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**Abstract.**—Understanding intraspecific variation in habitat use is important for the management of any species. In many studies of reptiles, habitat use by juveniles is poorly understood when compared to their adult conspecifics because of capture biases and logistical constraints. We compared habitat use between sexes and age classes of Common Watersnakes (*Nerodia sipedon*) at a reservoir in central Illinois. Juvenile *N. sipedon* occurred more frequently in habitat with high canopy cover, whereas adult *N. sipedon*, especially reproductive females, were found exclusively in habitat with no canopy cover. Adult males used both locations equally. We emphasize the need to investigate ontogenetic variation in habitat use to better understand how reptiles utilize diverse anthropogenically altered landscapes.

In order to effectively comprehend the biology of a species, an understanding of habitat use by all life-history stages is necessary. Studies that investigate a single species usually rely on radio telemetry (Carfagno and Weatherhead 2006, Madsen 1984, Reinert 1984, Shine 1987), a technique that is often limited to focal individuals (usually having larger body sizes) over a relatively short time period. Studies of aquatic snakes have emphasized the importance of investigating onto-

**Fig. 1.** Adult female Common Watersnake (*Nerodia sipedon*). Photograph by N.M. Kiriazis.
genetic variation in habitat use before making generalizations (Lacy 1995, Savitzky and Burghardt 2000). Habitat use of aquatic juvenile snakes often is difficult to observe, however, because of capture biases (Rodda et al. 2007, Semlitsch and Moran 1984, Todd et al. 2007, Willson et al. 2008) and the size constraints of radio transmitters (Pattishall and Cundall 2008, Roth and Greene 2006, Tiebout and Cary 1987). Recently, researchers have examined juvenile pit viper ecology using advancements in transmitter technology (Cobb et al. 2005, Jellen and Kowalski 2007), yet this type of work still is constrained by basic logistics of snake and transmitter size. Long-term data on juvenile habitat use should inform population management efforts, especially in cases where juveniles differ from adults in their patterns of habitat use.

We monitored a population of Common Watersnakes (Nerodia sipedon; Figs. 1 & 2) over a period of five years at two adjacent sites. We examined the effect that differences in habitat have on variation in use by different life-history stages of *N. sipedon*. We predicted that juvenile *N. sipedon* would use different habitats from adult snakes due to their smaller size and different prey requirements. Further, due to sexual dimorphism and different thermoregulatory requirements, we predicted that adult male and female *N. sipedon* would occur with differing frequencies in the two habitats.

Materials and Methods

Study Site.—The study site is located at the Charleston Side-Channel Reservoir in Coles County, Illinois, USA (39.464875°N, -88.143871°W; WGS 84), a 142-ha impoundment of the Embarras River. The river flows along a channel and over a low-head dam to the southeast of a levee. Upstream from the dam, water is pumped from the river into the reservoir, which is west of the levee and has negligible flow (Austen et al. 1993). Within this site, we sampled two distinct riparian habitats, the levee (Fig. 3) and the spillway/dam (Fig. 4), that are separated by 180 m of straight-line distance and 260 m of river length. We measured canopy cover at five locations within each habitat using a spherical densiometer.
Animal Collection.—We collected snakes during 5–16 days between 27 March and 18 September in each of five years (2006–2010). Between one and five experienced observers participated in each day of snake collection. Effort was variable, but was approximately equal between the levee and the dam. We did not correct for capture effort because it could not be precisely quantified across years.

All snakes were collected by hand or noose. We determined the sex and mass (± 0.1 g) of each snake, and measured its snout-vent length (SVL) and tail length (± 1 mm). A female snake was considered adult when her SVL was at least 600 mm (Weatherhead et al. 1995), whereas a male was considered adult at 430 mm (King 1986). We palpated adult female snakes for the presence of developing embryos. Snakes were marked with a medical cauterizer directly anterior to the cloaca on the ventral and lateral scales so that recaptures could be identified (Winne et al. 2006). All snakes were released at sites of capture.

Statistical Analyses.—Because SVL and body mass were correlated ($r^2 = 0.89$; $P < 0.0001$), we used only mass in our analyses. We did not include recaptures in any analysis. We compared the number of animals of each sex and age class found in each habitat using chi-squared tests. Additionally, we compared the size of adult and juvenile snakes of both sexes among habitats using Mann-Whitney Rank Sum tests, with mass as the response variable and location, sex, age, and their interactions as explanatory variables. We also compared the size of adult and juvenile snakes of each sex independently between sites. All statistics were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc. 2011), with alpha set at 0.05.

Results
Canopy cover was higher in the habitat surrounding the dam (43.5 ± 18.6%; Table 1) than at the levee (0 ± 0%), although a variance of 0 at the levee precluded meaningful statistical analysis. Other measures of differences between the two habitats, obtained from the literature, include differences in habitat structure and sympatric prey and predator species, especially fishes (Table 1).
In 50 days of surveying, we captured 197 individual snakes. An average of $4 \pm 0.6$ snakes were caught per day, with at least one and as many as 21 snakes caught on a single day. Of these, 104 were male and 93 were female; 51 were juveniles and 146 were adults. A similar number of juvenile and adult snakes of each sex was captured ($\chi^2_{196,1} = 0.61; P \geq 0.42$). The overall sex ratio was 0.88 females to 1 male. A similar total number of snakes was captured in each habitat ($\chi^2_{196,1} = 0.86; P = 0.35$).

Habitat use differed between sexes and age classes. Regardless of size, the sex ratio at the dam (0.66:1) was male-biased ($\chi^2_{104,1} = 4.2; P = 0.04$), whereas the sex ratio at the levee was more balanced (1.2:1; $\chi^2_{91,1} = 1.1; P = 0.29$). The habitat adjacent to the dam also contained more juvenile snakes than that at the levee ($\chi^2_{50,1} = 32.9; P < 0.0001$). The sex ratio of juveniles did not differ from 1:1 at either site ($\chi^2 \leq 0.2; P \geq 0.55$), whereas the sex ratio of adults was skewed toward males at the dam ($\chi^2_{58,1} = 4.9; P = 0.027$), but not at the levee ($\chi^2_{86,1} = 1.4; P = 0.24$). Adult female snakes were captured more frequently at the levee ($\chi^2_{69,1} = 11.2; P < 0.001$), whereas adult male snakes were found with equal frequency between the two habitats ($\chi^2_{75,1} = 0; P = 1.0$). During months when determining whether adult female snakes were gravid was possible, 100% of gravid females were captured at the levee.

At both sites, juveniles did not differ in size between sexes ($P = 0.9$; Fig. 5), whereas adult females were larger than adult males. This difference was more pronounced at the levee ($P < 0.001$) than at the dam ($P = 0.006$). Independent of sex, smaller adult snakes ($P < 0.001$) and larger juvenile snakes ($P = 0.008$) were found in habitat adjacent to the dam. Adult males ($P < 0.001$) and adult females ($P < 0.001$) were larger at the levee than at the dam.

**Discussion**

*Nerodia sipedon* is a habitat generalist that uses a diverse and complex environment (Gibbons and Dordan 2004, Tiebout and Cary 1987). Our results reinforce this conclusion and demonstrate the importance of sampling multiple microhabitats when assessing populations of a generalist species. At our site, sampling only one habitat would have provided a misleading impression of population sex ratio, size, and age structure. Although adult males used the two habitats equally, adult females were found disproportionately on the levee and juveniles were found predominantly at the dam.

In the midwestern United States, female *N. sipedon* become reproductively mature when they are between 475 and 680 mm (Bauman and Metter 1977, Feaver 1977, King 1986, Weatherhead et al. 1995). We suggest that the observed difference in adult female size between the two habitats is due to females migrating to, and preferentially occupying, the levee habitat upon reaching sexual maturity. The riparian habitat at the levee is composed of large, haphazardly stacked rocks, which would allow snakes to thermoregulate precisely by selecting different distances from the surface. In this way, the high, stable temperatures preferred by gravid female viviparous snakes (Charland 1995, Charland and Gregory 1990, 1991).
Huey et al. 1989, Tu and Hutchison 1994) can be reached with minimal exposure to predation. The dam habitat has less complex structure and also is more shaded and therefore presumably cooler (Table 1). Adult female N. sipedon at our site overwinter near or within the levee (Olds 2007) and were not found at the dam during any time period, suggesting that they also give birth at the levee. Therefore, juveniles must disperse from the levee relatively quickly, because many were found in habitat downstream from the dam.

A variety of advantages to dispersal from the levee to the dam are possible. Most of the open-water habitat along the levee is >2 m deep whereas the open-water habitat immediately downstream from the dam is shallower (<1 m deep; Austen et al. 1993). Evidence for ontogenetic shifts from shallow to deep-water habitats has been found for both freshwater and marine aquatic snakes (Savitzky and Burghardt 2000, Shine et al. 2003). In this system, a primary cause of this microhabitat shift could be to avoid predation or competition from centrarchid fishes present at the levee but absent from the dam area (Austen et al. 1993, Frothingham et al. 2001). Additionally, neonate N. sipedon in our system might emigrate to avoid a terrestrial predator, Prairie Kingsnakes (Lampropeltis calligaster, Fornell 2008), found predominantly along the levee. Finally, smaller prey fishes ideal for juvenile N. sipedon, such as percids and cyprinids, are more abundant at the dam than at the levee (Bowen 2004, Frothingham et al. 2001). This dispersal pathway might be facilitated by the river’s direction of flow, which could sweep neonate N. sipedon downstream to the more favorable dam habitat at minimal energetic cost to the snakes.

Adult male N. sipedon were equally abundant in both habitats, although they were larger on the levee than at the dam. Male Nerodia are more aquatic and spend less time and energy thermoregulating than either reproductive or nonreproductive females (Brown and Weatherhead 2000, Camper and Chick 2010), suggesting that their habitat requirements are less specific than female conspecifics. Although one pair of snakes was observed mating at the dam, all other mating was observed at the levee. Therefore, we suggest that most reproductive males migrate upstream from the dam to the levee in search of reproductive females.

Differences in habitat quality partially explain the differential use of habitats by life-history stages of N. sipedon. The rocky, open levee habitat probably allows gravid females to select a warmer optimal thermal microenvironment for gestation, but is probably not conducive to survival of neonates because of exposure to predation and lower prey availability. In contrast, the dam has more canopy cover to obscure small snakes from avian predators and contains a higher prey density for juvenile snakes, but it offers an inadequate thermal microhabitat for reproductively-active females. Comprehensive studies can aid in a greater understanding of the ecology of watersnakes in complex anthropogenically altered environments.
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