-year rotation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How many acres per year of SI70 land will you harvest if the entire cut is restricted to SI70 land and you are using area control modified to provide equal volume each year?

43. The annual yield on the forests you are managing is 15 million board feet on a 60-year rotation. Estimate the total growing stock on that forest using Von Mantel’s formula.

44. Consider a pulp and paper mill that requires 500,000 cords of pulpwood annually from company land. Assume a 2-year regeneration lag on the average, a year for site preparation and a year for planting. The regulatory rotation age is 27 and the cutting rotation age is 25. A 25-year old loblolly stand will yield 40 cords per acre.

   (i) How many acres will be harvested annually?

   (ii) How many acres is the company required to own?

   (iii) If the regeneration lag was eliminated, how many acres would the company be required to own?

45. Suppose the growth rate in a hardwood forest that is being managed in uneven-aged stands is 7 percent. The reserve growing stock is 50 cords per acre and the cutting cycle is 6 years. What volume will be harvested from each acre every six years?

46. Consider the following partial loblolly pine plantation yield table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Yield (Cords)</th>
<th>MAI (Cords/Acre/Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

Answer the following questions using the yield table at the bottom of the previous page. Assume a 96,000-acre fully-regulated forest with a 24-year rotation.

(i) How many acres are in each age class?

(ii) What is the equilibrium harvest?

(iii) Suppose that the annual harvest was increased to 256,955 cords per year. At the end of the second year, how many acres would be in the 24-year-age class?

(iv) What is the maximum sustainable harvest for this forest?

Use the following yield table to answer questions 47 to 59. The yield table is for well-stocked stands of longleaf pine, site index = 100 feet at base age 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cu. ft./ac.)</th>
<th>M.A.I. (cu. ft./ac./yr.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>63.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,240</td>
<td>108.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4,850</td>
<td>121.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>6,850</td>
<td>114.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>7,540</td>
<td>107.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8,050</td>
<td>100.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume an 80,000-acre forest, with full regulation and a rotation length that maximizes wood production.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

For questions 47–59, use the yield table on page 9.91.

47. How many acres are in each age class?
   a. 2,000
   b. 2,667
   c. 4,000
   d. 8,000

48. Approximately what is periodic annual increment (PAI) at age 40?
   a. 108.00 cu. ft./ac./yr.
   b. 121.25 cu. ft./ac./yr.
   c. 324.00 cu. ft./ac./yr.
   d. 485.00 cu. ft./ac./yr.

49. What is the annual yield for the entire forest under full regulation?
   a. 8,640,000 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 9,600,000 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 9,700,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 12,960,000 cu. ft./yr.

50. What is the growing stock for the entire forest?
   a. 69,350,000 cu. ft.
   b. 173,374,000 cu. ft.
   c. 154,000,000 cu. ft.
   d. 138,700,000 cu. ft.

51. Assume actual growing stock is 1,500 cu. ft./ac. What is actual yield according to Hundeshagen’s formula?
   a. 8,640,000 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 8,392,213 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 9,700,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 11,212,689 cu. ft./yr.

52. Assume actual growing stock is 1,500 cu. ft./ac. What is actual yield according to Von Mantel’s formula?
   a. 6,000,000 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 8,640,000 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 8,000,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 9,700,000 cu. ft./yr.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

53. Redo problem 52 using Von Mantel’s modified formula. What is actual yield for the entire forest?

   a. 8,000,000 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 8,640,000 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 9,600,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 9,700,000 cu. ft./yr.

54. Assume the forest above has growing stock of 160,000,000 cu. ft. Desired growing stock is 138,700,000 cu. ft. Annual increment is 100 cu. ft./ac./yr. Over a 5-year adjustment period, what is annual cut according to the Austrian formula?

   a. 8,000,000 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 12,060,000 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 12,260,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 12,960,000 cu. ft./yr.

55. Assume the forest can be broken into two portions, one to be cut and one to remain uncut. The cut portion has a 6% growth rate, the uncut portion has an 8% growth rate. The forester desires to convert the cut portion over a 10-year adjustment period. Assume: GR = future or desired growing stock = 1,733.75 cu. ft./ac. GA = present or actual growing stock = 2,000.00 cu. ft./ac. What is the annual cut using Meyer’s Amortization Formula?

   a. 196 cu. ft./ac./yr.
   b. 213 cu. ft./ac./yr.
   c. 160 cu. ft./ac./yr.
   d. 139 cu. ft./ac./yr.

56. Assume the volume of overmature timber is 21,300,000 cu. ft. and annual increment is 100 cu. ft./ac./yr. Using Hanzlik’s formula, what is annual cut?

   a. 8,392,213 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 8,532,500 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 8,260,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 8,600,000 cu. ft./yr.

57. Assume this same forest has a rotation age of 50 years. What is the annual yield?

   a. 8,000,000 cu. ft./yr.
   b. 8,640,000 cu. ft./yr.
   c. 9,600,000 cu. ft./yr.
   d. 9,700,000 cu. ft./yr.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

58. Now assume you want to move the age class distribution on the forest to a fully-regulated condition with a rotation age of 40 years. What is the longest time period required for the conversion?

a. 20 years  
b. 30 years  
c. 40 years  
d. 50 years

59. During the conversion from a 50-year to a 40-year rotation, what will the annual harvest be in year 3? (Use linear interpolation to obtain yield table value.)

a. 11,862,000 cu. ft.  
b. 12,960,000 cu. ft.  
c. 11,908,000 cu. ft.  
d. 11,954,000 cu. ft.

60. A forest contains two even-aged stands with the following areas and ages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stand</td>
<td>(acres)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assuming the oldest stand is cut first, what will the total harvest be in the tenth year if the rotation age is 25 years, a harvest occurs every year, and full regulation is desired. The yield table for the forest is below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords/acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 800 cords  
b. 1,600 cords  
c. 1,800 cords  
d. 2,000 cords
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

61. A forest contains four even-aged stands. Full regulation is desired with a rotation of 40 years. The stand acreages and ages are below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stand</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The yield table for this forest is below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Yield (cords per acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the highest annual harvest that occurs during the conversion and what is the long-term sustained yield?

a. highest harvest = 1,600 cds., LTYS = 2,000 cds./yr.
b. highest harvest = 1,600 cds., LTYS = 1,600 cds./yr.
c. highest harvest = 2,120 cds., LTYS = 1,600 cds./yr.
d. highest harvest = 2,120 cds., LTYS = 2,000 cds./yr.

62. You are managing a fully-regulated forest with the following characteristics:
   Total acres = 50,000
   Cutting rotation age = 26 (yield = 40 cords)
   Regulatory rotation age = 30 (yield = 50 cords)

What is the equilibrium harvest level?
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

1. d. Growth/harvest ratio (often called “drain” ratio) indicates how growth relates to timber removals (harvest). If growth/harvest > 1, a region is growing more timber than it is harvesting (< 1 the opposite is true). Timber supply deals with the relationship between price of timber and quantity supplied at that price. A growth/harvest ratio indicates nothing about the relationship between price and quantity.

2. d. Density is not expressed as a percent.

3. b. Recall the basic formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Gross increment including ingrowth} & = V_2 + M + C - V_1 \\
\text{Gross increment of initial volume} & = V_2 + M + C - I - V_1 \\
\text{Net increment including ingrowth} & = V_2 + C - V_1 \\
\text{Net increment of initial volume} & = V_2 + C - I - V_1 \\
\text{Net change in growing stock} & = V_2 - V_1
\end{align*}
\]

Where:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1 & = \text{volume of living trees at beginning of measurement period} \\
V_2 & = \text{volume of living trees at end of measurement period} \\
M & = \text{volume of mortality during measurement period} \\
C & = \text{volume cut (harvested) during measurement period} \\
I & = \text{volume of ingrowth over the measurement period}
\end{align*}
\]

Gross increment of initial volume = 28 + 1 + 2 - 1 - 25 = 5 cords

4. Tree Inventory 1st Growth Mortality Cut Ingrowth Net Growth
   No. Inventory Growth M C I Growth
   1 30 50 20 20 20
   2 25 40 15 15
   3 --- 25 25
   4 35 --- 35 --- 25
   5 40 65 25 25 25
   6 60 --- 60 60 60 60 25 25

\[
\begin{align*}
(V_1) & \quad (V_2) \\
190 & \quad 180 \\
60 & \quad 60 \\
35 & \quad 60 \\
25 & \quad 25 \\
(I) & \quad (I)
\end{align*}
\]

Gross increment including ingrowth = 180+35+60-190 = 85 cu. ft.
Gross increment of initial volume = 180+35+60-25-190 = 60 cu. ft.
Net increment including ingrowth = 180+60-190 = 50 cu. ft.
Net increment of initial volume = 180+60-25-190 = 25 cu. ft.
Net decrease in growing stock = 180-190 = -10 cu. ft.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

**PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS**

5. c. \[ \left( \frac{5,353}{4,000} \right)^{1/5} - 1 = 6\% \]

6. b. \[ 4,000 \times (1.075)^5 - 4,000 = 1,743 \text{ cu. ft.} \]

7. c. At age 22 max MAI occurs; at max MAI, MAI = PAI

8. a. \[ 11 - 7 = 4 \text{ cords} \]

9. d.

10. a.

11. c. \[ 34.64 = \frac{120}{\sqrt{DBH_q}} \]

   So \( DBH_q = (120/34.64)^2 = 12" \]

12. c.

13. True

14. b. As the interest rate increases, the optimal rotation age will generally decrease if a financial criterion is used (except when the Internal Rate of Return is used; this criterion is independent of the interest rate).

15. b. Note that annual revenue and annual cost cancel out. Since the problem involves only one cost and one revenue, IRR can be determined directly from a simple formula (formula 11 in the diagram at the back of the book):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Money Yield</th>
<th>Regeneration</th>
<th>IRR</th>
<th>IRR = [ \left( \frac{V_n}{V_0} \right)^{1/n} - 1 ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-120</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$-120</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$-120</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>( IRR ) is also referred to simply as rate of return (ROR) and return on investment (ROI). In this problem, maximum IRR occurs with a 20-year rotation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$-120</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>$-120</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>$-120</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

16. | DBH | TPA | BA Per Tree | BA Per Class | Σd² |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.767</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>11,440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline area per tree = 0.005454 DBH²

\[
\overline{DBH_q} = \sqrt{\frac{11,440}{109}} = 10.25''
\]

Or, Basal area of the average tree =

\[
\overline{BA_q} = \frac{62.4}{109} = 0.5725
\]

Stand density index =

\[
SDI = N \left( \frac{\overline{DBH_q}}{10} \right)^{1.605} = 109 \left( \frac{10.25}{10} \right)^{1.605} = 113.4
\]

Relative spacing = \[
\sqrt{\frac{43,560/109}{60}} = 0.333
\]

17. Maximum NPV and maximum IRR consider the time value of money. They will produce optimal rotations less than or equal to the rotation age that maximizes MAI. The relationship shown – with longer optimal rotations for maximum NPV and maximum IRR – will not occur.

18. False

19. a. Net change in growing stock = \( V_2 - V_1 \)

Net increment including ingrowth = \( V_2 + C - V_1 \)

\((V_2 + C - V_1) - (V_2 - V_1) = C = 14 - 10 = 4\)
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBHq</th>
<th>TPA</th>
<th>DBHq^2</th>
<th>DBHq^2 x TPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>11,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1440</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>7,840</td>
<td>1,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ DBHq = \sqrt{\frac{26,640}{200}} = 11.54" \]

Basal area = \( 0.005454 \times (200) \times (11.54)^2 = 145.26 \text{ sq. ft.} \)

21. d.

\[ SDI = N \left[ \frac{DBHq}{10} \right]^{1.605} \]

\[ = 200 \left[ \frac{11.54}{10} \right]^{1.605} = 251.69 \]

22. b. \( (4.5 + 1.45 \times DBH)^2 = 0.0038 \times DBH^2 + 0.0235 \times DBH + 0.0365 = 0.8647 \)

23. a.

Relative spacing = \( \sqrt{\frac{43,560}{N}} \)

So \( N = \frac{43,560}{(66 \times 0.333)^2} = 90 \)

24. d.

\[ a = [40(1.09)^{10} - 50] \left[ \frac{0.06}{1.06^{10} - 1} \right] \]

\[ = 44.695 \times 0.0759 \\ = 3.39088 \text{ per acre} \\ = 3.39088 \times 100,000 \text{ acres} = 339,088 \text{ cords} \]
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

25. c. With unmodified area control, the area to harvest each year would be $1,200/40 = 40$ acres.

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum(Y_i \cdot A_i)}{A_i} = \frac{52,500 \text{ cords}}{1,200 \text{ acres}}$$

$$= 43.75 \text{ cords per acre}$$

$$EA_i = \frac{\bar{Y}}{Y_i} = \frac{43.75}{35} = 1.25 \text{ acres}$$

You will cut $40 \times 1.25 = 50$ acres of site quality I land each year.

26. c. $[7,000 (1.07)^{10}] - 7,000 = 6,770$

27. Maximizing growth (increment) on forest stands. Wood yield is maximized over perpetual rotations if stands are harvested at the age where mean annual increment (MAI) reaches a maximum.

28. Area Harvested

Each Year

= Total Forest Area

Rotation Age

= $\frac{70,000 \text{ acres}}{35 \text{ years}}$

= $2,000 \text{ acres per year}$

29. $2,000 \text{ acres} \times 4,379 \text{ cubic feet per acre} = 8,758,000 \text{ cubic feet per year}$

Or MAI x Total Acres = $125.114 \times 70,000 = 8,758,000 \text{ cubic feet per year}$

30. $30 \times 2,000 \text{ acres} = 60,000 \text{ acres}$

31. $2,000 \text{ acres} \times 3,715 \text{ cubic feet per acre} = 7,430,000 \text{ cubic feet}$

32. $G_R = n \left(V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + \ldots + V_r + \frac{V_i}{2}\right)$

$= 5 \left(1,217 + 2,135 + 2,968 + 3,715 + \frac{4,379}{2}\right)$

$= 5 \times (12,224.5) = 61,122.5 \text{ cubic feet on 35 acres}$

or $2,000 \times 61,122.5 = 122,250,000 \text{ cubic feet on 70,000 acres}$

33. Western U.S. forests are mainly in public ownership, while eastern U.S. forests are mainly in nonindustrial private ownership.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

34. c. Maximum MAI = 114.66 at age 30

\[ GS_{30} = 10 \left(1,750 + 3,440/2\right) = 34,700 \text{ cubic feet on 30 acres} \]

34,700 \left(12,000/30\right) = 138,800,000 \text{ cubic feet}

35. c. \[ H = aY_r \]

\[ = 4,000 \left(3,440\right) = 13,760,000 \text{ cubic feet} \]

36. d. \[ Y_a = 3,440 \left(1,000.00/1,156.67\right) = 2,974 \text{ cubic feet on 30 acres} \]

\[ 2,974 \left(4,000\right) = 11,896,254 \text{ cubic feet} \]

(Note that 34,700/30 = 1,156.67)

37. b.

\[ Y_a = \frac{2(1,200,000)}{30 - 5} = 9,600,000 \text{ cubic feet} \]

38. a.

\[ a = \frac{A}{R} = \frac{440,000}{22} = 20,000 \text{ acres} \]

39. d. \[ GS = a \sum Y_i = 20,000 \left(445\right) = 8,900,000 \text{ cords} \]

40. a. \[ H = aY_r = 20,000 \left(57\right) = 1,140,000 \text{ cords} \]

41. c. 15 x 20,000 = 300,000 acres

42.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Yield (MCF/acre)</th>
<th>Total Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>145,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \bar{Y} = \frac{147,500}{25,000} = 5.90 \text{ cubic feet} \]

\[ EA_{st} = 70 = 5.90/6.00 = 0.983 \]

Annual area cut = (25,000/25) (0.983) = 983 acres
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

43. 
\[ 15,000,000 \text{ BF} = \frac{2(G_a)}{60} \]
\[ 15,000 \text{ MBF} = \frac{2(G_a)}{60} \]
\[ 900,000 \text{ MBF} = 2(G_a) \]
\[ G_a = 450,000 \text{ MBF} \]

44. i. \( (500,000 \text{ cords} / 40 \text{ cords per acre}) = 12,500 \text{ acres} \)

   ii. \( 12,500 \times 27 = 337,500 \text{ acres} \)

   iii. \( 12,500 \times 25 = 312,500 \text{ acres} \)

45. \( 50(1.07)^6 - 50 = 25.05 \text{ cords every six years} \)

46. i. \( (96,000 / 24) = 4,000 \text{ acres per age class} \)

   ii. \( 63.4 \times 4,000 = 253,600 \text{ cords per year} \)

   iii. **End of year 1:**
   
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   256,955 \text{ cords} \\
   - 253,600 \text{ cords} \\
   \hline
   3,355 \text{ cords short}
   \end{align*}
   \]
   
   \[
   \frac{3,355}{61} = 55 \text{ acres cut in age class 23}
   \]

   **End of year 2:**
   
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   256,955 \text{ cords} \\
   - 250,113 \text{ cords} \\
   \hline
   6,842 \text{ cords short}
   \end{align*}
   \]
   
   \[
   \frac{6,842}{61} = 112 \text{ acres cut in age class 23}
   \]

   **Original acreage**
   
   \[
   4,000 - 112 = 3,888 \text{ acres}
   \]

   iv. Maximum sustainable harvest = 4,800 acres x 54 = 259,200 cords per year

   (Note that 96,000/20 = 4,800 acres.)
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

47. The rotation age for maximum wood production is the age of maximum MAI. This occurs at age 40.

\[ a = \frac{A}{R} = \frac{80,000 \text{ acres}}{40 \text{ years}} = 2,000 \text{ acres per age class} \]

48. b. \( \text{PAI} = \text{MAI} \) at the point of maximum MAI. Using the yield table, maximum MAI occurs at age 40, so \( \text{PAI} = \text{MAI} \) at that point:

\[ \text{PAI} = \text{MAI} = 121.25 \text{ cubic feet/acre/year} \]

49. c. \( H = aY_r = 2,000 \text{ acres/year} \times 4,850 \text{ cu. ft./acre} \)

\[ = 9,700,000 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

Or,

\[ H = \text{MAI} \times A = 121.25 \text{ cu. ft./ac.} \times 80,000 \text{ acres} \]

\[ = 9,700,000 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

50. d. \( \text{GS} = n(V_n + V_{2n} + V_{3n} + \ldots + V_{r-n} + V_r/2) \)

\[ = 10(1,270 + 3,240 + 4,850/2) \]

\[ = 69,350 \text{ cubic feet on 40 acres (or 1,733.75 cubic feet per acre)} \]

For the entire forest, growing stock is: 1,733.75 cubic feet per acre \( \times \) 80,000 acres

\[ = 138,700,000 \text{ cubic feet} \]

Or 69,350 cubic feet per age class \( \times \) 2,000 acres = 138,700,000 cubic feet

51. b. \( \text{Ya} = 1,500(9,700,000/1,733.75) \)

\[ = 8,392,213 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

Or,

\[ \text{Ya} = 120,000,000(9,700,000/138,700,000) \]

\[ = 8,392,213 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

52. a. \( \text{Ya} = 2G_a/R = 2(1,200,000)/40 = 6,000,000 \text{ cubic feet/year} \)

53. c. \( \text{Ya} = 2(120,000,000)/(40–15) = 9,600,000 \text{ cubic feet/year} \)

54. c.

\[ \text{Annual cut} = 8,000,000 + \frac{160,000,000 – 138,700,000}{5} \]

\[ = 12,260,000 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

55. a. 
\[ a = \frac{2,000(1.08)^{10} - 1,733.75}{(1.06)^{10} - 1} \]
\[ = (2,584.10)(0.07587) = 196 \text{ cubic feet/ac./yr.} \]

Note that the expression on the far right is commonly called the sinking fund formula (it’s formula 7 on the last page of the workbook). This means 196 cubic feet/acre/year at a 6% growth rate is equal to a harvest of 2,584.10 cubic feet (or the Future Value of 196 cubic feet per year at 6% interest is 2,584 cubic feet). On the entire forest, annual cut is 196 cubic feet x 80,000 acres = 15,680,000 cubic feet per year over the 10 years.

56. b. 
Annual cut \[ = \frac{21,300,000}{40} \]
\[ = 8,532,500 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

57. c. 
\[ H = aY_R = 1,600 \text{ acres} \times 6,000 \text{ cubic feet per acre} \]
\[ = 9,600,000 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

Or,
\[ H = \text{MAI} \times A = 120.00 \text{ cubic feet per acre per year} \times 80,000 \text{ acres} \]
\[ = 9,600,000 \text{ cubic feet per year} \]

58. c. 40 years. You will cut 1/40th of the forest each year, so the forest must be fully converted by the end of 40 years.

59. a. In year 1, harvest:
1,600 acres of the 50-year age class, and 400 acres of the 49-year age class.

In year 2, harvest:
1,200 acres of the 50-year age class, and 800 acres of the 49-year age class.

In year 3, harvest:
800 acres of the 50-year age class, and 1,200 acres of the 49-year age class.

800 acres x 6,000 cu.ft./acre = 4,800,000 cu.ft.
1,200 acres x 5,885 cu.ft./acre = 7,062,000 cu.ft.
11,862,000 cu.ft.
9.15 Practice test on forest management (continued)

PRACTICE TEST ON FOREST MANAGEMENT: ANSWERS

60.  c.  Acres harvested each year = 1,000 acres / 25 years = 40 acres per year
In the 10th year, 20 acres of the older stand are harvested and 20 acres of the younger stand are harvested. Both stands are 10 years older.

\[20 \text{ acres} \times 50 \text{ cords/acre} = 1,000 \text{ cords}\]
\[20 \text{ acres} \times 40 \text{ cords/acre} = 800 \text{ cords}\]
\[1,800 \text{ cords}\]

61.  d.  It will take 10 years to cut through each age class. The oldest age class that will occur is 45 years. Thus, the highest annual harvest will be 40 acres x 53 cords/acre = 2,120 cords. The long-term sustained yield can be calculated in two ways:

\[\text{LTSY} = \text{Total Acres} \times \text{MAI}\]
\[= 1,600 \text{ acres} \times 1.25 \text{ cords/acre/year} = 2,000 \text{ cords/year}\]

Or,
\[\text{LTSY} = \text{Acres Harvested Each Year} \times \text{YR}\]
\[= 40 \text{ acres/year} \times 50 \text{ cords/acre} = 2,000 \text{ cords/year}\]

62.  66,667 cords
"Errors, like straws, upon the surface flow; he who would search for pearls must dive below."

– John Dryden (1678)

Problem 3.1

A 12-year terminating annual series. Calculate $V_0$ using 6% ...

\[
V_0 = $1,600 \left[ \frac{(1.06)^{12} - 1}{.06(1.06)^{12}} \right] = $13,414.15
\]

This answer can also be calculated using the payment key on a financial calculator; this can be done by entering the $1,600 as a 12-year series of payments and “computing” the present value.
Problem 3.2

What value is necessary in year nine for the investor to earn a 10% interest rate?

Compound the initial cost and the projected revenues to year nine at 10%:

Initial cost compounded to year nine ...

\[ \text{Initial cost} \times (1.10)^9 = \$2,357.95/\text{acre} \]

Net annual revenue compounded to year nine ...

\[ \frac{(1.10)^9 - 1}{.10} = \$95.06/\text{acre} \]

To earn 10% on the investment, in nine years the property will need to be valued at ...

\[ \$2,357.95 - 95.06 = \$2,262.89 \text{ per acre} \]

Problem 3.3

Calculate the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series using 6% interest ...

\[ V_0 = \frac{\$2,750}{(1.06)^{35} - 1} = \$411.30/\text{acre} \]

Problem 3.4

Add the changes to the answer obtained in Problem 3.3 ...

Perpetual Annual Series

\[ V_0 = \$411.30 + \frac{\$2}{.06} + \$2,750 = \$3,194.63/\text{acre} \]

This additional value is already in year 0.
Problem 3.5

*Calculate the Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series using 10% interest ...*

\[
V_0 = 3,000 \left[ \frac{(1.10)^8 - 1}{.10(1.10)^8} \right] = \$16,004.78
\]

Problem 3.6

*Calculate the Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series using 9% interest ...*

The 25-year series begins in year zero, but the terminating annual series formulas assume the first value occurs at the end of year one. We therefore add $12 to the result we obtain with the Present Value formula. The $12 that’s unaccounted for by the formula is already in year 0, so discounting isn’t necessary.

**Assuming the series terminates in year 25, total Present Value is:**

\[
V_0 = 12 + 12 \left[ \frac{(1.095)^{25} - 1}{.095(1.095)^{25}} \right] = \$125.25
\]

**Assuming the series goes on forever, the total Present Value is:**

\[
V_0 = 12 + \frac{12}{.095} = \$138.32
\]

Problem 3.7

*Calculate the Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series, plus a single sum using 7% interest ...*

\[
V_0 = 4,000 \left[ \frac{(1.07)^9 - 1}{.07(1.07)^9} \right] + \frac{10,000}{1.07^{10}} = \$31,144.42
\]
Problem 3.8

Calculate total Present Value using 8% interest ...

\[ V_0 = \frac{50,000 (1.08)^6 - 1}{0.08(1.08)^6} + \frac{60,000}{1.08^6} = 268,954.16 \]

Problem 3.9

Calculate the Future Value of a Single Sum using 5% interest ...

\[ V_{25} = 175,000 (1.05)^{25} = 592,612.11 \]

Problem 3.10

Calculate total Future Value – of a Single Sum and a Terminating Annual Series – using 5% interest ...

\[ V_{25} = 175,000 (1.05)^{25} + 2,000 \left[ \frac{(1.05)^{25} - 1}{0.05} \right] = 688,066.31 \]

Problem 3.11

Calculate the Present Value of a Perpetual Annual Series using 9% interest ...

The Present Value of a perpetual series of annual property tax payments is

\[ V_0 = \frac{\text{Annual tax}}{i} = \frac{\text{Annual tax}}{0.09} \]

The impact on bid price per dollar of annual property tax liability is

\[ V_0 = \frac{1.00}{0.09} = 11.11 \]
Problem 3.12

Calculate the projected increase in revenue, then discount the increase using 7.5% interest ...

Projected future price = ($420/MBF) (1.02)^{10} = $511.98/MBF

Projected increase in revenue due to fertilization = (3 MBF) ($511.98/MBF) = $1,535.94 in year 10

Present Value of the projected increase in revenue = $1,535.94

Problem 3.13

An eight-year sinking fund to accumulate $150,000 at 6% interest ...

\[
\text{Annual "Sinking Fund" Payment} = \frac{150,000}{(1.06)^8 - 1} = $15,155.39
\]

You can also solve this type of problem using standard keys on a financial calculator – enter the $150,000 as a future value, enter the interest rate and the number of years, and “compute” the payment.

Problem 3.14

In this case we need to accumulate $70,307.60 in addition to the money in the account from the initial $50,000 deposit. Where did the $70,307.60 come from? We deposit $50,000 initially, and this single sum will grow to:

\[
V_8 = 50,000 (1.06)^8 = $79,692.40
\]

So the annual payments will need to accumulate an additional $150,000 – $79,692.40 = $70,307.60 in the sinking fund:

\[
\text{Annual "Sinking Fund" Payment} = \frac{70,307.60}{(1.06)^8 - 1} = $7,103.59
\]

As mentioned with Problem 3.13, the “sinking fund” amount in this problem can also be calculated using standard keys on a financial calculator.
Problem 3.15

What monthly payment will accumulate a future sum of $75,000 over a 12-year period at 7.5% annual interest compounded monthly?

We calculate the Monthly Payments to Accumulate a Future Sum using Formula 3.8:

\[ P \text{ mo.} = V \left( \frac{\frac{i}{12}}{(1 + \frac{i}{12})^n - 1} \right) = \frac{75,000}{(1 + \frac{.075}{12})^{144} - 1} = 322.67 \]

This problem can be worked on a financial calculator by entering $75,000 for future value, and by entering the monthly interest rate and the number of months. The “payment” can then be computed.

Note: If a higher rate of interest is used in a sinking fund problem, the annual or monthly payment will go down. This is because the payments are accumulating in the sinking fund account; they accumulate more rapidly with a higher rate of interest, so lower payments are necessary.

Problem 3.16

Annual payment to repay $40,000 in seven years at 5.25% interest ...

We calculate the Annual Payments to Repay a Loan using Formula 3.9:

\[ P \text{ ann.} = V_0 \left( \frac{i(1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1} \right) = \frac{40,000}{(1 + .0525)^7 - 1} = 6,975.55 \]

Financial calculators also have keys to calculate installment payments. Problem 3.16 can be worked as shown in the example on page 3.31.

Problem 3.17

Why is the “sinking fund” payment lower than the installment payment for capital recovery?

The “sinking fund” payment is lower because the money is placed in an account that accumulates interest each year, each month, or other period. Interest is earned on interest in the account each period. With the capital recovery formula, the calculated payment is to repay a loan. You’re not accumulating interest, you’re paying interest on the unpaid balance of the loan each year, each month, etc. The advantage of borrowing, of course, is that you have use of the funds or the asset(s) obtained with the borrowed money while the payments are being made.
Problem 3.18

Monthly payments for four home mortgages where $100,000 is borrowed ...

\[
P_{mo.} = \frac{100,000 \left(\frac{0.12}{12} \left(1 + \frac{0.12}{12}\right)^{360}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{0.12}{12}\right)^{360} - 1} = 1,028.61
\]

\[
P_{mo.} = \frac{100,000 \left(\frac{0.12}{12} \left(1 + \frac{0.12}{12}\right)^{240}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{0.12}{12}\right)^{360} - 1} = 1,101.09
\]

\[
P_{mo.} = \frac{100,000 \left(\frac{0.06}{12} \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{360}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{360} - 1} = 599.55
\]

\[
P_{mo.} = \frac{100,000 \left(\frac{0.06}{12} \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{240}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{360} - 1} = 716.43
\]

If you have a financial calculator, these values should be used to ensure you’re using the keys for installment payments correctly.

Problem 3.18 also has two broad questions ...

What’s the interaction between the impact of loan length and the rate of interest?
Notice in the numbers above that loan length has a greater impact on monthly payments (all else equal) when the interest rate is high. At 12%, the monthly payment for a 20-year loan is only about 7% higher than for the 30-year loan. At 6%, however, the 20-year monthly payment is almost 20% higher than for the 30-year loan.

How is the demand for forest products affected by interest rates on home mortgages and home-equity loans?
Note how sensitive home mortgage payments are to interest rates. The monthly payment for a 30-year loan, for example, is $429.06 higher using 12% interest than using 6% interest. Prevailing interest rates affect the number of housing starts as well as the number of home loans for remodeling. Interest rates therefore have a great impact on the demand for many wood-based forest products.
Problem 3.19

Monthly payments for six years at an annual percentage rate of 7.6% ...

\[
P_{\text{mo.}} = \frac{24,750 \left( \frac{.076/12(1 + .076/12)^{72}}{(1 + .076/12)^{72} - 1} \right)}{1 + .076/12} = 429.13
\]

Problem 3.20

All the formulas we’ve used are based on an end-of-period assumption. The installment payment formula is a simple modification of the Present Value of a Terminating Annual Series formula – modified to solve for “a,” and in the case of monthly payments modified to use a monthly interest rate. The seller is responsible for the April 1 payment. Payments are assumed to occur at the end of the period, so the payment due April 1 is actually for the month of March. The seller owned the property during March and is therefore responsible for the payment due at the end of the month.
Problem 4.1

In Example 4.1, NPV = $1,388.07. In Problem 4.1, we’re adding a cost of $1,000 in year five to the analysis, and the question is “Will the wildlife food plots still be financially attractive?” We don’t have to recalculate NPV for the food plot investment to answer the question. The additional $1,000 cost in year five will be less than $1,000 in Present Value, so with the additional cost NPV will still be positive and the investment will still be financially attractive.

Problem 4.2

*Calculate NPV and EAI using 8.5% interest ...*

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PV costs} & = -85,000 + \frac{5,000}{1.05^3} - \frac{1,000}{0.085(1.085)^{12}} \\
& = -96,259.23
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PV revenues} & = 225,000 \times 1.085^{12} - 1 \\
& = 84,532.88
\end{align*}
\]

NPV = $84,532.88 - $96,259.23 = −$11,726.35

EAI = \((-11,726.35) \times \frac{0.085(1.085)^{12}}{(1.085)^{12} - 1}\) = −$1,596.58

This investment is not financially attractive at 8.5% interest. Based on the information given, NPV is negative and therefore the EAI is also negative.

Problem 4.3

EAI is an appropriate criterion for estimating annual net income. Different landowners, investors, etc., are very likely to obtain different estimates for NPV, EAI, and other financial criteria. They may have different projected costs and revenues for the property, they may use a different discount rate, etc. In this case, for example, the timber company may obtain a higher EAI estimate because their costs are lower on a per acre basis, because they use a lower discount rate, and/or because they expect higher revenues due to potential silvicultural treatments like mid-rotation fertilization, pruning, or crop-tree release.
Problem 4.4

EAI for the wildlife food plot investment in Example 4.1: \( n = 10 \) years, \( NPV = 1,388.07 \), \( i = 6\% \) ...

\[
EAI = 1,388.07 \left( \frac{.06(1.06)^{10}}{(1.06)^{10} - 1} \right) = 188.59
\]

As stated on page 4.4, the EAI formula is the same as the installment payment formula. You can check your answer to this problem using the installment payment keys on a financial calculator.

Problem 4.5

B/C ratio for Problem 4.2 ...

In working Problem 4.2, the present value of costs was $96,259.23 and the present value of revenues was $84,532.88.

\[
\frac{84,532.88}{96,259.23} = 0.88
\]

Problem 4.6

Consistency in accept/reject decisions ...

If you’re evaluating whether a specific investment is financially acceptable, the compound interest-based financial criteria will give you the same answer. They won’t disagree for accept/reject decisions. This is discussed later in Section 4 (see page 4.24, for example).

Problem 4.7

ROR for Example 4.4 if revenues are added of $2,000/year for years 1 through 5 and $2,500/year for years 6 through 12 ...

The ROR in Example was 5.42\%, and we know that adding revenues will result in a higher ROR for the investment. If you try 10\% as a discount rate, however, the investment’s NPV is negative; at 10\%, \( NPV = -24,080.30 \). We therefore know that the ROR is between 5.42\% and 10\%. Further iterations yield an ROR estimate \( \approx 7.18\% \).
Problem 4.8

**LEV assuming a 2% compound annual rate of real price increase ...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Revenues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$550(1.02)^{15} = $740.23/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$1,500(1.02)^{25} = $2,460.91/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$3,350(1.02)^{35} = $6,699.63/acre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs compounded to year 35:

$$\text{Costs} = 95 (1.09)^{35} + 4 \left( \frac{(1.09)^{35} - 1}{.09} \right) = 2,802.17/acre$$

Revenues compounded to year 35:

$$\text{Revenues} = 740.23 (1.09)^{20} + 2,460.91 (1.09)^{10} + 6,699.63 = 16,674.05/acre$$

Net value of the first rotation in year 35:

$$16,674.05 - 2,802.17 = 13,871.88/acre$$

Assuming the first rotation can be repeated (with identical costs and revenues) every 35 years forever, the $13,871.88/acre net value is a perpetual periodic series. We can expect the land to produce this amount every 35 years in perpetuity. The last step in calculating LEV is to use the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series formula (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1) to calculate present value:

$$LEV = \left[ \frac{13,871.88}{(1.09)^{35} - 1} \right] = 714.53/acre$$

Note the significant impact of assuming a 2% per year real price increase. The new LEV estimate is almost double the estimate of $369.90/acre obtained in Example 4.7 (without a real price increase).

Problem 4.9

*Best rotation length using MAI, NPV, ROR, and LEV ...*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>MAI (cu.ft./ac.)</th>
<th>Net Present Value</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
<th>LEV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>81.13</td>
<td>$32.35</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>$90.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>106.75</td>
<td>106.66</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>238.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>118.72</td>
<td>148.68</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>284.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>123.83</td>
<td>166.91</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>283.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>125.11</td>
<td>168.27</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>261.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>123.95</td>
<td>157.75</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>227.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problem 6.1

Calculate NPV in real terms, using the per acre values given in Example 6.1 ...

\[ \text{Real rate of interest} = 4\% \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{NPV} &= \frac{950}{1.04^{20}} + \frac{3800}{1.04^{30}} - 450 \\
&= \frac{950}{1.04^{20}} + \frac{3800}{1.04^{30}} - 450 = 1155.18/acre
\end{align*} \]

Problem 6.2

Calculate a real ROR for the timber stand and for the bank ...

First calculate the overall (inflated) rate of return using Formula 11:

\[ \text{Inflated ROR} = \left( \frac{48000}{20000} \right)^{1/10} - 1 = 9.15\% \]

Now calculate the real rate (r) if the rate of inflation (f) averaged 4.1% per year:

\[ r = \frac{(1 + i)}{(1 + f)} - 1 = \frac{(1.0915)}{(1.041)} - 1 = 4.85\% \]  \[\text{[From Figure 6.1 on page 6.4.]}\]

Is the real rate of 4.85% competitive with a bank account that pays 5%?

The bank account’s real rate can also be calculated as shown above:

\[ r = \frac{0.05}{1.041} - 1 = 0.86\% \]

Note that to compare the bank rate with the rate earned on the timber stand, you could simply compare the inflated ROR for the timber (9.15%) to the rate that could be earned at the bank (5%). As we’ve noted with other Examples and Problems, a valid comparison would also involve factors such as liquidity, risk, and perhaps factors such as duration of the timber investment compared to the bank account.
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Problem 6.3

Calculate the real rate of annual change in standing timber price ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$475/MBF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall (inflated) rate of change can be calculated using Formula 11:

\[ i = \left( \frac{475.00}{225.00} \right)^{1/25} - 1 = 3.03\% \]

If inflation averaged 2% per year over this period, the real rate of price increase was:

\[ r = \frac{(1 + i)}{(1 + f)} - 1 = \frac{(1.0303)}{(1.02)} - 1 = 1.01\% \]  [From Figure 6.1 on page 6.4.]

Note that an approximation of the real rate of return can be obtained simply by subtracting the average rate of inflation from the inflated rate of increase:

\[ 3.03\% - 2.00\% = 1.03\% \]

Problem 6.4

Consistency in comparing RORs ...

In this problem, consistency in handling inflation is very important. The 7.9% projected for the timberland investment is in real terms. The 8% alternative rate of return is in inflated terms (8% will be received regardless of the inflation that may occur). If inflation is expected during the period, the real rate of return on the timberland investment will be higher than the real rate of return on the investment account.

Problem 6.5

After-tax value of revenues ...

After-tax value of $400 Income = ($400)(1 – .28) = $288.00

After-tax value of $3,300 Income = ($3,300)(1 – .28) = $2,376.00
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Problem 6.6

*After-tax NPV* ...

After-tax values are (Before-tax Value) \((1 – \text{Tax Rate})\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NPV} &= \frac{288}{1.0468^8} + \frac{2376}{1.0468^{15}} - \frac{1224}{1.0468^{15}} \\
&= 172.19/\text{acre} \quad \text{After taxes}
\end{align*}
\]

If you calculate NPV on a before-tax basis, using a before-tax discount rate of 6.5% the investment isn’t financially attractive:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NPV} &= \frac{400}{1.0658^8} + \frac{3300}{1.0658^{15}} - \frac{1700}{1.0658^{15}} \\
&= -175.18/\text{acre} \quad \text{Before taxes}
\end{align*}
\]

The ability to expense the initial cost makes the investment attractive on an after-tax basis.

Problem 6.7

*After-tax cost of items that can be expensed* ...

Other examples (assuming a marginal tax rate of 28%):

- **Tires** … Before-tax cost = $110 each  
  After-tax cost = $110(1 – .28) = $79.20 each

- **Equipment service** … Before-tax cost = $45/hour  
  After-tax cost = $45(1 – .28) = $32.40/hour

- **Lease payments** … Before-tax cost = $359/month  
  After-tax cost = $359(1 – .28) = $258.48
Problem 6.8

After-tax cost of a new pickup truck (assuming it’s a capitalized business expense) ...

This can be calculated by determining the tax savings from each year’s deduction, then subtracting their total present value from the out-of-pocket cost of the truck. Assuming a schedule of depreciation for “five-year” property of: 20% (year 1), 32% (year 2), 19.2% (year 3), 11.52% (year 4), 11.52% (year 5), and 5.76% (year 6), the tax savings and their present values are ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Deduction in year n</th>
<th>Tax savings from the deduction in year n</th>
<th>Present Value of Tax Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>($26,500) (.2000) (.34)</td>
<td>$1,711.63</td>
<td>$1,711.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>($26,500) (.3200) (.34)</td>
<td>$2,601.26</td>
<td>$2,601.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>($26,500) (.1920) (.34)</td>
<td>$1,482.48</td>
<td>$1,482.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>($26,500) (.1152) (.34)</td>
<td>$844.88</td>
<td>$844.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>($26,500) (.1152) (.34)</td>
<td>$802.51</td>
<td>$802.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>($26,500) (.0576) (.34)</td>
<td>$381.13</td>
<td>$381.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Present Value of Tax Savings = $7,823.89

The “effective” cost of the truck is:

\[ $26,500 - \$7,823.89 = \$18,676.11 \]
Problem 7.1

*LEV assuming lower site quality land ...*

There are many potential reasons for such differences. First, the market value of land is based on its potential returns, and there may be land uses that have a higher return than timber production. In this case, market transactions may produce prices that are much higher than timber-based LEV estimates. Even if the land remains in timber production, the estimated LEV for one person or firm isn’t likely to be the same as the value you’d calculate for another person or firm; there are many possible assumptions about yields, management, prices, and costs, as well as the choice of a discount rate. Also, the sale price for a specific tract of timberland may be higher than LEV simply because a particular buyer had non-monetary and/or strategic reasons for wanting to own a particular tract.

Another potential reason for differences between calculated LEVs and market transaction prices is lack of perfect information. Buyers and sellers in the market don’t always have good information about potential timberland (or other) investments. There can also be a lag between fundamental changes in supply and demand for timber, and the bid prices for bare land. For example, if timber prices increase dramatically in a short period of time (say five years), and the timber prices are expected to remain high in the long term, market prices for bare land can be significantly lower than what you calculate as an LEV. In such cases, market transactions don’t reflect the new, higher values for timber production.

### Diagram

- Costs compounded to year 30:

\[
$120(1.06)^{30} + 5 \left[ \frac{(1.06)^{30} - 1}{.06} \right] = $1,084.51/acre
\]

- Revenues compounded to year 30:

\[
$300(1.06)^{12} + 600(1.06)^{5} + 2,100 = $3,506.59/acre
\]

- Net value of the first rotation in year 30:

\[
$3,506.59 - $1,804.51 = $2,422.08/acre
\]

Assuming the first rotation can be repeated (with identical costs and revenues) every 30 years forever, the $2,422.08/acre net value is a perpetual periodic series. We can expect the land to produce this amount every 30 years in perpetuity. The last step in calculating LEV is to use the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series formula (Formula 6 in Figure 3.1) to calculate present value:

\[
LEV = \left[ \frac{$2,422.08}{(1.06)^{30} - 1} \right] = $510.61/acre
\]

### Calculate

Given the assumptions above, the lower site quality inherited land has a value of $510.61/acre for timber production. This value is based on many assumptions, and a different estimated value will be obtained if any of them are changed.

### Interpret

*Why do land prices observed in market transactions differ from what you calculate as an LEV?*

There are many potential reasons for such differences. First, the market value of land is based on its potential returns, and there may be land uses that have a higher return than timber production. In this case, market transactions may produce prices that are much higher than timber-based LEV estimates. Even if the land remains in timber production, the estimated LEV for one person or firm isn’t likely to be the same as the value you’d calculate for another person or firm; there are many possible assumptions about yields, management, prices, and costs, as well as the choice of a discount rate. Also, the sale price for a specific tract of timberland may be higher than LEV simply because a particular buyer had non-monetary and/or strategic reasons for wanting to own a particular tract.
Problem 7.2

Estimated value of an uneven-aged forest ...

\[
\text{Annual cost} = \$9
\]

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\$600 - \$9 \left[ \frac{(1.08)^{10} - 1}{0.08} \right]}{(1.08)^{10} - 1} = \$405.22/acre
\]

Problem 7.3

Estimated value of an uneven-aged forest that's "off cycle" ...

\[
\text{Annual revenue} = \$12/acre
\]

\[
\text{Annual cost} = \$4/acre
\]

Today is 4 years from the next $750 harvest.

To use the formula on page 7.12, we first need an estimate of LEV assuming we’re at the beginning of a harvest cycle. In the calculations below, we use $8 per year as a net annual revenue ($12 – $4):

\[
\text{LEV} = \frac{\$750 - \$8 \left[ \frac{(1.09)^{10} - 1}{0.09} \right]}{(1.09)^{10} - 1} = \$637.39/acre
\]

“Plugging in” to the formula for “off cycle” uneven-aged forests (page 7.12), using \( n - k = 4 \) years yields:

\[
\text{Estimated Value} = \frac{\$750 - \$8 \left[ \frac{(1.09)^{4} - 1}{0.09} \right] + \$637.39/acre}{(1.09)^{4}} = \$1,008.78/acre
\]
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<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prices (see stumpage prices)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>principal</td>
<td>1.6–1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>property taxes</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pruning</td>
<td>2.6, 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pulpwood vs. sawtimber</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ranking investments</td>
<td>4.14, 4.23, 4.27–4.38, 4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Return (ROR)</td>
<td>2.5, 4.10–4.14, 4.26–4.28, 4.42–4.44, 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>real (vs. nominal)</td>
<td>5.16, 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>real estate</td>
<td>3.36, 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>real price increase</td>
<td>4.19, 4.21, 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realizable Rate of Return (see Composite ROR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reforestation tax incentives</td>
<td>6.20–6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered Forester exam</td>
<td>9.1–9.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulated forest</td>
<td>9.59–9.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reinvestment assumption</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative density</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative spacing</td>
<td>9.55–9.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Investment (ROI) (see Rate of Return)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>risk and uncertainty</td>
<td>2.9, 5.4–5.10, 5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotation age</td>
<td>4.19, 4.32, 4.40–4.44, 5.6, 9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule of 72</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seller’s value</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sensitivity analysis</td>
<td>2.9, 2.15, 3.23–3.26, 3.28, 3.32, 9.17–9.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sinking fund</td>
<td>3.24–3.25, 3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site index</td>
<td>9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site preparation</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software (see computer programs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Expectation Value (see Land Expectation Value)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stand density index</td>
<td>9.51–9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stumpage prices (and stumpage value)</td>
<td>2.9, 3.22, 4.21, 4.23, 4.39, 7.1–7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(residual aspects of stumpage 7.14)</td>
<td>4.21, 5.6–5.7, 6.7–6.8, 7.15, 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sunk costs</td>
<td>5.3, 5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taxes (see property taxes and/or income taxes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminating Periodic Series</td>
<td>9.11–9.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timber account</td>
<td>6.14–6.15, 9.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timber prices (see stumpage prices)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timber value growth percent</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time value of money</td>
<td>1.1, 1.5, 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transactions evidence</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncertainty (see risk and uncertainty)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uneven-aged stand valuation</td>
<td>7.7–7.13, 7.22–7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valuation</td>
<td>4.19, 4.23, 4.39, 7.1–7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… of timber land</td>
<td>7.3–7.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… of standing timber</td>
<td>7.14–7.21, 7.23–7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Von Mantel’s formula</td>
<td>9.66–9.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildlife</td>
<td>1.2, 4.3, 4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To calculate ...

**Notation:**

- \( V_0 \) = Value in year 0 (Present Value). In Formulas 9 and 10, \( V_a \) is the amount of money borrowed.
- \( V_n \) = Value in year \( n \) (Future Value). In Formulas 7 and 8, \( V_n \) is the amount of money to be accumulated.
- \( i \) = interest rate (decimal percent). In Formulas 8 and 10, \( i \) is the annual percentage rate and \( i/12 \) is the monthly rate.
- \( n \) = number of years. In Formula 6, \( n \) is the number of years per period. In Formulas 8 and 10, \( n \times 12 \) represents the number of monthly payments.
- \( a \) = uniform series of annual revenues or payments. In Formula 6, \( a \) represents a uniform series of payments or revenues that occur periodically – every \( n \) years.
- \( P \) = payment amount (annual or monthly).

**Figure 3.1 Decision tree for selecting the correct compound interest formula.**

[The general pattern of Figure 3.1 follows a diagram developed by J.E. Gunter and H.L. Haney, 1978, “A Decision Tree for Compound Interest Formulas,” South. J. Appl. For. 2(3):107.]
Steps in Forestry Investment Analysis

1. **Start with a cash-flow diagram:**

   ![Cash-flow Diagram]

   - Place Revenues Above the Time-line
   - Place Costs Below the Time-line

   - $1,000
   - $-400

2. **Do the compounding and discounting:**

   - **Account for the time value of the cash flows on the cash-flow diagram.** You can do this by using the formulas on page 3.39 (repeated on the last page of the book for convenience), or by using a financial calculator, or by using a specialized computer program. The type of compounding and discounting depends on the type of analysis you’re doing, the specific criteria you’re calculating, etc., but in all cases the analysis should be consistent with respect to taxes and inflation:
     - Make sure that the discount rate and all costs and revenues are either in before-tax terms or in after-tax terms, and that they are also consistent in including or excluding inflation.

3. **Calculate and interpret financial criteria:**

   - **Financial criteria and what they should be used for …**
     - **NPV** – Net Present Value is the present value of all revenues minus the present value of all costs. NPV can be used for accept/reject investment decisions, and is highly preferred for ranking investments. (NPV discussion begins on page 4.2.)
     - **EAI** – Equivalent Annual Income is the NPV for an investment expressed as an “annual equivalent.” It can be used to rank investments of different length. (EAI discussion begins on page 4.4.) To calculate EAI, use formula 9 in the decision tree diagram on page 3.39, with NPV as the “amount borrowed,” and the length of the investment as “n.”
     - **B/C Ratio** – Benefit/Cost Ratio is the present value of all revenues divided by the present value of all costs. B/C is used for accept/reject decisions by some U.S. government agencies. (B/C discussion begins on page 4.8.)
     - **ROR** – The Rate of Return of an investment is the average compound rate of capital appreciation. It’s the interest rate that makes the present value of the revenues and the present value of the costs equal. The criterion is widely used for accept/reject decisions, but it should not be used to rank investments. For ranking, NPV (or a form of NPV like EAI or LEV) is recommended. (Discussion of ROR begins on page 4.10.)
     - **LEV** – Land Expectation Value is a special case of NPV. LEV is the net present value of an infinite series of identical even-aged stands of timber, or an infinite series of periodic harvests under uneven-aged management. LEV is an estimate of the value of bare land for growing timber, so it’s sometimes called Bare Land Value or Soil Expectation Value. LEV is calculated by compounding costs and revenues associated with the first rotation or cutting cycle to the end of the period, and then discounting this net future value to the present using the formula for the Present Value of a Perpetual Periodic Series … formula 6 on page 3.39. (LEV discussion begins on page 4.18.)

4. **Consider the need for sensitivity analysis:**

   - After calculating NPV or other criteria, consider the sensitivity of your results to some of the input assumptions. When you change the assumed values in the analysis, does the acceptability of the investment change? If you’re ranking investments, does the ranking change? In forestry, the discount rate and the stumpage prices assumed are often very important to analysis results. (Sensitivity analysis is discussed in Section 5, beginning on page 5.4.)

This diagram refers to page numbers and discussion in: *Basic Concepts in Forest Valuation and Investment Analysis* by S.H. Bullard and T.J. Straka (2011).