

Stephen F. Austin State University

SFA ScholarWorks

Faculty Publications

Spatial Science

1-2014

Measuring Tree Height Using Pictometry Hyperspatial Imagery

Daniel Unger

Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F. Austin State University, unger@sfasu.edu

David Kulhavy

Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F. Austin State University, dkulhavy@sfasu.edu

Matthew A. Wade

I-Kuai Hung

Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F. Austin State University, hungi@sfasu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/spatialsoci>



Part of the [Forest Management Commons](#)

[Tell us](#) how this article helped you.

Repository Citation

Unger, Daniel; Kulhavy, David; Wade, Matthew A.; and Hung, I-Kuai, "Measuring Tree Height Using Pictometry Hyperspatial Imagery" (2014). *Faculty Publications*. 29.

<https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/spatialsoci/29>

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Spatial Science at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu.

Urban Tree Height Assessment using Pictometry Hyperspatial 4-inch Multispectral Imagery

I-Kuai Hung

Abstract

Tree height is a critical variable of forest inventory assessments, and estimating the height of trees has been a component of forest inventory assessments for decades. The actual tree height of 60 open-grown baldcypress (*Taxodium distichum*) trees measured with a telescopic height pole were compared to Pictometry hyperspatial 4-in. multispectral imagery estimated tree height on the campus of Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas. Linear correlation coefficients (r) between actual tree height and Pictometry-estimated tree height for all 60 trees and the shortest 30 and tallest 30 trees were > 0.997 for all r values. Pictometry estimated tree height was within, on average, 1.77, 2.15, and 1.40% of actual tree height for all 60 trees, the shortest 30 trees, and the tallest 30 trees, respectively. All three paired t-tests, for all 60 trees, the shortest 30 trees, and the tallest 30 trees, resulted in a P value ≥ 0.08 , indicating that there was no statistical significance between actual and estimated tree height at a 95% confidence level. Pictometry-estimated tree height can be used in lieu of field-based tree height estimation for open-grown urban forests.