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ABSTRACT: Electron and hydride addition to Au(I):SR oligomers is investigated using density functional theory. Cyclic and chain-like clusters are examined in this work. Dissociation to Au− ions and AuSRn(SR)n+1− chains is observed after 2−4 electrons are added to these systems. The free thiolate (SR−) is rarely produced in this work; dissociation of Au+ is preferred over dissociation of SR−. Electron affinities calculated in gas phase, toluene, and water suggest that the electron addition process is unlikely, although it may be possible in polar solvents. In contrast, hydride addition to Au(I):SR oligomers yields free thiols and complexes containing Au−Au bonds, which are plausible intermediates for gold nanoparticle growth. The resulting compounds can react to form larger nanoparticles or undergo further reduction by hydride to yield additional Au−Au bonds.

SECTION: Nanoparticles and Nanostructures

Au(I):SR compounds have been used extensively as antiarthritic and antitumor drugs.1 They also have a significant impact in nanoscience, since linear −SR−Au−SR− and V-shaped −SR−Au−SR−Au−SR− motifs are present on the surfaces of gold nanoparticles including Au25(SR)18, Au38(SR)24, and Au102(SR)44 (refs 2−8) as well as self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) (refs 9−11). Longer chain-like oligomers such as Au1−(SR)n−1 have been proposed to passivate smaller nanoclusters.12,13 1:1 (AuSR)n systems have also been observed experimentally, and the structures of these systems are often cyclic or helical.14−15

Gold(I) thiolate oligomers can be generated under gold nanoparticle growth conditions and have been suggested to play an important role in the growth mechanism,18−21 although recent mechanistic investigations draw this into question.22 Since the initial two-phase synthesis of thiol(ate)-stabilized gold nanoparticles by Brust et al.,23 many researchers have examined the role of a variety of variables on growth (see ref 24 and references therein). Solvents such as toluene, methanol, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) are commonly used.19,21,23,24 Schäff et al. found that a 3:1 ratio of thiol to gold salt as well as a great molar excess of the reducing agent NaBH4 are required to obtain smaller nanocrystals.19 Of note, Murray and co-workers utilize a “modified Brust” synthesis in which a 10× molar excess of NaBH4 is used to reduce the gold salt.25 Other reducing agents such as 9-BBN can also be employed.26 However, not all syntheses require a reducing agent; an electrochemical procedure has also been reported.27

Previous work in our group on the mechanisms of gold-phosphine cluster growth from AuPR3Cl precursors has shown that the gain of one electron is required to initiate Au−Au bond formation, while additional electrons lead to spontaneous Cl− dissociation.28 If a similar mechanism is involved in gold−thiolate growth, SR− dissociation upon addition of multiple electrons would be expected.

Figure 1. Cyclic Au3(SCH3)n (n = 3−7) clusters. Gold: black; sulfur: yellow; carbon: gray; hydrogen: white.

In order to examine the growth mechanisms of gold−thiolate nanoparticles, cyclic gold methylthiolate structures with stoichiometries ranging from Au3(SCH3)3 to Au3(SCH3)7 have been optimized at the BP86/TZP level of theory in the gas phase (Figure 1). These structures are comparable to those previously reported with other levels of theory.29−32

In order to examine the possibility of electron-driven Au−Au bond formation and spontaneous SCH3− dissociation, one to four electrons are added to each cluster and the system is allowed to relax. For Au3(SCH3)3 through Au3(SCH3)7, the addition of a single electron leads to a slight flattening of the structure, but no
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dissociation occurs. For the highly strained Au₅(SCH₃)₅ system, addition of two electrons leads to dissociation of a Au⁺ ion and formation of a Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ anionic chain structure (Figure 2a). However, Auₙ(SCH₃)ₙ⁻ systems with n > 3 generally do not dissociate when two electrons are added to the neutral cyclic cluster. Most systems require the addition of three electrons before dissociation to m (m = 1–2) Au⁺ ions and a chain-like Auₙ⁺(SCH₃)ₙ⁻ species occurs. As an example, the dissociation products for the Au₅(SCH₃)₅ₙ cluster with three electrons are shown in Figure 2b. A subset of systems has also been studied with the addition of four electrons; in these cases the dissociation products are two anionic chains and 2 Au⁺ ions. In the systems examined here, gold is released as Au⁺ rather than Au(0), and the gold ions are unlikely to form nanoparticles due to Coulomb repulsion.

Vertical electron affinities (EAs) are calculated for optimized structures that have accepted 0–3 additional electrons. As shown in Table 1, the first EA is calculated to be −0.20 to −0.78 eV and the EAs generally increase with the size of the cluster although this trend is not monotonic. In consequence, it is favorable for the system to gain one electron. However, the EAs for clusters that have already accepted one electron lie in the range of 1.49–2.39 eV. EAs for a third electron are even less favorable at 2.63–5.61 eV. Due to self-interaction error in density functional theory, EAs are normally predicted to be less positive/more negative than the true values; for our systems, this would further decrease the likelihood that a cluster will gain additional electrons. MP2 calculations have been performed on a subset of the systems, and the EAs are shown to be more positive with this level of theory (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Overall, it does not seem likely that these Au(I):SR cyclic clusters can acquire enough charge to lead to dissociation, although it should be noted that a significant excess of reducing agent is present under experimental growth conditions.

Because the Au(I):SR oligomers created during the growth process may not be cyclic, Au(I):SR chains have also been examined in this work. The Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ anionic chain structures and related Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ chain are examined in addition to a chain-like Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ system (Figure 3). The EAs for the anionic chain clusters are highly positive (2.90–3.51 eV for the first electron; 4.68–5.81 for the second). Upon the addition of two electrons, the Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ cluster dissociates into a Au⁺ ion, a SCH₃⁻ ligand, and a Au(SCH₃)₃⁻ complex (Figure 3d). The first EA for the neutral chain-like Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ system is strongly negative at −2.63 eV. However, the second EA lies at 2.06 eV, which is in the range of second EAs for other neutral clusters. Upon relaxation of the structure with two additional electrons, the terminal gold atom dissociates as Au⁺, while the remaining cluster is the commonly observed Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻ chain. It should be noted that no individual thiolates dissociate in this process, so dissociation of Au⁺ is preferred over dissociation of SCH₃⁻.

Because EAs are affected by solvation, the electron addition process is also examined in toluene and in water. EAs calculated in these solvents are shown in Table 2. As a highly polar solvent, water is expected to stabilize complexes with a greater additional charge. In both solvents, addition of the first electron is highly

**Table 1. Vertical EAs in eV for Gold-Thiolate Oligomers in the Gas Phase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>first EA</th>
<th>second EA</th>
<th>third EA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cyclic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ crown</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix crown</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix 1</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix 2</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chain-like</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ gold-capped</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅⁻</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gold dissociated after second electron addition.

**Table 2. Vertical EAs in eV of Cyclic Au₅(SCH₃)₅ in Water and Toluene**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>water</th>
<th>toluene</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>first EA</td>
<td>second EA</td>
<td>third EA</td>
<td>first EA</td>
<td>second EA</td>
<td>third EA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅</td>
<td>−2.19</td>
<td>−3.87</td>
<td>−1.35</td>
<td>−1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅</td>
<td>−1.92</td>
<td>−2.36</td>
<td>−1.16</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅</td>
<td>−1.97</td>
<td>−2.45</td>
<td>−1.17</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ crown</td>
<td>−2.01</td>
<td>−2.48</td>
<td>−1.40</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix</td>
<td>−1.98</td>
<td>−2.49</td>
<td>−1.33</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix crown</td>
<td>−1.93</td>
<td>−2.32</td>
<td>−1.62</td>
<td>−1.39</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix 1</td>
<td>−1.85</td>
<td>−2.44</td>
<td>−2.20</td>
<td>−1.25</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₅(SCH₃)₅ helix 2</td>
<td>−1.92</td>
<td>−2.50</td>
<td>−1.33</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gold dissociated after second electron addition.
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favorable by all clusters. The EAs range from −1.16 to −1.39 eV for toluene and from −1.85 to −2.18 eV for water. The addition of a second electron in toluene is less favored than the addition of the first electron; however, in water the second addition is more favored than the first addition. These EAs range from −0.28 to −0.71 eV for toluene and from −2.32 to −2.49 eV for water, with the exception of Au2(SCH3)2 for which the first and second EAs are more negative. Addition of the second electron is favorable in both solvents for all systems examined here.

As in the gas phase, addition of two electrons to Au3(SCH3)3 in both toluene and water leads to the loss of Au+. However, in contrast to the gas phase, in water only two electrons are required to lead to dissociation of all Au3(SCH3)3 species except the crown and helix 1 isomers of Au3(SCH3)3. The larger species may be better able to stabilize additional charge. Three electrons are still required for dissociation of Au3(SCH3)n (n = 4–7) in toluene; however, the third EA of these systems is positive, suggesting that the addition of this electron is unfavorable. Just as before, the species generated by dissociation are gold ions and anionic chain complexes. A nanoparticle growth mechanism involving these species would be quite involved, since the gold would need to be oxidized before larger nanoparticles could be formed due to Coulombic repulsion between Au+ ions.

Because straightforward addition of electrons does not lead to a plausible mechanism for growth and since the NaBH4 reducing agent can act as a hydride donor, we have also examined hydride addition to the cyclic Au3(SCH3)3 species. Depending on the angle of the incoming hydride, different products can be formed. For H–S–C angles of approximately 180°, a ligand exchange occurs in which CH3− is released to leave a cyclic Au3(SH)−(SCH3)2− species. When the hydride reacts at an angle closer to 120°, HSCH3 dissociates from the cluster, and the two gold atoms originally bound to the sulfur of the leaving thiol form a Au–Au bond. To illustrate this bond formation, the n = 4 product is shown in Figure 4a. This system has a −1 charge overall. Since the V-shaped −SR–Au–SR–Au–SR− motif typically has a −1 charge, the two Au atoms involved in the bond are formally Au(0). The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of this system is a σ orbital. Similar products are observed for all reactants with n = 3–7. Once Au–Au bonds have formed, two units such as Au4(SCH3)3 will bind to form a stable Au4−(SCH3)2− species, even though this leads to a higher overall charge (Figure 4b). This cluster contains 4 Au(0) atoms in the center surrounded by two Au2(SCH3)3 units, which suggests that nanoparticle growth could proceed in this manner. A similar neutral Au3(SCH3)3 cluster was optimized previously using density functional theory, and the authors suggested that this cluster may be subject to further reactions since the core is quite open. Since further addition of Au4(SCH3)3 units to Au8−(SCH3)6− would lead to charge build-up, mechanisms for charge removal (such as anionic ligand dissociation or electron transfer) will need to be considered in the future.

Figure 4. (a) Au4(SCH3)3 product from hydride addition to Au4−(SCH3)4. (b) Au4(SCH3)6− formed from two Au3(SCH3)3− complexes. (c) Second hydride addition to n = 4 product.

Addition of a hydride effectively adds two electrons to the gold–thiolate cluster. Thus, after the first hydride reaction, two electrons are available for gold–gold bonding. It should be noted that, although hydride is a two-electron donor, the dissociation products obtained are very different compared to those from the two-electron addition process. After a second hydride addition, four electrons would be available for bonding (assuming a free thiol is released as before). For the smallest systems examined here, this is greater than the number of Au atoms that can form Au–Au bonds, since some gold atoms remain between two thiolates. As a consequence, addition of a second hydride to Au4(SCH3)3 results in no reaction if the hydride is added to the thiolate at the apex of the V, or opens the cluster as shown in Figure 4c if added at the corners. However, for larger systems such as n = 6, new Au–Au bonds are formed during the second hydride reaction. Different structures are formed depending on hydride addition location as illustrated in Figure 5. Alternatively, hydride addition to gold is possible. In this case, no additional Au–Au bonds are formed.

In summary, addition of a hydride ion to gold–thiolate oligomers leads to products including free thiols and compounds that contain both Au(0) and chain-like oligomers Aun(SR)−n−1 (n = 1–6), which are plausible intermediates for gold nanoparticle growth. In contrast, addition of electrons leads to the formation of isolated Au+ ions and Aun(SR)−n−1 chains, whereas formation of the free thiolate SR− is almost never observed in this work. Although electron addition to yield anionic species may be possible in water, it is not favorable in the gas phase or in common organic solvents such as toluene. In addition, a nanoparticle growth mechanism involving these species would be quite involved, as the gold atoms would subsequently need to be oxidized before larger gold nanoparticles could be formed. Overall, assuming that nanoparticle growth proceeds via reduction of Aun(SR)− oligomers, it is likely to be initiated by hydride addition to an SR group leading to Au–Au bond formation and HSR dissociation.

Figure 5. Two products formed after the addition of two hydrides to Au4(SCH3)6−.

COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The Amsterdam density functional program (ADF) was employed for all calculations in this work. A polarized triple-ζ (TZP) basis set is used with a [1s2–4f14] frozen core for gold, a [1s2–2p6] frozen core for sulfur, and a [1s2] frozen core for carbon. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) Becke-Perdew (BP86) exchange-correlation functional is used in structure relaxations. Scalar relativistic effects are incorporated by adopting the zero-order regular approximation (ZORA). The conductor-like screening model (COSMO) is employed to include the effects of continuum solvation in the calculations; both toluene and water solvents are considered.
Unrestricted calculations are employed for systems with open-shell electrons. In the electron addition process, both singlet and triplet multiplicities have been considered for initial gas phase calculations. Triplet states were found to be higher energy and were not considered further.

Both Mulliken and Voronoi Deformation Density charges have been examined in order to assign partial charges on dissociating fragments. Au atoms with charges of approximately −1 have been assigned in the main text as Au−.

Vertical EAs are defined as the energy of reaction for X + e− → X−, where the energy of X− is calculated at the optimized geometry of X− is fully optimized before the second EA (X− + e− → X2−) is determined. Likewise, X2− is optimized before the third EA is computed.
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